

**Policy S6 - Supporting Text**

Adopted MLP 2014 Reference	New Reference (name of consultation doc)	Proposed Amendment
Paragraph 3.76	N/A	Removed paragraph due to re-organisation of section required primarily as a result of the need to base a new MLP provision on the NPPF methodology, with the removal of all references to the now-expired National and Sub-National Guidelines for Aggregate Provision 2005 – 2020 except where these are historic. The reference to SARs also required removal due to the intention to no longer make a distinction between aggregate recycling centres which are strategic or otherwise.
New paragraph	3.76	<p>Added 'The NPPF requires the MPA to plan for a steady and adequate supply of aggregates by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Preparing an annual 'Local Aggregate Assessment' based on a rolling average of ten-years sales data and other relevant local information, and an assessment of all supply options (including marine dredged, secondary and recycled sources),</li> <li>• Taking part in the operation of the relevant Aggregate Working Party (East of England AWP) and taking the advice of the Working Party into account when preparing the Local Aggregate Assessment,</li> <li>• Making provision for land-won and other elements of the Local Aggregate Assessment in the Minerals Local Plan, having taken into account the advice of the Aggregate Working Party and the National Aggregate Coordinating Group, and</li> <li>• Taking account of the latest published National and Sub-National Guidelines on future provision and using this guideline when planning for future aggregate demand and supply.</li> </ul> <p>Within Essex, the only aggregate that needs to be provided for is sand and gravel. This Plan provides the framework for identifying new mineral sites to meet these requirements.</p>

		To preserve information originally set out in Paragraph 3.85
N/A	Paragraph 3.77, new first three sentences	Added 'The landbank provides the mechanism for securing and maintaining mineral supplies at the County level. The role of the landbank is to reflect the time taken to obtain planning permissions and bring sites into production. The extent of the landbank provides a useful indicator for deciding when new permissions for extraction are required.' to preserve this information that would otherwise be lost through removal of Paragraph 3.76.
Paragraph 3.77, first sentence	Paragraph 3.77, fourth sentence	Added 'a current', removed 'a', added 'mineral reserves with', removed 'permissions', added ' permission for extraction.', removed 'for the winning and working of minerals into the future' so as to more accurately define landbanks.
Paragraph 3.77, third bullet	Paragraph 3.77, third bullet	Removed the comma after the full-stop in the third bullet point after 'e.g.'.
Paragraph 3.78, third sentence	Paragraph 3.78, third sentence	Added a hyphen to 'long term' for consistency.
Paragraph 3.79	Paragraph 3.79	Removed 'guidance' and added 'instruction' to clarify that this is an NPPF requirement. Also removed 'mineral' and added 'sand and gravel' as reference to industrial minerals is being removed from this section.
Paragraph 3.80	N/A	Removed the title 'Aggregate minerals' as the paragraph below can be accommodated into an expanded Paragraph 3.79.
Paragraph 3.80, first bullet	Paragraph 3.79, first bullet	Added a hyphen to 'seven year' to make the sentence grammatically correct.
Paragraph 3.81	N/A	<p>Removed 'Industrial minerals'</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• At least ten years for individual silica sand sites; or at least fifteen years for silica sand sites where significant new capital is required.</li> <li>• At least 25 years for brick clay.</li> <li>• Where relevant, take account of the need for the provision of brick clay from a number of different sources to enable appropriate blends to be made.' Paragraph 3.81 sets out the Plan approach to Industrial Minerals which is the domain of Policy S7. It is therefore considered that Paragraph 3.81 be deleted from this section. The information provided here is already incorporated within the supporting text of Policy S7.</li> </ul>

