

Essex County Council 2008/09 Outturn Report



Essex County Council 2008/09 Outturn Report

Foreword

Welcome to our Performance Outturn Report for 2008/09. This report shows our progress in delivering against our priority areas, our direction of travel and our performance in comparison to others. Our ambition is to deliver the best quality of life in Britain and our first EssexWorks Corporate Plan introduced for the period 2008-2011 sets out the most important things we will do to improve Essex and the lives of our residents over three years.

EssexWorks was based on extensive research and consultation activity and our ten EssexWorks priorities and the outcomes we have set ourselves are reflective of this and what we have agreed to deliver with our partners through the Local Area Agreement.

This Performance Outturn Report therefore assesses our performance in 2008/09 across three frameworks:

- 1. Our EssexWorks Corporate Plan priority indicators 2008-2011
- 2. Our Local Area Agreement indicators which are not included in the EssexWorks Corporate Plan
- 3. Our first Local Area Agreement Reward Indicators

The set of 198 National Indicators ('the National Indicator Set') was announced by Communities and Local Government in October 2007 following the Comprehensive Spending Review. These became effective from 1 April 2008 and therefore this is the first year reporting against this set. Whilst it is not possible to draw comparisons with the Best Value Performance Indicators, in some cases historical data is available and the outturn report therefore also assesses direction of travel where such data is available.

We are pleased with our performance in 2008/09. We have made significant progress over the last year across all our priority areas and delivered on the EssexWorks Pledges. Key achievements in 2008/09 include increasing recycling to over 40% in partnership with District and Borough Councils leading us to pledge to increase this to 45% in 2009/10 and 50% in the next three years, further reducing the number of people killed or seriously injured on the roads of Essex in partnership with the Essex Casualty Reduction Board and increasing tenfold the number of adult social care users who through personal budgets were offered greater choice and control over their support.

However, we recognise that 2009/10 is likely to be an increasingly challenging year given the environment we work within. It is vitally important that we continue to provide high quality services which deliver real value for money. Our successes over the last year demonstrate our innovative and pioneering approach alongside collaboration with partners which are vital if we are to deliver the best quality of life in Britain.

Contents

Key (to indicator tables)	р7	Priority 6: Promoting sustainable economic growth	p2 3
		Priority 7: Delivering value for money	p2 4
EssexWorks Corporate Plan Indicators	р9	Our World	p2 6
Priority 1: Putting the customer first	р9	Priority 8: Protecting the environment	p26
Our People	p10	Priority 9: Reducing and recycling waste	p 27
Priority 2: Increasing educational achievement and skills	p10	Priority 10: Making communities safer	p28
Priority 3: Promoting health and leisure	p15		
Priority 4: Supporting vulnerable people	p17	Essex Local Area Agreement Indicators (those that have not been adopted in the EssexWorks Corporate Plan)	p31
Our Economy	p22		
Priority 5: Improving transport	p22	Local Area Agreement Reward Indicators (PSA2)	P3 5

Key (to indicator tables)

performing 25% of authorities)

NI	Indicators prefixed 'NI' are part of the National Indicator Set (NIS) suite of performance indicators, first introduced in April 2008 Central Government.	Δ	The 2008/09 result shows improved performance, either when compared to the 2007/08 result (1 year direction of travel) or when compared to the 2005/06 result (3 year direction of travel).
u	Indicators prefixed 'LI' are locally defined performance indicators, created to monitor delivery of the EssexWorks Corporate Plan or Local Area Agreement, where there was not an appropriate national indicator.	$\triangleleft \triangleright$	The 2008/09 result shows performance levels have been maintained, either when compared to the 2007/08 result (1 year direction of travel) or when compared to the 2005/06 result (3 year direction of travel).
LAA Prx	Indicator features in the Local Area Agreement (LAA). Prx refers to the LAA Priority to which the indicator relates. Performance targets against 2008/09 reflect those set within the Agreement, including any updates as part of the	∇	The 2008/09 result shows a decline in performance, either when compared to the 2007/08 result (1 year direction of travel) or when compared to the 2005/06 result (3 year direction of travel).
	2009 LAA refresh.	Υ	The 2008/09 result has met or exceeded the 2008/09 target.
		N	The 2008/09 result has not met the 2008/09 target.

Where ever possible, comparative data for 'NI' indicators has been taken from the national Data Interchange Hub (DIH) via Floor Targets Interactive, one of the analytical windows to the DIH, and compares against all English authorities (unless otherwise stated). It is not possible to make comparisons for any 'LI' indicators as these have been locally defined.

Best	The level achieved places performance in the top quartile (among best performing 25% of authorities)	↑	Direction of travel when comparing the 2008/09 quartile against the quartile achieved in 2007/08.
Upper	The level achieved places performance above the median	Best Quartile	Achieving at or above this level places performance in the best quartile (top 25% best performing authorities).
Lower	The level achieved places performance below the median	National Average	Average performance level achieved across all authorities.
Monet	The level achieved places performance in the bottom quartile (among worst		

Note: The National Indicator Set (NIS) was first introduced in April 2008. However the department of Communities and Local Government (CLG) have made some historical data available in the national Data Interchange Hub (DIH), the Governments central repository for all NIS data. In some cases historical data is available because an indicator had previously been reported under a different framework. For others, the underlying data was available to allow relevant government departments to calculate retrospectively. Where Essex County Council has been unable to verify data or disagree with an historical value this has been noted in the indicator tables of this report. For cases where historical data is not available in the DIH, Essex County Council has populated the indicator tables where possible with data held locally. This local data is shown in *italics*.

Published July 2009 Page 7

				How we	performe				How we compared against others in				
	Indicator	2005/06	2007/08	2008/09	2008/09	Direction	of Travel	Met		2008/0		National	Supporting Notes
	malcator	Result	Result	Target	Result	3 Years	1 Year	Target?	Quartile Position	of Travel		Average	
	Priority 1: Putting the customer first												
	LI2: Percentage of Essex County Council customers satisfied with services					Definition a	and baselir	ne data to b	e approved as part	of the next	Corporate Pla	ın refresh	
LAA	NI4 : Percentage of people who feel able to influence decisions Good performance is: HIGH	-	32%	33%	27.1% (+/- 3.2%)	-	∇	N	Lower (Data Interchange Hub)	-	31.7%	28.9%	Prior to 2008/09 this indicator was reported as ECC410, and collected by local tracker survey.
LAA Pr 5	Ll10a: Percentage of parishes (target districts) with three out of four identified key services (shop selling general goods, post office, cash point and paypoint) Good performance is: HIGH	-	-	Baseline Year	Not Available	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Baseline data is currently undergoing end of year quality checks with the Rural Community Council of Essex (RCCE) prior to final confirmation.
LAA Pr 5	Ll10b: The mean percentage of parishes (target districts) who have access to five essential services (general store, post office, hospital, GP and pharmacy) Good performance is: HIGH	-	-	Baseline Year	Not Available	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Baseline data is currently undergoing end of year quality checks with the Rural Community Council of Essex (RCCE) prior to final confirmation.
ГАА	NI5: Percentage of people satisfied with their local area as a place to live Good performance is: HIGH	-	80%	79%	85.2% (+/- 2.3%)	-	Δ	Υ	Best (Data Interchange Hub)	-	84.4%	79.7%	Prior to 2008/09 this indicator was reported as ECC285, and collected by local tracker survey. 2005/06 data was collected via the 'Engaging with Residents' survey, and so not directly comparable. The 2007/08 result was not available in time to inform the 2008/09 target, instead it was based on 2006/07 data (78%).

