Executive Summary

Title of policy/decision

Enter the title as it appears on the decision paperwork

Disability Strategy

Date

Enter date submitted to Equalities & Partnerships Team

Policy/decision type

Delete as applicable

Cabinet Decision / Cabinet Member Action (CMA)

Overview of policy/decision

Summarise here the purpose and aims of the policy / decision (e.g. the proposed issue or change that you are equality impact assessing). Provide brief relevant contextual information, e.g. if the decision is to create a new service, describe the aims of the service and why it is being proposed; Or, similarly, if the proposal is to cease a service or buy a product, explain why this is.

People with disabilities have the right to a full life but they are often marginalised within society. Whilst our current social care offer enables many good outcomes, we know that these aren't always consistent. Demographics and demand for our services are challenging and we need to use our resources efficiently.

There is opportunity to apply the social model of disability to address barriers in society and to develop our work to better meet what people with disabilities want from their lives. A clear strategic ambition and commitment to people in Essex will help enable us to focus our efforts on delivering a more holistic, person-centred and lifetime approach for people with disabilities.

Meaningful Lives Matter (MLM) is a well-established brand that is respected for its work with and in support of people with learning disabilities. We plan to refresh and redefine its meaning and purpose in enabling people with a wider range of disabilities, including physical and sensory impairments, to live their lives to the fullest.

What outcomes is the policy/decision hoping to achieve

Describe what difference the decision will make on people, communities, localities.

The strategy aligns closely with ECC's Everyone's Essex 4-year plan for levelling up as it seeks to promote independence for adults with disabilities. It also aligns to the strategic framework set out within the Adult Social Care business plan and other related strategies such as the Carers Strategy and All-age autism strategy.

Engagement with people with disabilities and the carers, providers and partners that have a role in providing support to people, has taken place over the last few years and given indication of what people want in their lives and what their main strengths and challenges are. Whilst more focused engagement is underway, our learning in this area so far suggests four outcomes that are likely to be of key importance. These are:

- Meaningful relationships within a community, including with families, partners, friends, groups of people with similar interests and paid or unpaid carers
- A suitable place to live and call home, whether that is a person's own home or another accommodation that best allows their needs to be met
- Ability to maintain as good health and wellbeing as possible and personal safety.
- Access to meaningful activity during the day, such as education, employment and volunteering, or other fulfilling day activities, which may include opportunities to contribute to society and the economy.

Executive Director responsible for policy/decision

Delete as applicable

Nick Presmeg (Adult Social Care)

Cabinet Member responsible for policy/decision

Delete as applicable

Cllr John Spence (Health and Adult Social Care)

Is this a new policy/decision or a change to an existing one?

Delete as applicable

New policy

How will the impact of the policy/decision be monitored and evaluated?

Factors to consider include the systems set up to monitor any resulting Impact; timetable for action; how the findings will influence policy, practice and delivery.

We will continue to engage people with disabilities to understand how things are going in their lives and whether things are improving. We will also look at what our data and insight is telling us.

At the moment we can measure:

Number of people with disabilities who have a paid job. We want this to go up.

% of people with disabilities who live in a care-home. We want this to go down.

The number of adults with a learning disability and/or Autism known to ASC who have moved from a residential care package to a community services package. We want this to go up.

Number of adults who have received a learning disability health check by the GP practice and have been provided a health action plan. We want this to go up.

The percentage of adults referred to LLS who were signposted/ connected to resources and the intervention completed with no onward referral for ASC services. We want this to go up.

The average percentage change in adults 'happiness index' pre/post LLS intervention. We want this to go up.

Number of new Shared Lives placements. We want this to go up.

The number of out of county placements for supported living and residential care. We want this to go down.

In the future we will measure:

% of people who have help from social care who are moving towards a goal. We want this to go up. % of people who have help from social care who have three or more 'good' relationships in their life. We want this to go up.

Where we can, we will look at how things are changing in different parts of Essex. We will also look at how things are changing for people of different race, gender, and sexual orientation. This is because we want things to get better for everyone. We want the change to be fair.