Paragraph 3.82, first sentence	Paragraph 3.80, first sentence	Removed 'and also site-specific landbanks of at least ten years for silica sand (at Martells Quarry, Park Farm, Ardleigh) and at least 25 years for brick clay (to serve both Bulmer Brickfield and Marks Tey, respectively)' as all information relating to industrial minerals is to be set out within Policy S7 and its supporting text.
Paragraph 3.82, final sentence	Paragraph 3.80, final sentence	Added a full stop and removed 'although further monitoring of building sand will be undertaken to establish whether this situation needs to be reviewed.' to remove reference to continue to monitor building sand due to the proposal to remove Mineral Monitoring Indicator 2 as set out in the relevant section.
Paragraph 3.83, first sentence	N/A	Removed 'As of 31 December 2011, the combined Essex and Thurrock updated landbank for sand and gravel was 8.3 years.' as this is historic information.
Paragraph 3.84, first sentence	Paragraph 3.82, first sentence	Removed 'regularly' and added 'every five years' to reference latest legislation.
Paragraph 3.84, second sentence	Paragraph 3.82, second sentence	Removed 'Annual', added 'Authority' to recognise the change in name of this report Further added abbreviations for Authority Monitoring Report (AMR) and Local Aggregate Assessment (LAA).
Paragraph 3.84, third, fourth and final sentence	N/A	Removed 'Where the landbank falls below seven years there will be an opportunity to bring Reserve Sites forward for extraction. In addition a five-yearly plan review will be undertaken as part of a “plan, monitor, and manage” approach, or if the landbank shows signs of falling below the seven years’ requirement, whichever comes sooner (see policy IMR1). The proposed annual monitoring and the commitment to a five yearly Plan review provides sufficient flexibility in the Plan, removing the need to plan for further additional resources at the end of the plan period which may potentially amount to over-provision contrary to the Minerals Supply Hierarchy.' as it has been concluded that it is no longer appropriate to make a distinction between Preferred Sites and Reserve Sites and in light of the revised wording following these removed sentences.

N/A	Paragraph 3.82, final sentence	Added 'A second trigger is also in place; should the AMR show that minimum landbanks are not being maintained (of at least seven years for sand and gravel, ten years for silica sand or 25 years for brick clay), the MPA will explicitly consider whether an early review of the MLP is required or whether there are mitigating circumstances, and publish the conclusion in the AMR.' to provide further information around the AMR.
Heading 'National guidelines on aggregate supply provision'	Heading 'Ensuring a Steady and Adequate Supply of Minerals is made in Essex'	Replaced heading due to the expiration of the National and Sub-National Guidelines for Aggregates Provision in England 2005-2020.
Paragraph 3.85	N/A	<p>Removed 'The NPPF requires the MPA to plan for a steady and adequate supply of aggregates by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Preparing an annual 'Local Aggregate Assessment' based on a rolling average of 10 years sales data and other relevant local information, and an assessment of all supply options (including marine dredged, secondary and recycled sources),</li> <li>• Taking part in the operation of the relevant Aggregate Working Party (East of England AWP) and taking the advice of the Working Party into account when preparing the Local Aggregate Assessment,</li> <li>• Making provision for land-won and other elements of the Local Aggregate Assessment in the Mineral Local Plan, having taken into account the advice of the Aggregate Working Party and the National Aggregate Coordinating Group,</li> <li>• Taking account of the published National and Sub-National Guidelines on future provision, and using this guideline when planning for future aggregate demand and supply.'</li> </ul> <p>As it is now proposed to open the supporting text to Policy S6 with this information plus minor amendments to that set out here.</p>
Paragraph 3.86, first sentence	Paragraph 3.83, first sentence	Added 'up to 2020' to clarify that the National and Sub-National Guidelines for Aggregates Provision in England 2005-2020 have expired.

N/A	Paragraph 3.83, second sentence, third and final sentence	Added 'This Plan was adopted in 2014 and was subject to the provisions of these guidelines during its preparation and for approximately half of its lifetime. However, these guidelines have since expired and were no longer appropriate to be used as a basis for forecasting future mineral need as part of the review of the Plan. As set out previously, the NPPF requires the MPA to plan for a steady and adequate supply of aggregates by preparing an annual 'Local Aggregate Assessment' based on a rolling average of ten-years sales data and other relevant local information, and an assessment of all supply options (including marine dredged, secondary and recycled sources).' to update the paragraph following the expiration of the National and Sub-National Guidelines for Aggregates Provision in England 2005-2020.
Paragraph 3.86, final sentence	N/A	Removed 'For the East of England, the following million tonnes to 2020 are-required' to accommodate re-drafting due to the expiration of the National and Sub-National Guidelines for Aggregates Provision in England 2005-2020.
New Paragraph	Paragraph 3.84	After sub-division of the East of England apportionment as set out in the aforementioned National and Sub-National Guidelines down to the MPA level by the East of England Aggregate Working Party, Essex was apportioned 4.31mtpa of sand and gravel. The Essex provision figure of 4.31 mtpa for sand and gravel equated to a total plan provision of 77.58 mt over the 18-year plan-period of 2012-2029 inclusive (excluding existing permissions). After deductions for existing permitted reserves at the base date (36.034 million tonnes at 31 Dec 2011 for Essex only reserves and excluding estimated reserves of 0.98mt for Thurrock) and deducting new permissions granted since 1 January 2012 (0.88mt from 2 sites) the planning requirement for primary extraction from new site allocations in Essex was set at 40.67 million tonnes. This requirement was accommodated through site allocations made through the first iteration of this Plan.' to accommodate re-drafting due to the expiration of the National and Sub-National Guidelines for Aggregates Provision in England 2005-2020.
New Paragraph	Paragraph 3.85	Added 'Following the expiration of the National and Sub-National Guidelines during the MLP Review, recourse was made to previous sand and gravel sales to forecast any additional provision that may be needed to ensure a steady and adequate supply of sand and gravel to the end of the Plan period. Information on aggregate sales is collected by the MPA annually for use in Local Aggregate Assessments. As set out in background papers supporting the MLP Review, it was considered appropriate to adopt a new plan

