Children and Young People's Plan 2016 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Summary

- The majority (67%) of respondents were professionals; 23% were parents or carers. There were only 4 respondents who were young people (however the consultation was not aimed at young people as they have been engaged with in other ways.
- There was a broad and fairly consistent level of responses across the areas in which people worked and in which they lived meaning we had good coverage across the County.
- There was also a broad and consistent coverage across the types of service provision and the age ranges covered by the services those responding to the survey worked for.
- 94% of respondents said they understood all of the Plan (54%) or some of it (40%)
- 19% of respondents said they could see a clear role for their organisation in delivering the plan most people said that this would require more discussion within their organisations or that this needed to be made clearer (the Plan did not aim to articulate this in detail)
- There were very high levels of agreement or strong agreement with all elements of the Plan;
 were people did not agree they either did not say why or they said they were disagreeing
 because they did not feel the element was properly explained or articulated
- Most people commented that the Plan 'offered' something to them, for example in promoting
 partnership working, setting out the types of support that were available and underlining a
 commitment to improving how the system works together; however the responses from parents
 were less positive with many saying they were unclear what the plan offered to them or
 highlighting that it did not seem to meet their specific needs / requirements (the Plan was not
 specifically aimed at parents)

Totals strongly agreeing or agreeing with the priorities:								
Stable, Resilient,	Emotionally	,	Physical	ly	Socia	lly	Positive Future	
Safe Families	Healthy	Healthy		Conn	ected	94%		
97%	97%		97%		94%			
Totals strongly agreeing or agreeing with the outcomes:								
CYP are well looked	CYP are		CYP are	engaged	CYPF	support	CYP attend good	
after and safe with	emotionally	,	in positi	ve	each	other within	quality	
their basic needs	healthy and	1	activities	es and are their			education,	
being met within	make good		physical	cally comm		nunities	training and	
resilient families	decisions		healthy	healthy 91%			work	
95%	95%		94%				opportunities	
							95%	
Totals strongly agre	eing or agreeii	ng with	objective	es:				
Protection for 0	Closing the	Behav	viour	Better us	e of	Effective and	d System	
the most	gap for the	chang	e within	commun	ity	efficient use	workforce	
vulnerable r	nost	indivi	duals,	assets		of collective	culture and	
100%	vulnerable	famili	es and	93%		resources to	development	
	100%	comn	nunities			deliver	93%	
		93%				outcomes		
						86%		

Summary of common comments made:

- Concerns about how the plan could be achieved against the context of service and budget cuts
- Desire for more detail about how the Plan will be implemented
- Desire for more detail about how the Plan will be monitored and success evaluated
- Requirement for greater clarity about meaning / intention of priority originally called 'socially connected'
- Concern about 'behaviour change' how might this be implemented, what does it mean
- Concern about communities / families supporting each other how it is intended this is supported by agencies, ensuring this is positive support and whether this is just a strategy to enable service reductions
- Respondents underlining that the Plan can only be achieved through effective partnership working
- Commonly mentioned issues which needed further highlighting / prioritisation were: mental
 health, housing, poverty and social isolation. Respondents also requested the broadening of
 references to sexual exploitation and domestic abuse

Key changes made in response to consultation:

- 'Socially connected' renamed 'involved and connected'
- 'Behaviour change within individuals, families and communities' renamed 'Promote resilience and positive choices' and explanatory paragraph amended
- Changes made to clarify that community support needs to be positive and to underline that community action / volunteering does need support statutory services
- A clearer explanation provided about how action plans will be developed, implemented and monitored
- A clearer explanation given of how delivery is expected to occur without additional funding
- Additional emphasis included in foreword about successful delivery being reliant on engagement from all partner organisations (and emphasise that all organisations have provided a commitment to this through signing up to Plan)

Full responses

1. Are you responding primarily as a:

		Response Total	Response Percent
Parent / Expectant Parent/ Carer		51	23%
Young Person		4	2%
Professional		149	67%
Other		19	9%
	Total Respondents	223	