Will this policy/decision impact on:	Yes	No
Tick as appropriate		
Service Users	✓	
Employees	✓	
Wider community or groups of users	✓	

What strategic priorities will this policy/decision support?

Delete as applicable

Health, well-being and independence for all ages

What geographical areas of Essex will the policy/decision affect?

Tick as appropriate

All Essex	✓	Epping Forest	
Basildon		Harlow	
Braintree		Maldon	
Brentwood		Rochford	

Castle Point	Tendring	
Chelmsford	Uttlesford	
Colchester		

Equalities - Groups With Protected Characteristics

For more information on protected characteristics https://www.equalityhumanrights.com/en/equality-act/protected-characteristics

Nature of impact

Select whether the policy / decision will have a positive or negative impact, or if there is no expected impact

Characteristic	Positive	Negative	None
Age	✓		
Disability (including learning disability, mental health issues, physical impairment and sensory impairment)	✓		
Gender reassignment			✓
Marriage / Civil Partnership			✓
Pregnancy / maternity			✓
Race			✓
Religion / belief			✓
Sex			√
Sexual orientation			✓

Extent of impact

Select whether the extent of impact of the policy / decision will be high, medium or low e.g.

- Scale of the activity does it affect a large number of the population?
- Scale of the impact does it affect people in one or more important aspects of their lives?
- Severity of the impact (even if it affects only a small number of people) can it put some people in the community at a severe disadvantage?

If no impact identified above, select N/A for that characteristic.

Characteristic	High	Medium	Low	N/A
Age		✓		
Disability (including learning disability, mental health issues,	_/			
physical impairment and sensory impairment)	•			
Gender reassignment				✓
Marriage / Civil Partnership				✓
Pregnancy / maternity				✓
Race				✓
Religion / belief				✓
Sex				√
Sexual orientation				✓

Rationale for assessment, including data used to assess the impact

Outline how the assessments relating to impact were made. Describe the approach to the consultation and research: the methods used; who was consulted, why and how; and sources of data/evidence collected. What does the data tell you?

The impact of the Disability Strategy has been assessed based upon the group it is focused on and its scope and aims in this area. The strategy includes all people in Essex with a learning disability or physical and sensory impairment and aims to set the strategic direction for initiatives to improve outcomes for these groups over the next four years; therefore the impact has been assessed as high and positive. The strategy will align with the ageing well approaches for adults with disabilities and includes adults in older age with disabilities within its scope, therefore the impact for older people is positive and medium.

Engagement with people with disabilities and the carers, providers and partners that have a role in providing support to people, has taken place over the last few years and given indication of what people want in their lives and what their main strengths and challenges are. More focused engagement over the last few month has helped us to take a co-productive approach to developing the strategy. The draft strategy has been published as part of a 12 week (including the Christmas period) public consultation on

its content. The consultation includes options to participate online, by telephone and in-person and audio, EasyRead and large print versions of the information to ensure accessibility for people with disabilities to participate. As of 31st January, 134 people have completed the consultation online or by telephone and 139 people have been engaged in total.

(If negative impact assessed) What actions will be undertaken to mitigate negative impacts, including timescales

If any negative impacts have been identified, it is important to outline the steps that been have put in place to mitigate against these impacts. If no mitigation is practicable and the changed policy /decision will inevitably affect some group(s) more than the population in general, you must seek advice on the legality of the change.

What actions have already been taken to mitigate any negative impacts?

Levelling Up - Priority Areas & Cohorts

For more information on Levelling Up Plans and strategies <u>click here</u>.

Nature of impact

Select whether the policy / decision will have a positive or negative impact, or if there is no expected impact

L	1		
Cohort / Area	Positive	Negative	None
Children and adults with SEND, learning disabilities or mental	./		
health conditions (taking an all-age approach)	·		
Children on Free School Meals			✓
Working families			✓
Young adults (16-25 who have not been in education, training or			<u> </u>
employment for around 6-12 months			•
Harlow			\checkmark
Jaywick and Clacton			✓
Harwich			✓
Basildon (Town) housing estates			✓
Canvey Island			✓
Colchester (Town) - Housing Estates			✓
Rural North of the Braintree District			✓

Extent of impact

Select whether the extent of impact of the policy / decision will be high, medium or low e.g.