		How we performed							How we co			ers in	
	Indicator	2005/06 Result	2007/08 Result	2008/09 Target	2008/09 Result	Direction	n of Travel	Met Target?	Quartile Position	2008/09 Direction Best National		Supporting Notes	
						3 Years	1 Year		Qualitie Fosition	of Travel	Quartile	Average	
	LI1: Percentage of citizens satisfied with the overall service provided by the authority Good performance is: HIGH	•	52%	52%	Not Available	-	Not Available	Not Available		•	•		Prior to 2008/09 this indicator was reported as BV3, and collected by local tracker survey for 2007/08. In 2008/09 data was collected via the national Place Survey, to which the Audit Commission have applied capped weighting. Until their methodology is shared it is not possible to accurately calculate final results for local Place Survey indicators.
	OUR PEOPLE												
	Priority 2: Increasing educational ach	nievemen	t and skil	ls									
	NI89a: Number of schools which are in special measures at the end of the summer term	-	8	O	3	-	Δ	N		-	-	-	Data and targets relate to the previous academic year. Results do not take into account authority size, therefore it is inappropriate to compare against others.
	Good performance is: LOW												
	LI3: Percentage of parents whose children are offered places at their 1st or 2nd choice comprehensive school Good performance is: HIGH	-	97%	96%	96%	-	∇	Y		-	-	-	Prior to 2008/09 this indicator was reported as ECC303. Data and targets relate to the confirmed position at the start of the current academic year (September). The 2005/06 result reflected an estimated position and so not directly comparable.
I LL KAO	NI87a: Percentage of persistent absentees in local authority maintained secondary schools Good performance is: LOW	7.2%	7.2%	6.7%	5.8%	Δ	Δ	Y	Lower (Data Interchange Hub)	0	4.7%	5.6%	Data and targets relate to the previous academic year up to the May half term.
1 1 1 1 1	LI87b: Percentage of persistent absentees in local authority maintained primary schools Good performance is: LOW	-	1.6%	1.5%	1.5%	-	Δ	Υ	-	-	-	-	Data and targets relate to the previous academic year up to the May half term.

				How we	e performe	d			How we compared against others in				
	Indicator	2005/06 Result	2007/08 Result	2008/09 Target	2008/09 Result	Direction	of Travel	Met Target?	2008/09 Quartile Position of Travel		9 Best Ouartile	National	Supporting Notes
						3 Years	1 Year					Average	
I AA Dr. c	Nl101: Percentage of looked after children who have been in care for at least one year who were in year 11 and who achieved 5 or more A*-C GCSEs (or equivalent) including English and Maths at KS4 Good performance is: HIGH	-	8.65%	6.78%	8.08%	•	∇	Y		a Interchan on date to I	ge Hub be confirmed		Data and targets relate to the previous academic year.
A A Br	Nl99: Percentage of looked after children who have been in care for at least one year who were in year 6 and who achieved at least Level 4 in English at KS2 Good performance is: HIGH	42%	57%	58.0%	38.5%	∇	∇	N	Worst (Data Interchange Hub)	\	58.0%	46.0%	Data and targets relate to the previous academic year. Comparative data has been nationally published only for the 87 authorities with more than 5 looked after children.
I AA Br	NI100: Percentage of looked after children who have been in care for at least one year who were in year 6 and who achieved at least Level 4 in Maths at KS2 Good performance is: HIGH	42%	48%	50.0%	46.2%	Δ	∇	N	Lower (Data Interchange Hub)	\	55.0%	44.0%	Data and targets relate to the previous academic year. Comparative data has been nationally published only for the 87 authorities with more than 5 looked after children.
AA Dr	NI72: Percentage of children assessed against the Early Years Foundation Stage Profile, who achieve 78 points across all 13 scales with at least 6 points or more in each of the Personal, Social and Emotional Development, and Communication, Language and Literacy scales Good performance is: HIGH	45%	41%	46.0%	42.6%		Δ	N	Lower (Data Interchange Hub)	↔	53.8%	49.0%	Data and targets relate to the previous academic year.
I AA Dr. A	NI73: Percentage of pupils achieving Level 4+ in both English and Maths at KS2 Good performance is: HIGH	70%	72%	74.0%	73.3%	Δ	Δ	N	Upper (Data Interchange Hub)	0	76.0%	72.0%	Data and targets relate to the previous academic year.

		How we performed							How we co				
	Indicator	2005/06 Result	2007/08 Result	2008/09 Target	2008/09 Result	Direction 3 Years	of Travel	Met Target?	Quartile Position	2008/C Direction of Travel	Best Quartile	National Average	Supporting Notes
	NI74: Percentage of pupils achieving Level 5+ in both English and Maths at KS3 Good performance is: HIGH	68%	69%	72.0%	70.4%	Δ	Δ	N	Upper (DCSF Publication)	÷	71.0%	67.0%	Data and targets relate to the previous academic year. Following the decision by Department for Schools, Children and Families (DCSF) to end Key Stage 3 National Curriculum tests, this indicator has been discontinued for the 2008/09 academic year, and is no longer being published in the Data Interchange Hub.
LAA Pr 4	NI75: Percentage of 15 year old pupils in schools maintained by the local education authority achieving 5 or more A* - C GCSEs (or equivalent) including English and Maths	43%	47.2%	50.9%	49.3%	Δ	Δ	N	Upper (Data Interchange Hub)	↔	53.3%	47.6%	Prior to 2008/09 this indicator was reported as ECC417. Data and targets relate to the previous academic year.
LAA Pr 4	NI78: Number of schools in the local education authority with less than 30% of pupils achieving 5 or more A*-C GCSEs (or equivalent) including English and Maths at KS4	21	17 (un-verified)	13	9	Δ	Δ	Y	-	-	-	-	Data and targets relate to the previous academic year. Results do not take into account authority size, therefore it is inappropriate to compare against others.
	NI83: Percentage of pupils achieving Level 5+ in Science at KS3 Good performance is: HIGH	72%	73.3%	78.0%	74.0%	Δ	Δ	N	-	-	-	-	Data and targets relate to the previous academic year. The Data Interchange Hub (DIH) is thought to be incorrect for the 2006/07 academic year. The actual result is believed to be 73.6% and this has been alerted to the department for Communities and Local Government (CLG). Following the decision by Department for Schools, Children and Families (DCSF) to end Key Stage 3 National Curriculum tests, this indicator has been discontinued for the 2008/09 academic year, and is no longer being published in the DIH.

		How we performed							How we co				
	Indicator	2005/06 Result	2007/08 Result	2008/09 Target	2008/09 Result	Direction		Met Target?	Quartile Position	2008/0 Direction of Travel	9 Best Quartile	National Average	Supporting Notes
LAA Pr 4	NI93: Percentage of pupils at the end of KS2 making 2 levels progress in English between KS1 and KS2 (including pupils working below the level of the test, absent pupils and pupils unable to access the test) Good performance is: HIGH	73.3%	82%	88.3%	81.4% (provisional)	3 Years	1 Year	N		a Interchan on date to b	ge Hub De confirmed		Data and targets relate to the previous academic year. Department for Children, Schools and Families (DCSF) are currently correcting national data tables, therefore it is not possible to confirm the 2007/08 academic year result.
LAA Pr 4	NI94: Percentage of pupils at the end of KS2 making 2 levels progress in Maths between KS1 and KS2 (including pupils working below the level of the test, absent pupils and pupils unable to access the test) Good performance is: HIGH	74.2%	76.3%	83.0%	78.2% (provisional)	Δ	Δ	N	Data Interchange Hub publication date to be confirmed				Data and targets relate to the previous academic year. Department for Children, Schools and Families (DCSF) are currently correcting national data tables, therefore it is not possible to confirm the 2007/08 academic year result.
	NI95: Percentage of pupils at the end of KS3 making 2 levels progress in English between KS2 and KS3 (including absent pupils and pupils unable to access the test) Good performance is: HIGH	30.5%	27.4%	30.0%	27%	∇	∇	N	-	-	-	-	Data and targets relate to the previous academic year. Following the decision by Department for Schools, Children and Families (DCSF) to end Key Stage 3 National Curriculum tests, this indicator has been discontinued for the 2008/09 academic year, and is no longer being published in the Data Interchange Hub.
	NI96: Percentage of pupils at the end of KS3 making 2 levels progress in Maths between KS2 and KS3 (including absent pupils and pupils unable to access the test) Good performance is: HIGH	55.8%	58.3%	62.0%	58%	Δ	∇	N	-	-		-	Data and targets relate to the previous academic year. Following the decision by Department for Schools, Children and Families (DCSF) to end Key Stage 3 National Curriculum tests, this indicator has been discontinued for the 2008/09 academic year, and is no longer being published in the Data Interchange Hub.