		apportionment of 3.74mtpa for sand and gravel, which equated to an average of the previous ten years of sales plus 20%.' to accommodate re-drafting due to the expiration of the National and Sub-National Guidelines for Aggregates Provision in England 2005-2020.
New Paragraph	Paragraph 3.86	Added 'When taking into account existing permitted reserves, applications within the planning system and those existing allocations that had yet to come forward at the time that a new plan provision figure was proposed in 2021, a minimum of 8.67mt of sand and gravel was calculated to be required to support provision to the end of the Plan period and ensure the maintenance of a seven-year landbank. This needed to be found through additional site allocations. A Call for Sites was initiated as part of the Review and additional sites allocated following adoption of this amended Plan.' to accommodate re-drafting due to the expiration of the National and Sub-National Guidelines for Aggregates Provision in England 2005-2020.
New Paragraph	Paragraph 3.87	Added 'Those sites allocated through the original adoption of the Plan in 2014 as well as those added through the MLP Review are set out in Policy P1, Table 5.' to accommodate re-drafting due to the expiration of the National and Sub-National Guidelines for Aggregates Provision in England 2005-2020.
Table 3	N/A	Removed Table 3 due to expiration of the National and Sub-National Guidelines for Aggregates Provision in England 2005-2020.
Paragraph 3.87	N/A	Removed 'These sub-national supply guidelines for land-won sand & gravel were apportioned to the individual mineral planning authorities within the East of England. This process was completed by the former Regional Planning Body (the East of England Regional Assembly) on the advice of the East of England Aggregate Working Party (EEAWP). The latter comprises representatives from each of the mineral planning authorities (MPAs) in the East of England, including Essex, as well as industry representatives.' to accommodate re-drafting due to the expiration of the National and Sub-National Guidelines for Aggregates Provision in England 2005-2020.
Paragraph 3.88	N/A	Removed 'There is no EEAWP apportionment available for either land-won crushed rock or alternative materials. The crushed rock resource in the East of England is very limited and is not of sufficient high quality for it to be economic to transport any significant distance. As such, it is not considered a truly regional resource and Essex does not have any local resource of sufficient economic significance (see Part 3, Spatial Portrait). The

		EEAWP was unable to apportion 'alternative materials' within the region due in part to the limited data available.' to accommodate re-drafting due to the expiration of the National and Sub-National Guidelines for Aggregates Provision in England 2005-2020.
Paragraph 3.89	N/A	<p>Removed 'The Greater Essex land-won sand and gravel provision figure of 4.45 mtpa has been divided as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 4.31 mtpa to Essex, and,</li> <li>• 0.14 mtpa to Thurrock.</li> </ul> <p>to accommodate re-drafting due to the expiration of the National and Sub-National Guidelines for Aggregates Provision in England 2005-2020.</p>
Paragraph 3.90	N/A	Removed 'For information, Southend-on-Sea is unable to make a contribution to the Greater Essex mineral supply because of its tightly defined and built-up administrative area and lack of mineral resource.' to accommodate re-drafting due to the expiration of the National and Sub-National Guidelines for Aggregates Provision in England 2005-2020.
Paragraph 3.91	N/A	Removed 'In summary, this sub-national apportionment process has been approved by the EEAWP and the former East of England Regional Assembly (March 2010), with the appropriate Greater Essex MPA shares having been subsequently agreed between Essex County Council and Thurrock Council'. to accommodate re-drafting due to the expiration of the National and Sub-National Guidelines for Aggregates Provision in England 2005-2020.
Heading 'Land Won Sand and Gravel Sales'	N/A	Heading removed due to removal of section
Paragraph 3.92	N/A	Removed 'Information on aggregate sales is collected on an annual basis for all MPAs in the East of England by the AWP, and this information is included in individual MPA Annual Monitoring Reports and Local Aggregate Assessments.' to accommodate re-drafting due to the expiration of the National and Sub-National Guidelines for Aggregates Provision in England 2005-2020.