- Scale of the activity does it affect a large number of the population?
- Scale of the impact does it affect people in one or more important aspects of their lives?
- Severity of the impact (even if it affects only a small number of people) can it put some people in the community at a severe disadvantage?

If no impact identified above, select N/A.

Cohort / Area	High	Medium	Low	N/A
Children and adults with SEND, learning disabilities or		/		
mental health conditions (taking an all-age approach)		V		
Children on Free School Meals				✓
Working families				✓
Young adults (16-25 who have not been in education,				./
training or employment for around 6-12 months				•
Harlow				✓
Jaywick and Clacton				✓
Harwich				✓
Basildon (Town) housing estates				✓
Canvey Island				✓
Colchester (Town) - Housing Estates				√
Rural North of the Braintree District				√

Rationale for assessment, including data used to assess the impact

Outline how the assessments relating to impact were made. Describe the approach to the consultation and research: the methods used; who was consulted, why and how; and sources of data/evidence collected. What does the data tell you?

The strategy includes adults with learning disabilities within its scope, however it doesn't include children or people with mental health conditions who don't have another disability, hence the impact for this cohort is medium and positive. The strategy covers all of Essex and therefore does not target specific geographical areas.

(If negative impact assessed) What actions will be undertaken to mitigate negative impacts, including timescales

If any negative impacts have been identified, it is important to outline the steps that been have put in place to mitigate against these impacts. If no mitigation is practicable and the changed policy /decision will inevitably affect some group(s) more than the population in general, you must seek advice on the legality of the change.

What actions have already been taken to mitigate any negative impacts?

Equalities - Inclusion Health Groups and Other Priority Groups

For more information on health inequalities and health inclusion groups

https://www.england.nhs.uk/ltphimenu/definitions-for-health-inequalities/

Nature of impact

Select whether the policy / decision will have a positive or negative impact, or if there is no expected impact

Group	Positive	Negative	None
Refugees / asylum seekers			✓
Homeless / rough sleepers			✓
Offenders / ex-offenders			✓
Carers	✓		
Looked after children			✓
Veterans	✓		
People who are unemployed / economically inactive	✓		
People on low income			✓

Extent of impact

Select whether the extent of impact of the policy / decision will be high, medium or low e.g.

- Scale of the activity does it affect a large number of the population?
- Scale of the impact does it affect people in one or more important aspects of their lives?
- Severity of the impact (even if it affects only a small number of people) can it put some people in the community at a severe disadvantage?

If no impact identified above, select N/A.

Group	High	Medium	Low	N/A
Refugees / asylum seekers				✓
Homeless / rough sleepers			✓	
Offenders / ex-offenders				✓
Carers		✓		
Looked after children				√
Veterans				✓
People who are unemployed / economically inactive			✓	
People on low income				✓

Rationale for assessment, including data used to assess the impact

Outline how the assessments relating to impact were made. Describe the approach to the consultation and research: the methods used; who was consulted, why and how; and sources of data/evidence collected. What does the data tell you?

The strategy is focused on people with disabilities but by improving outcomes for this group will also impact on unpaid carers who care for a person with a disability. People with disabilities are more likely to be unemployed or homeless. The strategy aims to improve employment and activity outcomes for people with disabilities and to ensure they have a suitable place to live, and so will have a small positive impact on the economically inactive and homeless populations.

Sources of data include:

A 2017 study by Crisis of 14,922 individuals, 70% of whom were homeless while the others were either at risk of homelessness or had a history of homelessness, found that 39% reported having a disability, higher than in the general population.

(If negative impact assessed) What actions will be undertaken to mitigate negative impacts, including timescales

If any negative impacts have been identified, it is important to outline the steps that been have put in place to mitigate against these impacts. If no mitigation is practicable and the changed policy /decision will inevitably affect some group(s) more than the population in general, you must seek advice on the legality of the change.

What actions have already been taken to mitigate any negative impacts?