	How we performed								How we compared against others in					
	Indicator	2005/06 Result	2007/08 Result	2008/09 Target	2008/09 Result	Direction of	of Travel	Met Target?	Quartile Position	2008/09 Quartile Position of Travel		National Average	Supporting Notes	
	NI97: Percentage of pupils at the end of KS4 making 2 levels progress in English between KS3 and KS4 (including absent pupils and pupils unable to access the test) Good performance is: HIGH	53.2%	52.7%	57.0%	55.7%	Δ	Δ	N	Lower (DCSF Publication)	↔	63.2%	59.0%	Data and targets relate to the previous academic year. Following the decision by Department for Schools, Children and Families (DCSF) to end Key Stage 3 National Curriculum tests, this indicator has been discontinued for the 2008/09 academic year, and is no longer being published in the Data Interchange Hub.	
	NI98: Percentage of pupils at the end of KS4 making 2 levels progress in Maths between KS3 and KS4 (including absent pupils and pupils unable to access the test) Good performance is: HIGH	25.6%	29%	31.0%	24.5%	∇	∇	N	Upper (DCSF Publication)	↔	28.3%	23.4%	Data and targets relate to the previous academic year. Following the decision by Department for Schools, Children and Families (DCSF) to end Key Stage 3 National Curriculum tests, this indicator has been discontinued for the 2008/09 academic year, and is no longer being published in the Data Interchange Hub.	
LAA Pr 1	Nl79: Percentage of young people that were studying in the local authority at academic age 15, that have achieved 100% of a Level 2 qualification by the end of the academic year in which they turn 19 Good performance is: HIGH	68%	71.04%	Not Set	73%	Δ	Δ	-	Upper (Data Interchange Hub)	↔	76.0%	73.0%	Data and targets relate to previous academic year. This will first be reported against target in the 2008/09 academic year.	
LAA Pr 1	Nl117: Percentage of 16-18 year olds who are not in education, employment or training Good performance is: LOW	8.6%	5.6%	5.4%	6.7%	Δ	∇	N	Lower (Data Interchange Hub)	\	5.3%	6.7%	Data and targets relate to an average of snapshots taken at the end of November, December and January of the financial year.	
LAA Pr 8	NI163: Percentage of population (19-64 for males and 19-59 for females) with a Level 2 qualification or higher Good performance is: HIGH	64.36%	63.99%	Not Set	Expected August 2009	Not Available	Not Available	-		ion to Data I pected Nove	_		Data relates to calendar year. The 2008 result is dependent on a national data release by the Department for Children, Schools and Families (DCSF). This will first be reported against target in 2010.	

				How we	e performe	ed			How we co			ers in	
	Indicator	2005/06 Result	2007/08 Result	2008/09 Target	2008/09 Result	Direction 3 Years	of Travel	Met Target?	Quartile Position	Direction of Travel	Best Quartile	National Average	Supporting Notes
LAA Pr 8	NI164: Percentage of population (19-64 for males and 19-59 for females) with a Level 3 qualification or higher Good performance is: HIGH	41.22%	41.90%	Not Set	Expected August 2009	Not Available	Not Available	-		tion to Data xpected Oct	Interchange cober 2009		Data relates to calendar year. The 2008 result is dependent on a national data release by the Department for Children, Schools and Families (DCSF). This will first be reported against target in 2010.
LAA Pr 8	NI165: Percentage of population (19-64 for males and 19-59 for females) with a Level 4 qualification or higher Good performance is: HIGH	23.87%	24.97%	Not Set	Expected August 2009	Not Available	Not Available	-	Publication to Data Interchange Hub expected November 2009				Data relates to calendar year. The 2008 result is dependent on a national data release by the Department for Children, Schools and Families (DCSF). The target is to achieve 1% point increase over three years.
	Priority 3: Promoting health and leis	ure											
LAA Pr 3	Ll120: Average mortality rate of those in the worst performing quintile, per 100,000 population from all causes at all ages Good performance is: LOW	-	-	705.46	Expected December 2009		-	-	-	-	-	-	Data relates to a 3 calendar year average. The 2006-08 result is dependent on national release by Office for National Statistics (ONS). See the Local Area Agreement table for a male and female breakdown.
LAA Pr 3	Nl123: Rate of self reported 4 week smoking quitters per 100,000 population aged 16 or over Good performance is: HIGH	-	-	840	927	-	-	Υ		ta Interchar ion date to	nge Hub be confirmed		
LAA Pr 3	NI56: Percentage of primary school age children in Year 6 with height and weight measured, who are recorded as obese for their age	-	15.4%	Not Set	15.9%	-	∇	-	Best (Data Interchange Hub)	↔	16.5%	-	Data relates to previous academic year. This will first be reported against target in the 2008/09 academic year.
	Good performance is: LOW												
	Ll37: Number of athletes supported through the Essex County Council funded grant aid scheme	-	-	70	72	-	-	Υ	-	-	-	-	
	Good performance is: HIGH												

				How we	performe	d			How we co			ers in	
	Indicator	2005/06 Result	2007/08 Result	2008/09 Target	2008/09 Result	Direction 3 Years	of Travel	Met Target?	Quartile Position	2008/C Direction of Travel	9 Best Quartile	National Average	Supporting Notes
)	NI6: Percentage of people participating in formal voluntary activities at least once a month in the last 12 months Good performance is: HIGH		-	Baseline Year	25.2% (+/- 3.0%)	-	-	-	Upper (Data Interchange Hub)	-	24.6%	23.2%	
) "U V V	Nl11: Percentage of people that have engaged in the arts at least three times in the past 12 months Good performance is: HIGH	-	-	Baseline Year	43.5%	-	-	-	Lower (Data Interchange Hub)	-	49.7%	45.2%	Data relates the period April to October. In future data will cover October to October, ending in the financial year.
\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \		20.5%	21.9%	21.8%	Expected December 2009	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available		ion to Data pected Dece	Interchange mber 2009		Data relates the period October to October, ending in the following financial year. There was no survey between October 2006 and October 2007, therefore at the time of setting the 2008/09 target only 2005/06 data was available.
V 20 < V -	NI57: Percentage of 5-16 year olds participating in at least two hours a week of high quality physical education (PE) and sport at school during curriculum time Good performance is: HIGH	-	-	Not Set	83%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Data relates to the previous academic year. This indicator was officially introduced to the National Indicator Set in 2009/10 and will first be reported against target in the 2008/09 academic year.
	NI9: Percentage of people who say they have used a public library service at least once in the last 12 months Good performance is: HIGH	-	-	Baseline Year	49.2%	-	-	-	Upper (Data Interchange Hub)	-	51.8%	48.5%	Data relates the period April to October. In future data will cover October to October, ending in the financial year.

