# MEDiate- developing a decision-support system (DSS) for disaster risk management

ARU – Professor Keith Jones, <u>Dr Morga Mariantonietta, Dr Nadeeshani Wanigarathna</u>
ECC – Lucy Shepherd, Dr Zahida Yousaf, Marc Inman
Former team member: Femke Mulder (ARU)







#### MEDiate (2022 – 2025)

A Horizon Europe project funded research project

Aim: develop a decision-support system (DSS) for disaster risk management by considering multiple interacting natural hazards and cascading impacts using a novel resilient-informed, serviceoriented and people-centred approach that accounts for forecasted modifications in the hazard, vulnerability and exposure.

#### The DSS is a planning/preparedness tool.

Coordinated by NORSAR, the Multi-disciplinary team of 18 partners from 6 European countries, including UK

**Four Testbeds: Essex County;** City of Oslo; Metropolis of Nice Côte d'Azur; Múlaþing Municipality

#### MEDiate





## **MEDiate concept**

- Participatory Action Research methodology (the tools and the platform are co-developed with stakeholders/end-users based in the testbed)
- Measure residence and risk by assessing Socio-Economic Impacts, whether there are damaged buildings and critical infrastructure or not.
- The DSS is customised on the testbed: hazards, assets, population, special needs of a testbed or request of policymakers.
- The DSS and risk and resilience matrices focus on single and multiple hazards (compound, cascading, etc)

MEDiate



#### **MEDiate framework**

Castle Point/Canvey Island



Feedback and Continuous Improvement





# **MEDiate framework**



The output of the framework in terms of Risk Management Plans are risk matrices which can be for a region or individual asset.

For example, Anglia Ruskin University facility and risk managers are interested in the output.







### Example of Hazard maps (single hazard)calculated for Essex



Essex Extreme Wind 2050

Coastal Flooding 2050

Hazard maps for Essex 2050 (1 in 100)







### Socio-Economic Impact: flood











### Socio-Economic Impact: flood – Social Class





#### Vulnerable social classes in high flood risk areas

Other possible assessments of the social vulnerability are:

- Vulnerable tenure types in high flood risk areas
- Vulnerable Household compositions in high flood-risk areas





#### Socio-Economic Impact: flood – Tenure Type





### MEDiate DSS strengths and weaknesses

#### Strengths

- The DSS and platform can be customized (hazard, assets, indicator of socio-economic impact)
- The DSS look at multi-hazard impact
- DSS and platform are for planning purposes. They focus on preparedness.
- Past event data inform the DSS (both in terms of hazard, vulnerability, impact and mitigation)
- Co-developed with stakeholders and end-users
- The demo of the platform will be publicly available because it is funded by UKRI and European Commission

#### Weaknesses

• The demo of the platform is limited to some regions, but the tools can be used to expand the testbed areas to new regions





#### We still need...

- Social vulnerability data...
- Critical infrastructure data (roads, schools, port)







### Thank you. Any Questions?