Equalities - Geographical Groups

Deprivation: The Index of Multiple Deprivation (IMD) is the official measure of relative deprivation in England and is part of a suite of outputs that form the Indices of Deprivation (IoD). It follows an established methodological framework in broadly defining deprivation to encompass a wide range of an individual's living conditions. People may be considered to be living in poverty if they lack the financial resources to meet their needs, whereas people can be regarded as deprived if they lack any kind of resources, not just income. Across Essex an estimated 123,640 residents live in the most deprived quintile (20%) nationally, equivalent to 8.6% of the total

population. https://data.essex.gov.uk/dataset/2w89n/indices-of-multiple-deprivation-imd-2019-full-report

Rural/Urban: The Rural-Urban definition (DEFRA) introduced in 2004, defines urban areas as settlements of over 10,000 people. Other settlements are defined as one of three rural types: town and fringe, village or hamlet, and dispersed. https://www.gov.uk/government/statistics/the-rural-urban-definition

Coastal: ONS provides the following definition: Seaside towns are those with a tourist beach and associated visitor attractions while the other coastal towns include those focused on other activities such as being a port town or industrial town. For further information

https://www.ons.gov.uk/businessindustryandtrade/tourismindustry/datasets/coastaltownsinenglandandwales https://data.essex.gov.uk/dataset/e1kmd/essex-economic-commission-dealing-with-challenges-of-coastal-communities-in-greater-essex

Nature of impact

Select whether the policy / decision will have a positive or negative impact, or if there is no expected impact

Group	Positive	Negative	None
People living in areas of high deprivation	✓		
People living in rural or isolated areas	✓		
People living in coastal areas	✓		
People living in urban or over-populated areas	✓		

Extent of impact

Select whether the extent of impact of the policy / decision will be high, medium or low e.g.

- Scale of the activity does it affect a large number of the population?
- Scale of the impact does it affect people in one or more important aspects of their lives?
- Severity of the impact (even if it affects only a small number of people) can it put some people in the community at a severe disadvantage?

If not impact identified above, select N/A.

Group	High	Medium	Low	N/A
People living in areas of high deprivation			✓	
People living in rural or isolated areas			✓	
People living in coastal areas			✓	
People living in urban or over-populated areas			✓	

Rationale for assessment, including data used to assess the impact

Outline how the assessments relating to impact were made. Describe the approach to the consultation and research: the methods used; who was consulted, why and how; and sources of data/evidence collected. What does the data tell you? Ensure that reference is made to each protected characteristic [link to data repository]

The strategy focuses on people with disabilities across all of Essex which includes the areas listed above, however, its primary focus is on disability not on specific types of area so the impact is low, positive.

(If negative impact assessed) What actions will be undertaken to mitigate negative impacts, including timescales

If any negative impacts have been identified, it is important to outline the steps that been have put in place to mitigate against these impacts. If no mitigation is practicable and the changed policy /decision will inevitably affect some group(s) more than the population in general, you must seek advice on the legality of the change.

What actions have already been taken to mitigate any negative impacts?

Families

Nature of impact

Select whether the policy / decision will have a positive or negative impact, or if there is no expected impact

Group	Positive	Negative	None
Family formation (e.g. becoming or living as a couple, the ability			
to live with or apart from children)	, ,		
Families going through key transitions (e.g. becoming parents,			
getting married, fostering or adopting, bereavement,	<u> </u>		
redundancy, new caring responsibilities, onset of a long-term	·		
health condition)			
Family members' ability to play a full role in family life (e.g.	√		
fulfilling parenting and other caring responsibilities)	·		
Families before, during and after couple separation			✓
Families most at risk of deterioration of relationship quality and			
breakdown	Ţ		

Extent of impact

Select whether the extent of impact of the policy / decision will be high, medium or low e.g.

- Scale of the activity does it affect a large number of the population?
- Scale of the impact does it affect people in one or more important aspects of their lives?
- Severity of the impact (even if it affects only a small number of people) can it put some people in the community at a severe disadvantage?

If no impact identified above, select N/A.