Published July 2009 Page 16

				How we	performe	d			How we co			ers in	
	Indicator	2005/06 Result	2007/08 Result	2008/09 Target	2008/09 Result	Direction	of Travel	Met Target?	O dila Dariti	2008/0	9 Best	National	Supporting Notes
		Kesuit	Result	laiget	Result	3 Years	1 Year	iaiget:	Quartile Position	of Travel	Quartile	Average	
	Priority 4: Supporting vulnerable peo	ple											
LAA Pr 3	NI59: Percentage of initial assessments for childrens social care services completed in the year, that were completed within 7 working days of referral Good performance is: HIGH	50.1%	58%	75%	75%	Δ	Δ	Y			Interchange ember 2009		
LAA Pr 3	NIGO: Percentage of core assessments for childrens social care completed in the year, that were completed within 35 working days of their commencement Good performance is: HIGH	59.9%	73%	75%	81%		Δ	Y			Interchange ember 2009		
	LI6: Average of the percentages of children looked after who had been looked after continuously for at least 12 months, and who had their teeth checked by a dentist during the previous 12 months or who had an annual health assessment during the previous 12 months Good performance is: HIGH	69.2%	77.8%	85%	90%		Δ	Υ	-	-	-	-	Data and targets relate to the previous academic year, as reported in the September OC2 statutory return.
LAA Pr 4	NI92: Percentage gap between the median Foundation Stage Profile score of all children locally and the average score of the lowest achieving 20% of children locally Good performance is: LOW	38.5% (un- verified)	37.9%	31.9%	36.2%	Δ	Δ	N	Lower (Data Interchange Hub)	↔	31.9%	35.6%	Data and targets relate to the previous academic year. The 2007/08 academic year result differs from the 35.9% published in the Data Interchange Hub (DIH). The DIH is believed to be incorrect and this issue has been alerted to the department for Communities and Local Government (CLG).

				How we	performe	ed			How we compared against oth 2008/09				
	Indicator	2005/06 Result	2007/08 Result	2008/09 Target	2008/09 Result	Direction 3 Years	of Travel	Met Target?	Quartile Position	2008/0 Direction of Travel	Best Quartile	National Average	Supporting Notes
LAA Pr 3	Nl112: Percentage change in rate of under 18 conceptions per 1,000 girls aged 15-17 resident in the area compared with the 1998 baseline rate Good performance is: LOW	-17.1%	-10.7% (provisional)	-20.0%	Expected February 2010 (provisional), August 2010 (final)	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available		tion to Data spected Feb	Interchange ruary 2010		Prior to 2008/09 this indicator was reported as BV197. Data and targets relate to calendar year. The 2008 result is dependent on national release by Department for Children, Schools and Families (DCSF)
LAA Pr 7	Nl111: Rate of first time entrants to the Youth Justice System (aged 10-17) per 100,000 population, who receive their first substantive outcome (relating to a reprimand, a final warning with or without intervention, or a court disposal for those who go directly to court without a reprimand or final warning) Good performance is: LOW	-	2,040	2,000	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Publication to Data Interchange Hub expected September 2009		The official data source for this indicator is the Police National Computer (PNC), however there are national delays, with 2008/09 results expected autumn 2009. Indicative data is available from the Youth Offending Team (YOT), however YOT's do not have access to the full data set and are dependent on notifications from the Police. Therefore final data from the PNC is likely to reflect a higher rate of first time entrants than the indicative position of 1,390. The differences between YOT data and PNC data is a national issue.		
	NI62: Percentage of children looked after at 31 March with three or more placements during the year Good performance is: LOW	17.8%	11.2%	12%	10% (provisional)	Δ	Δ	Y	Publication to Data Interchange Hub expected November 2009			Prior to 2008/09 this indicator was reported as BV49. The Data Interchange Hub (DIH) to thought to be incorrect for the 2005/06 academic year. The actual result is believed to be 16.8% and this has been alerted to the department for Communities and Local Government (CLG). Following confirmation of the 2007/08 result, the service set themselves internally a more stretching 2008/09 target of 11%. The 2008/09 result can not be confirmed until Monday 13th July due to an error in the Department for Children, Schools and Families (DCSF) system which is excluding two children from the cohort.	

			How we	e performe	d			How we compared against others 2008/09				
	2005/06	2007/08	2008/09	2008/09	Direction	of Travel	Met			-		Supporting Notes
Indicator	Result	Result	Target	Result	3 Years	1 Year	Target?	Quartile Position	Direction of Travel	Best Quartile	National Average	
NI64: Percentage of children ceasing to be the subject of a Child Protection Plan during the year ending 31 March, who had been the subject of a Child Protection Plan continuously for two years or longer Good performance is: LOW	3.6%	7%	4.5%	6.7%	∇	Δ	N			Interchange ember 2009		
NI66: Percentage of children looked after cases which should have been reviewed during the year ending 31 March that were reviewed on time during the year Good performance is: HIGH	78.8%	84%	96%	92% (provisional)		Δ	N		ta Interchan ion date to b	ge Hub De confirmed		The 2008/09 result can not be confirmed until Monday 13th July due to an error in the Department for Children, Schools and Families (DCSF) system which is excluding two children from the cohort.
LI ₃ 8: Number of 14-17 year olds in care Good performance is: LOW		452	421	504	-	∇	N	-	-	-	-	
Llg: Percentage of children with disabilities aged 14+ who had a transition plan to support their move from Children's Services to Adult Services Good performance is: HIGH	75%	75%	90%	94%	Δ	Δ	Y		-	-	-	Data and targets relate to the previous academic year.
NI51: Self assessment of how effectively mental health services meet children's mental health needs Good performance is: HIGH	-	-	9	9	-	-	Y		ta Interchan ion date to l	ge Hub pe confirmed		

				How we	performe	d			How we co		ers in		
	Indicator	2005/06 Result	2007/08 Result	2008/09 Target	2008/09 Result	Direction 3 Years	of Travel	Met Target?	Quartile Position	2008/0	9 Best Quartile	National Average	Supporting Notes
LAA Pr 3	LI7: Number of people with severe and enduring mental health problems supported by the mental health vocational services into employment education or training Good performance is: HIGH	-	173	152	296	-	Δ	Υ	-	-		-	The 2007/08 result was not available in time to inform the 2008/09 target, instead it was based on 2006/07 data (101). Whilst employment contracts in North Essex changed partway through 2008, year on year comparisons can still be performed on the data.
	Ll35: Number of adults in receipt of a Personal Budget Good performance is: HIGH	-	57	570	716	-	Δ	Υ	-	-	-	-	LI 35 was developed to supplement the national indicator (NI 130) which only counted people in receipt of Direct Payments for 2008/09. From 2009/10 NI 130 will be used to monitor the uptake of Personal Budgets.
LAA Pr 2	LI5: Percentage of people (aged 65 or over) who say that they receive the information, assistance and support needed to exercise choice and control to live independently Good performance is: HIGH	-	-	Baseline Year	26.5%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
LAA Pr 2	Nl134: Number of emergency bed days per head of weighted population (all ages) Good performance is: LOW	0.38 (38,313)	Publication to Data Interchange Hub expected August 2009	0.33 (32,950)	0.32 (32,358)	Δ	Not Available	Υ		ta Interchan ion date to b	ge Hub oe confirmed		The baseline and targets have been rounded to the nearest 1,000 in the Local Area Agreement.
LAA Pr 2	NI141: Percentage of people who are receiving a Supporting People Service who have left the service and moved on from supported accommodation in a planned way Good performance is: HIGH	-	72.19%	74.00%	Not Available	-	Not Available	Not Available		ta Interchan ion date to b	ge Hub e confirmed		Authorities submit raw data to the department of Communities and Local Government (CLG), who use this to calculate the NIS value. Authorities are dependent on CLG sharing the calculated data. Adult Health and Community Wellbeing intend to review how data might be calculated locally for 2009/10 to provide a more timely indication of performance.