2. Which District do you live in:

		Response Total	Response Percent	
Basildon		14	7%	
Braintree		32	16%	
Brentwood		5	2%	
Castle Point		13	6%	
Chelmsford		27	13%	
Colchester		24	12%	
Epping Forest		17	8%	
Harlow		17	8%	
Maldon		12	6%	
Rochford		8	4%	
Tendring		11	5%	
Uttlesford		8	4%	
Other, please state view		16	8%	
	Total Respondents	204		

3. Which District do you work in:

	Response Total	Response Percen	
Basildon	0	0%	
Braintree	2	9%	
Brentwood	0	0%	
Castle Point	1	5%	
Chelmsford	2	9%	
Colchester	3	14%	
Epping Forest	0	0%	
Harlow	3	14%	
Maldon	1	5%	
Rochford	0	0%	
Tendring	2	9%	
Uttlesford	1	5%	
Other, please state	7	32%	
	spondents 22		

4. What type of services do you provide

	Respor Tota	
Social Care Services	1	4%
Early Years	4	16%
Education	6	24%
Further Education	2	8%
Adult Community Learning	2	8%
Vocational Training	1	4%
Housing	3	12%
Leisure Services	3	12%
Health Commissioning	2	8%
Health Provider	3	12%
Public Protection	2	8%
Community Safety	5	20%
Benefits and Finance Advice	4	16%
Family/Parenting Support and Mentoring	6	24%
Volunteering Development and Support	5	20%
Youth Work	4	16%
Other, please specify	5	20%
	Total Respondents 25	

5. To which group(s) do you / your organisation provide support?

		Response Total	Response Percent
	No response	s were entere	ed for this question.
Pre-Birth		6	24%
Under 5		13	52%
5-10		11	44%
11-15		14	56%
16-19		13	52%
20-25		10	40%
Parents / Carers		9	36%
	Total Respondents	25	

6. Have you read the draft Essex Children and Young People's Plan?

		Response Total	Response Percent					
	No responses were entered for this question.							
Yes		160	91%					
No		16	9%					
	Total Respondents	176						

7. If yes, How much of the document did you understand?

		Response Total	Response Percent				
	No responses were entered for this question						
I understood all of it		78	54%				
I understood some of it		58	40%				
I didn't understand much of it		7	5%				
I didn't understand any of it		2	1%				
	Total Respondents	145					

8. To what extent do you agree with the Priorities we have included in the plan?

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Don't Know	Respons Total	Эe
Stable, Resilient, Safe Families	73.47% (72)	23.47% (23)	1.02% (1)	2.04% (2)	0% (0)	98	
Emotionally Healthy	76.29% (74)	20.62% (20)	1.03% (1)	2.06% (2)	0% (0)	97	
Physically Healthy	68.75% (66)	28.12% (27)	1.04% (1)	2.08% (2)	0% (0)	96	
Socially Connected	62.89% (61)	30.93% (30)	4.12% (4)	2.06% (2)	0% (0)	97	
Positive Future	71.88% (69)	22.92% (22)	3.12% (3)	2.08% (2)	0% (0)	96	
Total Respondents					98		

9. To what extent do you agree with the Outcomes we have included in the plan?

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree		Response Total
Children and Young People are well looked after and safe with their basic needs being met within resilient families	74.47% (70)	20.21% (19)	1.06% (1)	0% (0)	4.26% (4)	94
Children and Young People are emotionally healthy and make good decisions	73.63% (67)	20.88% (19)	1.1% (1)	0% (0)	4.4% (4)	91
Children and young people are engaged in positive activities and are physically healthy	68.82% (64)	24.73% (23)	2.15% (2)	0% (0)	4.3% (4)	93
Children, young people and families support each other within their communities	60.44% (55)	30.77% (28)	2.2% (2)	1.1% (1)	5.49% (5)	91
Children and young people attend good quality education, training and work opportunities	76.92% (70)	17.58% (16)	0% (0)	1.1% (1)	4.4% (4)	91
Total Respondents						

10. To what extent do you agree with the Objectives we have listed in the document?

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Don't know	Response Total
Protection for the most vulnerable	73.33% (11)	26.67% (4)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	15
Closing the gap (i.e. helping those less well of)for the most vulnerable	73.33% (11)	26.67% (4)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	15
Behaviour change within individuals, families and communities	53.33% (8)	40% (6)	0% (0)	6.67% (1)	0% (0)	15