Paragraph 3.93	N/A	Removed 'The Greater Essex Local Aggregate Assessment includes the latest ten-year rolling average of aggregate sales for the period 2002-2011, recorded as 3.76 mtpa for Greater Essex (Essex, Southend-on-Sea and Thurrock). This is below the 3.9mtpa recorded across Greater Essex for the period 2001-2010, and below the Greater Essex apportionment figure of 4.45 mtpa.' to accommodate re-drafting due to the expiration of the National and Sub-National Guidelines for Aggregates Provision in England 2005-2020.
Paragraph 3.94	N/A	Removed 'Between 2001-2007 when the economy was more buoyant, the sales of sand and gravel regularly exceeded 4mtpa,. Lower figures have been reported from 2008 onwards, reflecting the economic recession and the consequent fall in construction activity, and this has acted to reduce the ten-year rolling average figure. Paragraph 174 of the NPPF makes it clear the Plan should 'facilitate development throughout the economic cycle.' As such, basing future mineral provision on recessionary levels of sales would in effect be planning for a continuation of the current recession and this would be contrary to the national growth agenda that this Plan must support.' to accommodate re-drafting due to the expiration of the National and Sub-National Guidelines for Aggregates Provision in England 2005-2020.
Heading 'Plan provision for future sand and gravel extraction'	N/A	Heading removed due to removal of section.
Paragraph 3.95	N/A	Removed 'This Plan has therefore been prepared to provide 4.31mtpa of sand and gravel over the plan-period, to be provided by existing sites with permission as well as Preferred and Reserve Sites proposed by the Plan. The 4.31mtpa provision figure for the County is consistent with the sub-national aggregate apportionment figure and with the current stance of the East of England Aggregates Working Party. Although as stated recent sales figures in Essex/ Thurrock combined are below this plan provision, current sales reflect a recessionary period in the national economy and is untypical in the context of historic performance in recent decades. In addition, taking a longer term view to 2029, it is anticipated that the UK economy will recover with higher sales volumes needing to be facilitated.' to accommodate re-drafting due to the expiration of the National and Sub-National Guidelines for Aggregates Provision in England 2005-2020.



Paragraph 3.96	N/A	Removed 'The numerical difference between the sub-regional apportionment figure and the sales figures provides for flexibility in the Plan. The provision allocated through both Preferred and Reserve sites meets the 4.31 mtpa sub-regional apportionment figure. The provision for solely Preferred sites is based on 10 year average sales. Should sales figures 'bounce back' to higher volumes closer to recent pre-recessionary experience, then this is addressed in the Plan through the ability to bring forward the allocated Reserve sites while continuing to provide certainty to local communities and the minerals industry about where minerals development will take place.' to accommodate re-drafting due to the expiration of the National and Sub-National Guidelines for Aggregates Provision in England 2005-2020.
Paragraph 3.97	N/A	Removed 'The Essex provision figure of 4.31 mtpa for sand and gravel equates to a total plan provision of 77.58 mt over the eighteen year plan-period of 2012-2029 inclusive (excluding existing permissions). After deductions for existing permitted reserves at the base date (36.034 million tonnes at 31 Dec. 2011 for Essex only reserves and excluding estimated reserves of 0.98mt for Thurrock) and deducting new permissions granted since 1 January 2012 (0.88mt from 2 sites) the planning requirement for primary extraction from new site allocation in Essex is 40.67 million tonnes.' to accommodate re-drafting due to the expiration of the National and Sub-National Guidelines for Aggregates Provision in England 2005-2020.
Statement following Paragraph 3.97, first sentence	N/A	Removed 'Therefore the Plan needs to identify an additional 40.67 million tonnes from Preferred Sites for Land Won Sand and Gravel.' to accommodate re-drafting due to the expiration of the National and Sub-National Guidelines for Aggregates Provision in England 2005-2020.
Paragraph 3.98	N/A	Removed 'Part Four of this Plan identifies the Preferred and Reserve Sites for sand and gravel extraction in the County on a site-specific basis which will achieve this overall scale of provision up to 2029.'. This paragraph was removed as the information is already referred to in the previous statement.
Paragraph 3.99 first sentence	Paragraph 3.88 first sentence	Removed full stop in order to extend sentence.