Published July 2009 Page 20

				How we	performe	d			How we co			ers in	
	Indicator	2005/06 Result	2007/08 Result	2008/09 Target	2008/09 Result	Direction o	of Travel	Met Target?	Quartile Position	2008/C Direction of Travel	9 Best Ouartile	National	Supporting Notes
	NI147: Percentage of former care leavers aged 19 who were looked after under any legal status (other than V3 or V4) on 1 April in their 17th year who were in suitable accommodation Good performance is: HIGH	81.9%	95.9%	75%	95.7% (provisional)	3 Years	1 Year	Υ	Publication to Data Interchange Hub expected September 2009 Data Interchange Hub publication date to be confirmed			Average	The 2008/09 result can not be confirmed until Monday 13th July due to an error in the Department for Children, Schools and Families (DCSF) system which is excluding two children from the cohort.
	NI46: Percentage of known young offenders (aged 10-17) who are living in suitable accommodation Good performance is: HIGH	-	93%	95%	95%	-	Δ	Υ			_		
LAA Pr 2	NI135: Percentage of carers whose needs were assessed or reviewed by the council who received a specific carer's service, or advice and information in the same year, against all people receiving a community based service in the year Good performance is: HIGH	14.7%	24.5%	26.0%	25.2%	Δ	Δ	N		ion to Data xpected Aug	Interchange gust 2009		

				How we	performe	d			How we co			ers in	
	Indicator	2005/06 Result	2007/08 Result	2008/09 Target	2008/09 Result	Direction	of Travel	Met Target?	Quartile Position	2008/0	9 Best	National	Supporting Notes
						3 Years	1 Year		Quartite Fosition	of Travel	Quartile	Average	
	OUR ECONOMY												
	Priority 5: Improving transport												
	NI168: Percentage of authority principal road network not in good condition Good performance is: LOW	·	4%	4%	5% (+/- 1%)	-	-	-		ion to Data xpected Au	Interchange gust 2009		Prior to 2008/09 this indicator was reported as BV223. Data relates to activity in the period between September Surveys. National software parameters to calculate this data regularly undergo minor changes, however the significance of the change in 2007/08 means earlier data is no longer comparable. Judgements regarding direction of travel and performance against target have not been made, as when taking the confidence interval into consideration it is possible that the 2008/09 result may have met target and could suggest a maintenance of performance levels.
LAA Pr 5	NI167: Average vehicle journey time per mile during the morning peak (excluding weekends and school holidays) on major inbound routes in the larger urban centres, weighted by the relative traffic flow on those different routes Good performance is: LOW	-	-	Baseline Year	Expected September 2009	-	-	-	-	-	•	•	Department for Transport (DfT) recognise that there are national issues with this indicator delaying its availability. DfT have advised that comparisons against others are not appropriate
LAA Pr 5	NI198: Percentage of children travelling to school by car (including vans and taxis) aged 5-16 years Good performance is: LOW	-	28.9%	28.9%	Expected August 2009	-	Not Available	Not Available		ta Interchan ion date to b	ge Hub be confirmed		The 2008/09 result is dependent on national release by the Department for Transport (DfT)
LAA Pr 5	LI47: All those killed or seriously injured in road traffic collisions Good performance is: LOW	963	884	799	706	Δ	Δ	Υ	-	-	-	-	Prior to 2008/09 this indicator was reported as BV99ai. Data and targets relate to calendar year.

				How we	e performe	d			How we co			ners in	
	Indicator	2005/06 Result	2007/08 Result	2008/09 Target	2008/09 Result	Direction	of Travel	Met Target?	Quartile Position	2008/c	Best	National	Supporting Notes
	Nl177: Total number of passenger journeys made annually on local buses within the area of the authority Good performance is: HIGH	38.7m	43.3m	40.15m	45.66m	3 Years	1 Year	Υ	·	of Travel	Quartile -	Average -	Prior to 2008/09 this indicator was reported as BV102. Results do not take into account authority size, therefore it is inappropriate to compare against others. The 2007/08 result was not available in time to inform the 2008/09 target, instead it was based on 2006/07 data (39.5m).
	Priority 6: Promoting sustainable eco	onomic gr	owth										
LAA Pr 8	NI166: Median gross weekly pay of full time employees on a workplace basis Good performance is: HIGH	£437.30	£460.00	Not Set	£468.40		Δ	-	Upper (Data Interchange Hub)	↔	£490.80	£483.10	Data relates to April of the financial year. This will first be reported against target in 2009/10.
LAA Pr 8	Nl171: Rate of business registrations (new businesses registering for VAT and PAYE and some smaller businesses reaching the VAT threshold or running a PAYE scheme for the first time) per 10,000 resident population aged 16 and above Good performance is: HIGH	60.3%	64.5%	103.5% of regional average	Expected December 2009	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available		ion to Data pected Dece	Interchange mber 2009		Data and targets relate to calendar year. The 2008 result is dependent on a national data release by the Department for Business Innovation and Skills (BIS).
LAA Pr 8	Ll15: Number of jobs created and saved as a result of foreign direct investment in Essex Good performance is: HIGH	-	393	389	629	-	Δ	Υ	-	-	-	-	Prior to 2008/09 this indicator was reported as ECC413. The 2008/09 target was informed by a 2004-2007 3 year average.
LAA Pr 8	Ll17: Number of companies reporting international trade assistance has made a difference to their business Good performance is: HIGH	-	-	150	231	-	-	Y	·	-	-	-	

			How we	e performe	d			How we co			ers in	
Indicator	2005/06 Result	2007/08 Result	2008/09 Target	2008/09 Result		n of Travel	Met Target?	Quartile Position	2008/0 Direction of Travel	9 Best Quartile	National Average	Supporting Notes
Ll18: Tourism spend generated that contributes towards Essex's economy Good performance is: HIGH		£2.538 bn	£2.507 bn	Expected September 2009	3 Years	1 Year Not Available	Not Available	-	-	-	-	Data and targets relate to calendar year. The 2008 result is dependent on national release from East of England Tourism (EET). Due to time lags in data availability, 2007/08 data was not available in time to inform 2008/09 target setting.
Ll16: Improve the image of our county		'			Corporate Pla	n refresh						
Ll19: Implementing the Essex 2012 Games Legacy Action Plan (year's milestones) Good performance is: HIGH	-	100%	100%	100%	-	$\triangleleft \triangleright$	Υ	-	-	-	-	Prior to 2008/09 this indicator was reported as ECC442. Data and targets relate to the proportion of planned actions, for the year in question, being met.
Priority 7: Delivering value for money	/											
LI 48: Total value of cashable efficiency savings delivered by services for reinvestment in services					Definition	and baselir	ne data to b	e approved as part	of the next (Corporate Pla	n refresh	
Ll20: In year cash savings from improved procurement Good performance is: HIGH	-	-	£10m	£6.59m	-	•	N	-	-	-	-	
Ll24a: Well delivered capital projects and a well run property portfolio, delivered on time and to budget - relating to Waste Infrastructure Good performance is: ON TRACK	-	-	On Track	On Track	-	-	Y	-	-	-	-	The programme to deliver the Joint Municipal Waste Management Strategy includes a number of interrelated projects. The start of one of the major projects within the programme did incur a delay, however mitigating actions were put in place. The delay in the start of this project is not expected to affect the delivery of the overall programme. The programme is being managed within the available budget.

			How we	performe	d			How we co			ers in	
	2005/06	2007/08	2008/09	2008/09	Direction	of Travel	Met		2008/0			Supporting Notes
Indicator	Result	Result	Target	Result	a Vacus	1 Year	Target?	Quartile Position	Direction of Travel	Best Quartile	National Average	
Ll24b: Well delivered capital projects and a well run property portfolio, delivered on time and to budget - relating to Secondary School Rebuilding (Academy and Building Schools for the Future) Good performance is: ON TRACK	-		On Track	On Track	3 Years	-	Υ	·	-	-		Whilst the slowdown of the housing market has impacted on the funding of an individual project, alternative funding is being sought to meet the gap. It is not expected that this will affect delivery of the overall programme.
LI24c: Well delivered capital projects and a well run property portfolio, delivered on time and to budget - relating to Primary Schools Good performance is: ON TRACK	-	-	On Track	On Track	-	-	Y		-	-	-	The programme is currently in procurement stages and milestones have been met.
Ll24d: Well delivered capital projects and a well run property portfolio, delivered on time and to budget - relating to Early Years Infrastructure Good performance is: ON TRACK	-	-	On Track	On Track	-	-	Y	-	-	-	-	All schemes that have been commissioned are currently at Feasibility or Scheme and Estimate stages. The overall programme is performing well and within timescales. Costs are currently being reviewed and agreed.
Ll23: Percentage reduction in property floor- space	-	3.20%	6%	0.76%	-	∇	N	-	-	-	-	Data and targets relate to office space only.
Good performance is: HIGH Ll21: Value of Capital Receipts per annum Good performance is: HIGH	-	-	£40m	£22m	-	-	N	-	-	-	-	Property values and market conditions are affected greatly by external economic conditions, resulting in year on year fluctuations.