Group	High	Medium	Low	N/A
Family formation (e.g. becoming or living as a couple, the			./	
ability to live with or apart from children)			V	
Families going through key transitions (e.g. becoming				
parents, getting married, fostering or adopting,		./		
bereavement, redundancy, new caring responsibilities,		'		
onset of a long-term health condition)				
Family members' ability to play a full role in family life (e.g.			./	
fulfilling parenting and other caring responsibilities)			V	
Families before, during and after couple separation				✓
Families most at risk of deterioration of relationship quality			/	
and breakdown			V	

Rationale for assessment, including data used to assess the impact

Outline how the assessments relating to impact were made. Describe the approach to the consultation and research: the methods used; who was consulted, why and how; and sources of data/evidence collected. What does the data tell you?

The strategy will focus on supporting meaningful relationships for people with disabilities and therefore will support family formation, relationship sustainability and family life but only for families where a person has a disability; this will include families that are going through a transition where a person has been diagnosed with a disabling long term health condition. As this only accounts for a part of these groups the risk is mainly low and positive.

(If negative impact assessed) What actions will be undertaken to mitigate negative impacts, including timescales

If any negative impacts have been identified, it is important to outline the steps that been have put in place to mitigate against these impacts. If no mitigation is practicable and the changed policy /decision will inevitably affect some group(s) more than the population in general, you must seek advice on the legality of the change.

What actions have already been taken to mitigate any negative impacts?

Digital Accessibility

Accessibility regulations mean public sector organisations have a legal duty to make sure their websites and mobile applications meet accessibility requirements. Further guidance can be found in the council's <u>Digital Accessibility Policy</u>

Is the new or revised policy / decision linked to a digital service (website, system or application)? Delete as applicable

No

(If yes) What steps have you taken to meet the accessibility requirements?

Outline the specific actions taken to meet the digital accessibility requirements – for further information visit https://accessibility.campaign.gov.uk/ or contact accessibility@essex.gov.uk

(If yes) How have you tested accessibility?

Outline the actions taken to test accessibility.

(If yes) How will you monitor and maintain accessibility once it has gone live

Outline how accessibility will be monitored and maintained

Climate			
For more information on Energy, Climate and the Environment visit	t		
https://www.essex.gov.uk/topic/energy-climate-environment			
Does your decision/policy involve elements connected to the buil	t environme	nt / energy?	
Delete as applicable			
No			
(If yes) Do you know what products, materials, and qualified built	environmer	nt professiona	als you will
be using?			
	Yes	No	N/A
Are you maximising the opportunity to source materials locally			
and maximise use of sustainable materials such as wood?			
Are you using a qualified architect/design team/consultant with			
the experience and capability to design and secure planning			
permission to deliver to the highest carbon zero building			
standards?			
Are you clear that the builder, sub-contractor is qualified and			
capable of delivering climate resilient buildings (noting risks			
including subsidence, flooding, overheating), to the highest net			
zero carbon build quality?			
(If yes) Is your proposed development / building using the best pr	actice guida	nce and advic	e on design
quality, climate change and health?	0		
Addressing the building quality, including addressing climate chang	ie reauiremei	nts not only re	educes
future retrofit costs, it will also reduce the operational running cost	•	•	
and will directly reduce the impact on fuel poverty.	o oj your ocir.	30., 1.011.123 01	243111633
and will directly reduce the impact on just poverty.	Yes	No	N/A
Are you using the design quality and advice provided by the Essex	163	140	N/A
Design Guide?			
Are you using the Climate Change guidance and advice provide			
by the Essex Design Guide?			
Are you providing a policy compliant development which accords			
with the District Local Plan policies?			
Are using the Health and Wellbeing guidance provided by the			
Essex Design Guide?			
Have you provided a costed net zero option for consideration?			
(If yes) Is your proposed development/ building as efficient as pos	ssible?		
	Yes	No	N/A
Is the Energy performance Certificate (EPC) rating of the			
achieving and EPC A+ or net zero carbon standard?			
Have opportunities to reduce energy use through the use of			
smart digital systems been considered?			
Does your development by design avoid the need for air			
conditioning?			
Does your new development have water efficiency measures in			
place?			
(If yes) Do you know where your energy is coming from and how	much of it co	mes from rei	newable
sources?			
	Yes	No	N/A
Are you maximising opportunities to use onsite renewable			
energy (e.g. roof top solar panels) to deliver this service?			
Is your new or extended development using a renewable heat			
source i.e., district heating or a form of heat pump?			
source her, district neating of a form of neat pump:			