Paragraph 3.99 second sentence	Paragraph 3.88 first sentence	Removed 'The Local Aggregate Assessment estimates the proportion at about 78%' so the MLP does not contain statistics that may well change on an annual basis. Added a comma between 'long-term' and 'should' to make the sentence grammatically correct.
N/A	Paragraph 3.88 final sentence	Added 'The LAA monitors import and export information on an annual basis' to set out how the MPA monitors this issue.
Paragraph 3.100	N /A	Removed 'The majority of future development will be focused spatially to supply a dispersed market having regard to the national growth areas of South Essex Thames Gateway and Haven Gateway (Essex), and the Key Centres of Basildon, Chelmsford, Colchester and Harlow. There are also Key Centres in the neighbouring areas of Southend-on-Sea and Thurrock which will experience significant growth and development. The Spatial Portrait identifies some of the major infrastructure projects which may also create a demand for minerals.' because the paragraph may date and no longer necessarily reflect growth locations that come forward in future local plans.
Paragraph 3.101, first sentence	Paragraph 3.89, first sentence	Removed full stop and added a comma after 'areas' in order to extend sentence.
Paragraph 3.101, second sentence	N/A	Removed 'The Local Aggregate Assessment concluded that 22% of sand & gravel extracted within Essex is exported outside of the greater Essex area, 14% of which is exported outside the East of England' as this is historic information.
Paragraph 3.101, final sentence	Paragraph 3.89, final sentence	Removed 'imported approximately 20% of its', added 'imports', removed 'requirements', removed the brackets before 'both' and after 'sourced' and added 'as well as crushed rock,'. This was to make the sentence flow better, remove statistics which may fall out of date and include reference to crushed rock as a resource that Essex imports.
Paragraph 3.102, first sentence	Paragraph 3.90, first sentence	Added 'and this will likely continue into the future' for clarification.
Paragraph 3.102, second sentence	Paragraph 3.90, second sentence	Removed 'adopted London Plan (2011) confirms the' as this is a historic source.

Paragraph 3.103, first sentence	Paragraph 3.91, first sentence	Removed 'The London Aggregate Working Party Annual Monitoring Report 2011 states "London continues to be', added 'London is likely to be', and removed quote mark after 'requirements' to replace a historic reference with recognised understanding.
Paragraph 3.103, final sentence	Paragraph 3.91, final sentence	Added 'land-won', removed 'in light of the above' and added 'as a result of an increase in usage of aggregate sourced from other sources, including the marine environment both within Essex and elsewhere' for clarification.
Paragraph 3.104, first sentence	Paragraph 3.92, first sentence	Added the abbreviation '(EEAWP)', removed 'have agreed to maintain plan provision to the extent of the annual apportionment originally presented in the East of England Regional Spatial Strategy' as this is a historic reference.
Paragraph 3.104, second sentence	N/A	Removed 'Since the annual apportionment was set to equate to local need, there is no requirement for the MPA to make any specific provision to serve any neighbouring area' and reintegrated into a revised paragraph.
Paragraph 3.104, third sentence	Paragraph 3.92, first sentence	Removed 'Nevertheless, all MPAs ' for grammar purposes.
N/A	Paragraph 3.92, third sentence	Added 'However, there is no requirement for Essex County Council to make any specific provision to serve any neighbouring area as this will be accommodated by ensuring sufficient provision is maintained, based on an understanding of previous sales and future growth ' to update this plan reference.
N/A	Paragraph 3.92, final sentence	Added 'EEAWP provides an effective forum for ensuring the ongoing cooperation of participant authorities in addition to the formal Duty to Cooperate process.' to update this plan reference.
Section Heading - 'MPA consideration of non-Preferred Sites'	Section Heading - The Mineral Planning Authority's consideration of non-allocated sites'	Added 'The Mineral Planning Authority's', removed 'MPA', removed '-Preferred Sites' and added 'allocated sites' to recognise the difference between a 'Non-Preferred' Site, which is a site which wasn't selected following site assessments, with that of any site that is not allocated, which includes both 'Non-Preferred' sites and any other potential site.
Paragraph 3.105, first sentence	Paragraph 3.93, first sentence	Removed 'and Reserve' to remove reference to reserve sites.