				How we	e performe	d			How we co			ners in	
		2005/06	2007/08	2008/09	2008/09	Direction	n of Travel	Met		2008/0	9		Supporting Notes
	Indicator	Result	Result	Target	Result			Target?	Quartile Position	Direction of Travel	Best Ouartile	National Average	
	OUR WORLD					3 Years	1 Year			or maver	Quartito	/weidge	
	Priority 8: Protecting the environmer	nt											
LAA Pr 9	Ll186: CO2 emissions (total tonnes) in the local authority area Good performance is: LOW	-	-	Not Set	Expected September 2010	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	The 2008/09 result is dependent on data release by the Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (Defra). This will first be reported against target in 2009/10.
	Ll28: CO2 emissions (tonnes) arising from Essex County Council activity Good performance is: LOW	<u>-</u>	72,364 Tonnes	69,831 Tonnes	Expected End Summer 2009	-	Not Available	Not Available		-	-	-	Finalisation of the 2008/09 result is dependent on data from Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (Defra).
LAA Pr 10	NI197: Percentage of all Local Sites in the local authority area where positive conservation management has taken place up to five years prior to 31 March Good performance is: HIGH	<u>-</u>	4%	Not Set	4%	-	$\triangleleft \triangleright$	-		tion to Data expected Auរ្	Interchange gust 2009		A change in the national definition partway through 2008/09 has resulted in the 2008/09 target, set prior to the change, no longer being comparable. This will first be reported against target in 2009/10.
LAA Pr 7	NI195a: Percentage of relevant land and highways graded D, C, C- or B for levels of litter Good performance is: LOW	-	-	21%	6%	-	-	Υ		tion to Data pected Septe	Interchange ember 2009		Site surveys are carried out three times a year by each district authority and averaged to provide an overall percentage for the year for each district. The 2008/09 result reflects an average of the 12 Essex district authorities 2008/09 results.

Published July 2009 Page 26

				How we	performe	d			How we compared against others in 2008/09				
		2005/06	2007/08	2008/09	2008/09	Direction	of Travel	Met		2008/0	0 9		Supporting Notes
	Indicator	Result	Result	Target	Result	3 Years	1 Year	Target?	Quartile Position	Direction of Travel	Best Quartile	National Average	
LAA Pr 7	NI195b: Percentage of relevant land and highways graded D, C, C- or B for levels of detritus Good performance is: LOW	-	-	25%	10%	-	-	Y			Interchange ember 2009		Site surveys are carried out three times a year by each district authority and averaged to provide an overall percentage for the year for each district. The 2008/09 result reflects an average of the 12 Essex district authorities 2008/09 results.
	LI26: Improved design quality of housing and community developments					Definition a	ınd baselir	ne data to b	e approved as part	of the next	Corporate Pla	ın refresh	
	Priority 9: Reducing and recycling wa	ste											
APro	NI191: Number of kilograms of residual household waste (excludes arisings sent for reuse, recycling, composting or anaerobic digestion) collected per household Good performance is: LOW	803kg	701kg	710kg	644kg	Δ	Δ	Υ		ta Interchan ion date to I	ge Hub oe confirmed		National results as published in the Data Interchange Hub (DIH) are calculated via government's WasteDataFlow system and do not agree with local understanding of final data. There are concerns that WasteDataFlow is not fully conforming to national definitions. Concerns have been alerted to government departments. The 2007/08 result was not available in time to inform the 2008/09 target, instead it was based on 2006/07 data (788kg).
AA Pr 9	NI192: Percentage of household waste arisings which have been sent by the authority for reuse, recycling, composting or anaerobic digestion Good performance is: HIGH	32%	39.81%	40.25%	42.96%	Δ	Δ	Υ		ta Interchan ion date to I	ge Hub oe confirmed		National results as published in the Data Interchange Hub (DIH) are calculated via government's WasteDataFlow system and do not agree with local understanding of final data. There are concerns that WasteDataFlow is not fully conforming to national definitions. Concerns have been alerted to government departments.

				How we	performe	d			How we co			ners in	
	Indicator	2005/06	2007/08	2008/09	2008/09	Direction	of Travel	Met		2008/0	9 Best	National	Supporting Notes
		Result	Result	Target	Result	3 Years	1 Year	Target?	Quartile Position	of Travel	Quartile	Average	
	Priority 10: Making communities safe	er											
	L130: National Ranking for crime in England (Essex Force Area - includes Southend and Thurrock)	-	8th Rank	Improve d Rank	Expected July 2009	-	Not Available	Not Available	-	-	-	-	The 2008/09 result is dependent on national release by the Home Office (HO).
	Good performance is: IMPROVED RANK (IST = BEST POSSIBLE RANK)												
LAA Pr 7	NI17: Percentage of people who feel that anti-social behaviour is a problem Good performance is: LOW	-	-	Baseline Year	14.9% (+/- 2.4%)	-	-	-	Best (Data Interchange Hub)		15.8%	20.0%	Prior to 2008/09 this indicator was reported as ECC290, and collected by local tracker survey for 2007/08. However the calculation methodology was amended when introduced as an NIS meaning that historical data is no longer comparable.
LAA Pr 7	L129: Percentage of people that say they feel safe outside after dark Good performance is: HIGH	-	44.94%	46.9%	Not Available	-	Not Available	Not Available	-	-	-	-	Prior to 2008/09 this indicator was reported as ECC289, and collected by local tracker survey for 2007/08. In 2008/09 data was collected via the national Place Survey, to which the Audit Commission have applied capped weighting. Until their methodology is shared it is not possible to accurately calculate final results for local Place Survey indicators.
LAA Pr 7	NI20: Number of 'Assaults with less serious injury' (including racially and religiously aggravated) offences per 1,000 population Good performance is: LOW	-	-	Not Set	4.8	-	-	-		tion to Data expected Ju	Interchange uly 2009		The Home office (HO) introduced a change to the 'counting rules' after the 2008/09 target was set, resulting in it no longer being comparable.
LAA Pr 7	L136: Repeat incidents of domestic violence (where the victim has previously been recorded as a victim in the past 12 months) Good performance is: LOW	-	5,347	5,084	7,650	-	∇	N	-	-	-	-	

Published July 2009 Page 28

			How we performed				How we co			ners in			
			/-0	0/	0/	Direction	of Travel	Met		2008/0	9		Supporting Notes
	Indicator	2005/06 Result	2007/08 Result	2008/09 Target	2008/09 Result			Target?	Quartile Position	Direction of Travel	Best Quartile	National Average	Suppositing Motors
LAA Pr 7	NI39: Rate of alcohol related admissions per 100,000 population Good performance is: LOW		1,073	1,192	Expected September 2009	3 Years	1 Year Not Available	Not Available			Interchange	711101050	The 2008/09 result is dependent on national release to the Data Interchange Hub (DIH). Targets for this indicator are set with the intention of slowing down the increase in the rate of admissions.
LAA Pr 7	NI115: Percentage of young people (pupils in Years 6, 8 and 10) reporting either frequent misuse of drugs/volatile substances or alcohol, or both	-	-	Baseline Year	10.9%	-	-	-	Upper (Data Interchange Hub)	-	8.2%	10.9%	Data relates to the annual TellUs Survey. TellUs is a voluntary survey, with 18 Essex Primary and Secondary schools taking part in 2008.
	LI31: Percentage of traders who sell to underage customers (alcohol, tobacco, solvents, spray paints, knives, fireworks, dvd's, computer games, imitation weapons)	-	-	15%	20%	-	-	N	-	-	-	-	Test purchases are primarily targeted at businesses for which complaints or intelligence have been received identifying that underage sales are taking place.
	Good performance is: LOW												

