Essex County Council Health Overview, Policy and Scrutiny Committee (HOPSC) and the People and Families Policy and Scrutiny Committee (PAF)

DRAFT 2 – 3 JANUARY 2018	
WHAT ARE WE LOOKING AT?	
Review Topic (Name of review)	Hip fractures and falls prevention – social care and other support for daily living
Type of Review	Joint HOPSC and PAF Task and Finish Group

WHAT DO WE HOPE TO ACHIEVE?		
Indicators of success	Through investigating aspects of the commissioning and provision of support in care/nursing homes, the intention of the review is to identify quality improvements and changes in standard operating procedures to further prevent the incidence of falls and hip fractures.	

HOW LONG IS IT GOING TO TAKE?	
Timescales	The review should be conducted over a three month period. Any extension beyond that would need to be approved by the Scrutiny Board and justified in terms of anticipating and achieving significantly improved outcomes (conclusions and recommendations) by spending further time on it.

	January 2018 - Scoping Document to be approved by HOPSC and PAF.
Provisional Timetable	February 2018 – Start of review February to April 2018 – Seek evidence and data from witnesses, site visits etc. May 2018 – Finalised report to both HOPSC and PAF

FOR COMPLETION FOR AGREEMENT OF THE COMMITTEE

WHAT INFORMATION DO WE NEED?	
Terms of Reference	To consider the type of social care and other support available for daily living in more formalised settings that can minimise falls
Key Lines of Enquiry	 (i) Does Essex County Council commission care homes/nursing homes with the safest environments? (ii) What is the attitude of care/nursing homes to risk management? (iii) What further quality improvements can be made to minimise the risk of falls and hip fractures?
What primary/new evidence is needed?	Informants: (i) PROSPER lead manager; (ii) care homes; (iii) service users; and (iv) site visits.
What secondary/ existing information is needed?	ТВС
What briefings and site visits might be relevant?	(i) The work of PROSPER which works with care homes to embed a quality improvement ethos and roll out quality improvement methods.(ii) Site visits to a selection of care homes
Other work being undertaken/Relevant Corporate Links	ECC project PROSPER (above)

WHO DO WE NEED TO CONTRIBUTE/CONSULT? (INITIAL MEETING TO ESTABLISH THIS)	
Relevant Portfolio Holder(s)	Cabinet Member, Health and Adult Social Care Cabinet Member, Children and Families
Key ECC Officers	Maggie Pacini, Public Health Consultant Lesley Cruickshank, Quality Innovation Manager
Partners and service users	TBC

WHAT RESOURCES DO W	/E NEED?	
Lead Member and Membership	Councillor Jo Beavis - Lead Member. Councillors Dave Harris, June Lumley, Neil Pudney, Pat Reid, Clive Souter, Lesley Wagland	
Co-optee's (if any)	ТВС	

Lead Scrutiny Officer/Other	Graham Hughes, Senior Democratic Services Officer
Expected Member commitment	TBC – a guide would be two commitments per month for the duration of the review.

WHAT ARE THE RISKS/CONSTRAINTS?	
Risk analysis (site visits etc.)	ТВС
Possible constraints	(i) Capacity of members and supporting officers;(ii) Timely availability of contributors and information to meet review deadlines

WHAT WILL BE REQUIRED FROM STAKEHOLDERS?		
Internal stakeholders	ТВС	
External stakeholders	TBC	

WHO ARE WE DIRECTING	ANY RECOMMENDATIONS AND ACTIONS TO?
Recommendations to (key decision makers):	To relevant Cabinet Member(s), health and social care partners that identify how improvements can be made in the quality of care and support delivered in more formal residential settings which can further minimise the incidence of falls and hip fractures
Reporting arrangements	твс
Follow-up arrangements	Initial response and formal implementation reviews to be scheduled into the work programme of each committee after completion of the review.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION/NOTES		