Total Respondents						15	
System workforce culture and development	53.33% (8)	40% (6)	0% (0)	0% (0)	6.67% (1)	15	
Effective and efficient use of collective resources to deliver outcomes	53.33% (8)	33.33% (5)	0% (0)	6.67% (1)	6.67% (1)	15	
Better use of community assets	53.33% (8)	40% (6)	0% (0)	0% (0)	6.67% (1)	15	

11. In what ways does the plan help you to see how your organisation will contribute to achieving the objectives?

		Response Total	Response Percent
	No responses	were enter	red for this question.
I can see a clear role for my organisation in delivering the plan		3	19%
It will require more discussion within my organisation		6	38%
The role of organisations needs to be clearer		2	12%
There needs to be a more detailed action plan		1	6%
I don't think the plan is relevant for my organisation		0	0%
Other, please specify		4	25%

Other responses:

- I can see a need for scrutiny of the delivery of the plan, which needs to be developed further itself
- For Essex Police, a more detailed action plan is required. For the OPCC, the same is true but we would also be keen to understand the implications for commissioning and how we can work together
 Having only just seen the plan today I need to take back to my team to discuss.
- The state of the plan today I need to take back to my country to discuss it
- There is a clear role to some of us but corporately we need to make sure this is discussed

. ,	
Total Respondents	16

Summary of all comments:

Comment	Response	
Austerity – the impact of budget cuts and service reductions will make outcomes harder to achieve	This is acknowledged and an enhanced reference to this has been included in the foreword. The Plan is intended to represent a new way of working in response to reductions in budgets and services and the systems objectives represent what agencies need to do to respond to the challenges – for example, using collective resources more efficiently, maximising the use of community assets and encouraging communities to do more for themselves.	
Abuse and neglect – the full scope of issues and concerns should be referenced, the plan should not overly focus on child sexual exploitation and	The reference in systems objective 1 has been expanded.	

domestic abuse	
Self-reliance and resilience – the Plan should clarify that while self-reliance and resilience is important families should still seek help from public services if they need it	The language in systems objective 3 has been changed to clarify that while we need people to 'stop and think' before drawing on resources help is still available for those who need it. The importance of and role of early help is included in the Plan and will be highlighted in associated communications.
Behaviour change – language should be modified to clarify that this objective is not about forcing individuals to behave in the 'right way' but rather about enabling people to make positive choices most likely to lead to good outcomes	The language in systems objective 3 has been amended to reflect this.
Communities supporting each other – Plan needs to emphasise that this needs to be positive support, communities / individuals can also reinforce negative behaviours in one another	The language used has been amended to reflect this.
Joint / partnership working – Plan needs to emphasise that it can only be implemented by partners working effectively together on a strategic and operational level	The foreword has been amended to more strongly reflect this.
Implementation and monitoring – Plan needs to include more detail about how it will be implemented and monitored	The Plan is not intended to provide detail in respect of this as it is a high level, summary document. Section 8 of the Plan has been amended to clarify this and explain what the process will be for developing more detailed action plans and how performance will be monitored.
Socially connected – the meaning of the priority 'socially connected' and how this is intended to be achieved is not clear	The priority has been renamed 'involved and connected' and systems objective 5 expanded to give more detail about how the engagement of children and young people in their communities will be encouraged.
Volunteering – the use of volunteers and communities to deliver / develop their own services needs to be properly supported	This has been included in systems objective 5 and objective 6 has been changed to highlight that the system's workforce includes volunteers.
Poverty / social isolation – the importance of the limiting impact of poverty and social isolation on individuals achieving positive objectives should be emphasised	Children and young people living in poverty are recognised as a vulnerable group in the Plan and the difficulties of those in vulnerable groups in achieving positive outcomes is covered in systems objective 2.
Schools – buy in from schools in delivering the Plan is key, this may be impacted by increased numbers of schools becoming academies	A reference has been included to the academy agenda and the increasing complexity of the children's landscape resulting from this. Schools representatives have been fully engaged in the development of the Plan and will sign it off; the specific input required from schools will be considered during the action planning phase.
Vulnerable children – recognition should be given to children who are 'on the edge' of vulnerability professionals should have awareness of this; the list	A reference has been included to children 'on the edge of vulnerability'; it is has been decided not to expand the list of vulnerable groups as those