Essex Local Area Agreement Indicators (that have not been adopted in the EssexWorks Corporate Plan)

		How we performed 2005/06 2007/08 2008/00 2008/00 Direction of Travel Met				How we co	mpared a 2008/c		ers in				
	Indicator	2005/06 Result	2007/08 Result	2008/09 Target	2008/09 Result	Direction 3 Years	of Travel 1 Year	Met Target?	Quartile Position	Direction of Travel	Best Quartile	National Average	Supporting Notes
LAA	NI7 Percentage of third sector organisations giving a positive rating of local statutory bodies' influence on the success of organisations in the local third sector Good performance is: HIGH	-	-	Baseline Year	15.9%	•	-	-	Lower (Data Interchange Hub)	-	18.4%	-	
LAA Pr 2	NI154 Net increase in dwelling stock over one year Good performance is: HIGH	-	-	District Level Targets Only	Expected January 2010	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	The 2008/09 result is dependent on district authorities completing a statutory return, which is subject to a nine month publication time lag. Results do not take into account authority size, therefore it is inappropriate to compare against others.
LAA Pr 2	NI156 Number of households living in temporary accommodation provided under the homelessness legislation Good performance is: LOW	-	•	1,368	1,180	-	-	Υ	-	-	-	-	There is some uncertainty regarding whether data should apply to calendar or financial year. As agreed with Government Office East, data and targets currently reflect financial year. Results do not take into account authority size, therefore it is inappropriate to compare against others.
LAA Pr 2	LI39 Number of affordable houses built and acquired Good performance is: HIGH	-	-	1,096	Expected January 2010	-	-	Not Available	-	-	-	-	The 2008/09 result is dependent on district authorities completing a statutory return, which is subject to a nine month publication time lag.
LAA Pr 3	NI55 Percentage of primary school age children in Reception with height and weight measured, who are recorded as obese for their age Good performance is: LOW	-	8.19%	Not Set	8.7%	-	∇	-	Upper (Data Interchange Hub)	↓	8.5%	-	Data relates to previous academic year. This indicator was first introduced into the Local Area Agreement in 2009/10.

Essex Local Area Agreement Indicators (that have not been adopted in the EssexWorks Corporate Plan)

		How we performed 2007/06 2007/08 2008/09 Direction of Travel Mot					How we co		_	ers in			
	Indicator	2005/06 Result	2007/08 Result	2008/09 Target	2008/09 Result	Direction of 3 Years	of Travel	Met Target?	Quartile Position	2008/0 Direction of Travel	Best Quartile	National Average	Supporting Notes
LAA Pr 3	Ll120a Average male mortality rate of those in the worst performing quintile, per 100,000 male population from all causes at all ages Good performance is: LOW	-	-	874.34	Expected December 2009	-	-	-	·	-	-		Data relates to a 3 calendar year average. The 2006-08 result is dependent on national release by Office for National Statistics (ONS). See the EssexWorks Corporate Plan table for a male and female breakdown.
LAA Pr 3	Ll120b Average female mortality rate of those in the worst performing quintile, per 100,000 female population from all causes at all ages Good performance is: LOW	-	-	594.16	Expected December 2009	-	•	-	-	-	-	-	Data relates to a 3 calendar year average. The 2006-08 result is dependent on national release by Office for National Statistics (ONS). See the EssexWorks Corporate Plan table for a male and female breakdown.
LAA Pr 3	NI65 Percentage of children who became subject to a Child Protection Plan at any time during the year, who had previously been the subject of a Child Protection Plan, or the Child Protection Register of that Council, regardless of how long ago that was Good performance is: LOW	15	16%	Not Set	19.6%		∇	-		ation to Data	a Interchange mber 2009	2	This indicator was first introduced into the Local Area Agreement in 2009/10.
LAA Pr 7	NI18 Percentage gap between adult offenders (aged 18 and over) on the probation caseload who are proven to have re-offended within three months from the month the snapshot was taken, compared to the predicted proportion of proven re-offenders for the area Good performance is: LOW	-	7.9%	Not Set	Expected August 2009	-	Not Available	-		ion to Data I xpected Aug	U		This will first be reported against target in 2009/10. However the target in the Local Area Agreement relates to the percentage reduction achieved, rather than the re-offending rate, and is first reported against in 2010/11.

Essex Local Area Agreement Indicators (that have not been adopted in the EssexWorks Corporate Plan)

				How we	performe	d			How we compared against others in 2008/09				
			/-0	0/	0/	Direction	of Travel	Met		2008/0	9		Supporting Notes
	Indicator	2005/06 Result	2007/08 Result	2008/09 Target	2008/09 Result	200	0	Met Target?	Quartile Position	Direction	Best	National	Supporting Notes
						3 Years	1 Year		~	of Travel	Quartile	Average	
LAA Pr 8	NI151 Percentage of working age population (16-59 for females and 16-64 for males) who are in employment according to the International Labour Organisation (ILO) definition	•	77.3%	Not Set	77.4%	-	Δ	-		ta Interchan ion date to l	ge Hub De confirmed		Data relates to July to June. For 2008/09, the Local Area Agreement focused on performance in the district of Tendring. The 2008/09 result for Tendring was 73.5%.
	Good performance is: HIGH												
LAA Pro	NI188 Assessment of preparedness to manage climate risks and opportunities, that the local authority has reached against the 5 levels of performance Good performance is: HIGH (Level 4 = highest possible level)	-	Level o	Level 1	Level 1	-	Δ	Y		tion to Data expected Ju	Interchange Ily 2009		
LAA Pr 10	Ll40 Number of Living Landscapes Areas with a Management Vision Good performance is: HIGH	-	8	9	9	-	Δ	Υ	-	-	-	-	The 2008/09 target was amended and subsequently approved as part of the Local Area Agreement 2009 refresh.

		н	ow we performed			Where we need to be	Supporting Notes
Indicator	2007/08 Result	2008/09 Target	2008/09 Result	Direction of Travel	Met Target?	2009/10 Target	
Note: Reward grant is displayed rounded to the final year targets.	the nearest 10,000,	in line with Annex C o	of the Local Area Agre	eement (March 2006).	Therefore this repo	rt does not reflect the	full amount allocated to meeting each of
Priority 4							
ECC404: Percentage of those aged 65 and over who have received home care services/direct payments and are satisfied with help received from Adult Social Care	46.9% (+/-2.5%)	64.73%	46.6% (+/-2.2%)		N	-	Data and targets relate to an annual survey.
Good performance is: HIGH							Provisional Reward: o% x £1.541m = £0
Priority 5							
ECC279ai: Car user journey times: percentage of journeys that are less than or equal to the average travel time - specified inter-urban routes	97.2%	95%	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	-	The original data source used to calculate this indicator is no longer available. Discussions are underway to agree an alternative source.
Good performance is: HIGH							Provisional Reward: tbc x £0.770m = tbc
ECC279aiv: Car user journey times: percentage of journeys that are less than or equal to the average travel time - combined radial routes	95.2%	95%	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	-	The original data source used to calculate this indicator is no longer available. Discussions are underway to agree an alternative source.
Good performance is: HIGH							Provisional Reward: tbc x fo.770m = tbc
ECC279bi: Car user journey times: reduction in average journey time per person in minutes - specified inter-urban routes	135.2	145.94	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	-	The original data source used to calculate this indicator is no longer available. Discussions are underway to agree an alternative source.
Good performance is: LOW							Provisional Reward: tbc x £o.770m = tbc