Paragraph 3.105 first sentence	Paragraph 3.93, first sentence	Added 'unless there is' for clarification.
Paragraph 3.105, second sentence	Paragraph 3.93, first sentence	Removed '. There may, however, be circumstances where', removed the space between '/' and 'or', added an apostrophe before 'over' to make the sentence grammatically correct. Added 'as set out in Policy S6.' and removed 'for mineral development can be demonstrated.', to better clarify the intention of the policy.
Paragraph 3.106, first sentence	Paragraph 3.94, first sentence	Removed 'Proposals' added 'A potential overriding justification or benefit', removed 'non-Preferred Sites', added 'non-allocated sites' removed 'occur in relation to', added 'include, but is not limited to' in order to clarify that the list of potential overriding justifications and/or benefits are not limited to those set out in the paragraph.
Paragraph 3.106, first sentence	Paragraph 3.94, first sentence	Removed "non-Preferred Sites" and added 'non-allocated sites' to recognise the difference between a 'Non-Preferred' Site, which is a site which wasn't selected following site assessments, with that of any site that is not allocated, which includes both 'Non-Preferred' sites and any other potential site.
Paragraph 3.106, third bullet point	Paragraph 3.94, third bullet point	Removed 'over', added 'or more' and added '(as set out in Policy S8).' for clarification and to ensure that proposals equating to exactly 5ha are captured.
N/A	Paragraph 3.95, final sentence	Added 'For agricultural irrigation reservoir proposals involving extraction and exportation, clauses may be imposed to ensure that the after-use is restricted for agricultural use.' to provide additional information and possible conditions around non-allocated sites.
Paragraph 3.108, second sentence	Paragraph 3.96, final sentence	Removed 'mineral supply in specific County sub-areas, or the' as it may be able to be demonstrated that the location of the consented reserve is inappropriately located relative to a main market area, in conformity with the PPG.
Paragraph 3.108, final sentence	Paragraph 3.96, final sentence	Removed 'Preferred Sites' and added 'allocated sites' to recognise the difference between a 'Non-Preferred' Site, which is a site which wasn't selected following site assessments, with that of any site that is not allocated, which includes both 'Non-Preferred' sites and any other potential site.

Paragraph 3.109, first sentence	Paragraph 3.97, first sentence	Removed 'Preferred Sites' and added 'allocated sites' to recognise the difference between a 'Non-Preferred' Site, which is a site which wasn't selected following site assessments, with that of any site that is not allocated, which includes both 'Non-Preferred' sites and any other potential site.
Paragraph 3.109, final sentence	Paragraph 3.97, final sentence	Removed a comma and 'and', added 'and quantity' and added 'normally' to remove the restrictiveness from this sentence and include reference to quantity.
Paragraph 3.110, first sentence	Paragraph 3.98, first sentence	Removed 'and Reserve' to remove reference to reserve sites.
Paragraph 3.110, final sentence	Paragraph 3.98, final sentence	Removed 'a-Preferred Sites' and added 'allocated sites' to recognise the difference between a 'Non-Preferred' Site, which is a site which wasn't selected following site assessments, with that of any site that is not allocated, which includes both 'Non-Preferred' sites and any other potential site.
Paragraph 3.110, final sentence	Paragraph 3.98, final sentence	Added 'of through their impact on the permitted reserve' and removed 'and rolled-forward over a further time period' to clarify approach.
<b>Policy S6 Text</b>		
<b>Adopted MLP 2014 Reference</b>	<b>New Reference (name of consultation doc)</b>	<b>Proposed Amendment</b>
Policy Title	Policy Title	Added 'General principles for sand and gravel provision', removed 'Provision for sand and gravel extraction' to better reflect the breadth of the policy.
First Paragraph	First Paragraph	Removed '7', added 'seven' for consistency.
First Paragraph	First Paragraph	Added 'The rate of plan provision is set at 3.74mtpa.' as it was requested in Paragraph 52 of the Inspectors Report (Report on the Examination of the Essex County Council Replacement Minerals Local Plan (January 2013)) that reference to the full requirement is included in the plan (whilst this was with reference to the old apportioned of 4.31mtpa, the principle of setting out plan provision within the policy is considered to still hold).
Second Paragraph	N/A	Removed 'The working of Reserve Sites will only be supported if the landbank with respect to the overall requirement of 4.31mtpa is below 7 years' to recognise the intention to re-designate Reserve Site allocations.

N/A	Second Paragraph	Added 'Policy P1 provides for the provision of sand and gravel through the allocation of Preferred Sites for extraction' to clarify how sand and gravel provision has been made through Preferred Sites.
Third Paragraph	Third Paragraph	Removed 'or Reserve' to accommodate the proposed redesignation of Reserve Sites to Preferred Sites.