Policy and Scrutiny Scoping Document

CYP/03/13

Committee	Children and Young People Policy and Scrutiny Committee		
Торіс	Review of Special Educational Needs and Disability (SEND)	Ref: CYP_SCR_034 File Ref: E.41.01/7/41	
Objective	Provide a scrutiny Members input into the review of SEND services in Essex prior to the introduction of a new strategy in mid-2013		
Reasons for undertaking review	SEND data between 2008 and 2012 highlights a number of areas for review and improvement. Need to improve confidence in the Essex system and plan for continuous improvement. Need to respond to recent legislative changes.		
Topic suggested by	The Committee		
Method • Initial briefing to define scope • Task & Finish Group • Commission • Full Committee	YES, but with regular reports to the main Committee		
Membership	Councillors Higgins (Chairman), Hillier and Morris and Rev Jordan		
Issues to be addressed	 Strategic issues What proposals the Council intends to consult upon and how has it arrived at these proposals? How do these tie in with Government initiatives? Will there be options up for discussion or just one proposal? What form will the consultation take – i.e. will there be public meetings / how will families of disabled children be involved / how will schools be involved / will some consultees be regarded as more 'significant' than others? What are the timescales for the consultation period, considering 		

	responses and approving the strategy? Could this be lengthened by the need for elections in May next year?			
	• What are the costs involved and how will they be met?			
	 How will all schools be taking this forward given that many are now Academies? 			
	How will the strategy be monitored to confirm that it is working?			
	Specific issues			
	 Number of Statements being made and whether it is too many / are some schools seen as SEN friendly 			
	Development of Education, Health and Care Plans			
	Children with autism / children with ADHD			
	Why children are sent to schools outside the county and can this number be reduced			
	 Greater potential for co-location of mainstream and special schools (i.e. the Colman model in Norfolk) 			
	 Early intervention and improving links between nurseries and primary schools 			
	 Influencing parental views (i.e. the thought that a Statement is a panacea for all ills) 			
	 Current links with health and how will these change with the introduction of CCGs 			
	A fundamental point underlying all these issues is how can the Council be sure that it is clear about what is the best for each individual child and putting the right provision in place.			
Sources of Evidence and witnesses	SCF Directorate officers			
Work Programme	General discussion at CYP 6/12/2012			
	Chairman's briefing and scoping review 18/12/12			
	Meetings as required from January 2013			
Indicators of Success	Input is made into the proposals that are consulted upon.			
	Way in which the consultation is to be undertaken is clear.			
	Scrutiny members have had an input before the final strategy is approved by the Cabinet Member.			
L				

Meeting the CfPS Objectives • Critical Friend Challenge to Executive • Reflect Public voice and concerns • Own the scrutiny process • Impact on service delivery	Yes Yes Yes		
Diversity and Equality	These issues underpin the whole scrutiny.		
Date agreed by Committee	December 2012		
Future Action	Action to cover period December 2012 to April 2013		
Governance Officer	Graham Redgwell	Committee Officer	Matthew Waldie
Cabinet Member Lead Officer(s)	None initially, but Committee may wish to clarify issues prior to final strategy being approved. Tim Coulson, Director for Education and Learning Annemarie Blackshaw, Head of SEN/AEN Provider Services Karen Jones, Project Manager		