	Yes	No	N/A
Is your site at risk of coastal erosion? Is there a plan in place to			
protect the coastline: if the coastline is being allowed to erode,			
this may impact the lifetime of your site?			
Have you reviewed environment agency to ascertain your flood			
risk? Do you need to put in place mitigation such as sustainable			
drainage?			
Water is scarce and needs to be absorbed into the land wherever			
possible. Are you prioritising nature based flood risk mitigations			
such as sustainable drainage, water butts, planting and ponds			
which protect against water scarcity?			
Are you using external planting, green walls and green roofs to			
insulate the building and provide shading to cut risks of			
overheating?			
(If yes) Is your development supporting active travel and minimi	ising pollution	?	
	Yes	No	N/A
Does your site allow connection to key public transport and			
active travel routes?			
Are you using Green Infrastructure to interrupt the pathway of			
carborne pollutants to the public e.g. use of hedges, shrubs and			
trees?			
Have you provided space for secure cycle parking, showering,			
cycle and walking pathways on site etc?			
Does the building have adequate space for recycling and waste			
separation?			
Does your decision/policy involve designing service provision an	-	nt to minimis	e freight a
staff travel and enable use of active and public transport options	s?		
Delete as applicable			
No .	_		
(If yes) Where are staff coming from and how are they travelling			
Using local staff to reduce travel needs, use of sustainable modes	of travel to ge	t to site. Whe	re car
journeys are essential, can staff be incentivised to car share?			
(If yes) Are you specifying electric cars?			
Delete as applicable			
Delete as applicable Yes / No			
Delete as applicable Yes / No (If yes) Are you specifying transition to low emission vehicles for	r heavier vehic	les as these l	pecome
Delete as applicable Yes / No (If yes) Are you specifying transition to low emission vehicles for available?	r heavier vehic	les as these l	oecome
Delete as applicable Yes / No (If yes) Are you specifying transition to low emission vehicles for available? Delete as applicable	r heavier vehic	les as these l	oecome
Delete as applicable Yes / No (If yes) Are you specifying transition to low emission vehicles for available? Delete as applicable Yes / No		les as these l	oecome
Delete as applicable Yes / No (If yes) Are you specifying transition to low emission vehicles for available? Delete as applicable Yes / No (If yes) Does your decision/policy involve elements connected to		les as these l	pecome
Delete as applicable Yes / No (If yes) Are you specifying transition to low emission vehicles for available? Delete as applicable Yes / No (If yes) Does your decision/policy involve elements connected to		les as these l	oecome
Delete as applicable Yes / No (If yes) Are you specifying transition to low emission vehicles for available? Delete as applicable Yes / No (If yes) Does your decision/policy involve elements connected to Delete as applicable		les as these l	pecome
Delete as applicable Yes / No (If yes) Are you specifying transition to low emission vehicles for	o waste?		
Delete as applicable Yes / No (If yes) Are you specifying transition to low emission vehicles for available? Delete as applicable Yes / No (If yes) Does your decision/policy involve elements connected to Delete as applicable Yes / No (If yes) Do you know what products or materials you will be using the policy involve involve the policy involve invol	o waste? ng and where t	hese come fr	om?
Delete as applicable Yes / No (If yes) Are you specifying transition to low emission vehicles for available? Delete as applicable Yes / No (If yes) Does your decision/policy involve elements connected to Delete as applicable Yes / No (If yes) Do you know what products or materials you will be usin Materials and products all have different greenhouse gas impacts	o waste? ng and where t	hese come fr	om?
Delete as applicable Yes / No (If yes) Are you specifying transition to low emission vehicles for available? Delete as applicable Yes / No (If yes) Does your decision/policy involve elements connected to Delete as applicable Yes / No (If yes) Do you know what products or materials you will be usin Materials and products all have different greenhouse gas impacts	o waste? ng and where t	hese come fr	om?
Delete as applicable Yes / No (If yes) Are you specifying transition to low emission vehicles for available? Delete as applicable Yes / No (If yes) Does your decision/policy involve elements connected to Delete as applicable Yes / No (If yes) Do you know what products or materials you will be usin Materials and products all have different greenhouse gas impacts the environmental performance when taking decisions.	o waste? Ing and where to it is therefore	hese come fi	r om? e consider
Delete as applicable Yes / No (If yes) Are you specifying transition to low emission vehicles for available? Delete as applicable Yes / No (If yes) Does your decision/policy involve elements connected to Delete as applicable Yes / No (If yes) Do you know what products or materials you will be usin Materials and products all have different greenhouse gas impacts	o waste? Ing and where to it is therefore	hese come fi	r om? e consider