of vulnerable groups should be expanded	originally selected were based on a review of data and the consultation activity that was undertaken.
Business – businesses need to be engaged in	This is acknowledged in systems objective 4 and
delivering the Plan	will be taken forward in action planning.
Access to information – the importance of	Systems objective 3 now includes a specific
improving the access of children and young people	reference to this.
to information to help them make positive choices	
should be emphasised	
Links with other partnerships / organisations – it should be acknowledged achieving this Plan is	An acknowledgement of this has been included in the Plan.
dependent on action which is beyond the remit of	
the Partnership – good engagement with /	
influence over other Partnerships is needed	
Data – data used needs to include baseline and	The data section will be removed from the Plan as
comparators; the evidence base for some	not all selected data points have baselines and
statements included in the Plan was questioned	comparisons available and including full detail on
	this would in any case lengthen the Plan too
	much. More detailed information will be made
	separately available. Section 1 has been altered
	to ensure statements are not included where
	there is no evidence base.
Local Boards – a more localised approach is needed	There are Locality Children's Partnership Boards
to address identified issue as a result of the diverse	whose role is covered in the Plan – these Boards
nature of Essex	will use the Plan as a strategic framework for
	developing their own action plans reflecting local
	need and priority.
Impact of Plan – the Plan in itself will not result in	This is acknowledged by the Partnership however
change, how it is implemented and responded to	the Plan is important in that it provides the
will	foundations on which discussions will be held
	amongst partners about how they will work
	together to enact change.
Value of Plan for parents and carers – the Plan	This was not the intention of the Plan. The Plan
does not offer any specific advice or guidance for	will be reviewed for clarity and further discussions
parents and is difficult to understand for non-	will be held about how the Plan can be
professionals	communicated to parents and how they can best
	be directed to the support they need.
Children's centres – negative comments about the	These comments will be considered as part of the
plans to change the provision of children's centres	analysis of the public consultation on children's
and the impact of this on support for families and	centres.
the delivery of the Plan's objectives	
Outcomes – the outcomes listed are not inclusive as	The outcomes are appropriate aspirations for all
it is hard to see how they could be achievable by all	children and young people however it is
children including those with CWD; it should be	acknowledged that a personalised approach to
recognised that a personalised approach is needed	planning needs to be taken at an operational
when planning for children and young people to	level. Children with SEND are recognised as a
achieve objectives	'vulnerable' group for whom there may be gaps in
	outcomes and who may need specific support to
Dian on a page – further evaluation is needed to	overcome this. The text has been amended to address this.
Plan on a page – further explanation is needed to help understand the different elements of the plan	The text has been amended to address this.
. Den more Stand the more entrements of the Man	1

on a page and how they interrelate

Young people's involvement – young people should be more fully involved and engaged in the development, implementation, monitoring and governance of strategic plans

Additional priorities – the following were suggested for inclusion as additional priorities / areas of focus:

- Improved communication and data sharing
- Better access to support networks and services
- Better access to clubs and activities
- Access to longer term support for families (as opposed to short term interventions)
- Children with disabilities better access to services and support.
- Better / more stable / more appropriate school placements for CYP with ASD etc and improved processes around this.
- Access to mental health services
- Support / services for males who have experienced sexual violence.
- Better outreach work for early years
- Improved access to healthy activities including those outdoors.
- Better information for CYP
- Improved safeguarding training
- CYP are happy and proud to live in Essex
- Improved parenting
- Working with partners to proactively safeguard those at risk
- Housing for care leavers

A commitment to this clear in the plan; further consideration will be given to on-going involvement with children and young people during the action planning phase.

No changes have been made to the Plan as it is viewed that these issues are either already covered within the existing priorities, outcomes or objectives or that they are more specific issues which would be considered during the development of more detailed action plans. Some issues such as housing are outside of the direct remit or influence of the Partnership Board however where this is the case the Plan has emphasised that the Partnership will take an active role in seeking to influence other organisations / partnerships who do have a more direct role.

Mental Health: The prominence of emotional health and wellbeing has been further highlighted in the strategic objectives and 'emotionally healthy' is already a core priority.