Are you able to utilise repurposed (second-hand) equipment when delivering this decision?			
Are the materials being used easy to recycle at the end of their life?			
Have you selected materials that minimise environmental			
impacts – e.g. minimising one use plastics?			
Are you purchasing in bulk to reduce the number of trips?			

(If yes) How much waste are you expecting this activity to generate? Generally the more waste produced the greater the greenhouse gas impact. It is therefore important to consider how you can avoid waste when designing services, buying goods and delivering infrastructure.

	Yes	No	N/A
Are you able to measure the amount of waste being generated			
and set targets to reduce?			
Can the service be operated in a digital way or redesigned to			
reduce the use of material resources?			
If purchasing goods, are they durable and long lasting, and can			
they be easily maintained and repaired?			
Are you able to share goods and service with others to reduce			
resource use?			
Are you avoiding over-packaged or difficult to recycle goods and			
single use items?			
Can you donate or sell materials and products that are no longer			
required?			
4.4 1			

(If yes) Will any waste be generated by this decision?

Delete as applicable

Yes / No

(If yes) Most of our activities will generate waste so it is important that this waste is managed properly to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. What approaches are in place to maximise reuse, recycling and composting of any waste generated by this decision?

(If yes) Where is waste being treated and disposed of?

Using local disposal points minimises the transportation of waste which is likely to reduce GHG emissions.

Nature of impact

Select whether the policy / decision will have a positive or negative impact, or if there is no expected impact

Group	Positive	Negative	None
Built Environment / Energy			✓
Sustainable Transport / Travel			✓
Waste			✓

Extent of impact

Select whether the extent of impact of the policy / decision will be high, medium or low e.g.

- Scale of the activity does it affect a large number of the population?
- Scale of the impact does it affect people in one or more important aspects of their lives?
- Severity of the impact (even if it affects only a small number of people) can it put some people in the community at a severe disadvantage?

If no impact identified above, select N/A.

Group	High	Medium	Low	N/A
Built Environment / Energy				✓
Sustainable Transport / Travel				√

Waste

Rationale for assessment, including data used to assess the impact

Outline how the assessments relating to impact were made. Describe the approach to the consultation and research: the methods used; who was consulted, why and how; and sources of data/evidence collected. What does the data tell you?

The content of the strategy does not impact upon built environment, transport or waste.

(If negative impact assessed) What actions will be undertaken to mitigate negative impacts, including timescales

If any negative impacts have been identified, it is important to outline the steps that been have put in place to mitigate against these impacts. If no mitigation is practicable and the changed policy /decision will inevitably affect some group(s) more than the population in general, you must seek advice on the legality of the change.

What actions have already been taken to mitigate any negative impacts?

Sign Off		
ECIA Author		
Name	Rachel Williams	
Job Title	Senior Policy Advisor	
Team	Strategy and Innovation	
Function	Adult Social Care	
Delete as applicable		
ECIA Approver		
The ECIA approver needs to be a different person to the CIA author, ideally someone in a more senior		
position		
Name	Ruth Harrington	
Job Title	Director of ASC for Adults with Disabilities	
Team		
Function	Adult Social Care	
Delete as applicable		