		Н	ow we performed			Where we need to be	Supporting Notes
Indicator	2007/08 Result	2008/09 Target	2008/09 Result	Direction of Travel	Met Target?	2009/10 Target	
Note: Reward grant is displayed rounded to the final year targets.	the nearest 10,000,	in line with Annex C o	of the Local Area Agre	eement (March 2006).	. Therefore this repo	rt does not reflect the	full amount allocated to meeting each of
ECC279biv: Car user journey times: reduction in average journey time per person in minutes - combined radial routes	46.7	42.93	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	-	The original data source used to calculate this indicator is no longer available. Discussions are underway to agree an alternative source.
Good performance is: LOW							Provisional Reward: tbc x £0.770m = tbc
Priority 6							
ECC308: Percentage of pupils in Year 11 who leave school without entering full-time education, employment or training (target schools) Good performance is: LOW	9.9%	10.9%	10.1%		Υ	9.8%	Data and targets relate to an autumn survey. During 2008/09 quality checking, it became apparent that an error had occurred in 2007/08. This resulted in some categories being excluded from the calculation. 9.9% reflects the true result for 2007/08.
							Reward Attached: £3.082m
Priority 7							
ECC301a: Number of schools below the floor targets in English and/or Maths at the end of KS2	59	60	45		Υ	-	Data and targets relate to previous academic year.
Good performance is: LOW							Provisional Reward: 100% x £0.154m = £0.154m
ECC301bi: Attainment at KS2 English at level 4 or above for target schools - percentage	70%	74%	74%	Δ	Υ	-	Data and targets relate to previous academic year.
Good performance is: HIGH							Provisional Reward: 100% x £0.770m = £0.770m

Published July 2009 Page 36

		н	ow we performed			Where we need to be	Supporting Notes
Indicator	2007/08 Result	2008/09 Target	2008/09 Result	Direction of Travel	Met Target?	2009/10 Target	Supporting Notes
Note: Reward grant is displayed rounded to the final year targets.	the nearest 10,000,	in line with Annex C o	of the Local Area Agre	eement (March 2006).	Therefore this repo	rt does not reflect the	e full amount allocated to meeting each of
ECC301bii: Attainment at KS2 English at level 4 or above for target schools - average points score	26.3	29.0	26.4	Δ	N	-	Data and targets relate to previous academic year.
Good performance is: HIGH							Provisional Reward: o% x fo.308m = o%
ECC301ci: Attainment at KS2 Maths at level 4 or above for target schools - percentage	66%	72%	70%	Δ	N		Data and targets relate to previous academic year.
Good performance is: HIGH							Provisional Reward: 60% x £1.387m = £0.832
ECC301cii: Attainment at KS2 Maths at level 4 or above for target schools - average points score	26.0	29.0	26.1	Δ	N	-	Data and targets relate to previous academic year.
Good performance is: HIGH							Provisional Reward: 0% x fo.462m = fo
ECC262: Percentage school sessions missed for looked after children Good performance is: LOW	8.5%	5.5%	8.2%	Δ	N	4.1%	Data relates to previous academic year. Following internal audit, it became apparent that the 2007/08 result had previously been calculated using an incorrect cohort. 8.5% reflects the true result for 2007/08.
							Reward attached: £1.027m
ECC263a: Percentage of children looked after for 12 months achieving level 4 or above at KS2 in English	47.5%	44.0%	46.4%	lacksquare	Υ	46.8%	Data and targets relate to previous academic year.
Good performance is: HIGH							Reward attached: £0.342m

		Н	ow we performed	•••		Where we need to be	Supporting Notes
Indicator	2007/08 Result	2008/09 Target	2008/09 Result	Direction of Travel	Met Target?	2009/10 Target	
Note: Reward grant is displayed rounded to the final year targets.	the nearest 10,000,	in line with Annex C	of the Local Area Agre	ement (March 2006).	. Therefore this repo	ort does not reflect the	e full amount allocated to meeting each of
ECC263b: Percentage of children looked after for 12 months achieving level 4 or above at KS2 in Maths	44.4%	38.9%	45.8%	Δ	Y	40.6%	Data and targets relate to previous academic year.
Good performance is: HIGH							Reward attached: £0.342m
ECC263c: Percentage of children looked after for 12 months achieving level 4 or above at KS2 in Science	58.2%	53.0%	57.3%	∇	Υ	55.5%	Data and targets relate to previous academic year.
Good performance is: HIGH							Reward attached: £o.342m
ECC264: Percentage of children looked after for 12 months achieving 5 GCSEs at A*-G or GNVQ equivalent	45.2%	46.0%	51.9%	Δ	Υ	48.2%	Data and targets relate to previous academic year.
Good performance is: HIGH							Reward attached: £1.027m
Priority 8		-		-			
ECC256: Percentage of young people leaving care who are shown to be in accommodation that meets their needs	89%	55.5%	86.8%	igwedge	Υ	-	
Good performance is: HIGH							
							Provisional Reward: 100% x £3.082m = £3.082m

		Н	ow we performed			Where we need to be	Supporting Notes
Indicator	2007/08 Result	2008/09 Target	2008/09 Result	Direction of Travel	Met Target?	2009/10 Target	Supporting Notes
Note: Reward grant is displayed rounded to the final year targets.	the nearest 10,000,	in line with Annex C o	of the Local Area Agre	eement (March 2006).	Therefore this repo	ort does not reflect the	e full amount allocated to meeting each of
Priority 10							
ECC305: Percentage of traders who are willing to supply alcohol to young people in Essex Good performance is: LOW	21%	15%	15%		Υ	-	Test purchases are primarily targeted at businesses for which complaints or intelligence have been received identifying that underage sales are taking place.
Good performance is. 2011							Provisional Reward: 100% x £1.027m = £1.027m
ECC293: Percentage of young people on the books of the Essex Youth Offending Service who have re-offended with an offence relating to drugs or alcohol	8.40%	7.29%	7.74%	Δ	N		
Good performance is: LOW							Provisional Reward: o% x £1.027m = £0
ECC294: Percentage of care leavers who are misusing drugs and/or alcohol	15.9%	25.5%	10.7%	Δ	Υ	-	
Good performance is: LOW							Provisional Reward: 100% x £1.027m = £1.027
Priority 12							
BV84a: Household waste collected per head of population - number of kilograms collected this year Good performance is: LOW	506kg	543.5kg	486.9kg		Y		National results as published in the Data Interchange Hub (DIH) are calculated via government's WasteDataFlow system and do not agree with local understanding of final data. There are concerns that WasteDataFlow is not fully conforming to national definitions. Concerns have been alerted to government departments.
							Provisional Reward: 100% x £1.027m = £1.027m

		Н	ow we performed			Where we need to be	Supporting Notes
Indicator	2007/08 Result	2008/09 Target	2008/09 Result	Direction of Travel	Met Target?	2009/10 Target	
Note: Reward grant is displayed rounded to the final year targets.	o the nearest 10,000,	in line with Annex C	of the Local Area Agre	ement (March 2006).	. Therefore this repo	rt does not reflect the	full amount allocated to meeting each of
ECC284a: Ecological footprint - domestic energy (gas and electricity) kWh/capita/yr Good performance is: LOW	Expected 2009/10	1,905kg	Expected 2010/11	N/a	N/a	-	Data is dependent on national release by the Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (Defra)
							Provisional Reward: tbc x £1.027m = tbc
ECC284b: Ecological footprint - car travel CO2 per capita	Expected 2009/10	1,490kg	Expected 2010/11	N/a	N/a	-	Data is dependent on national release by the Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (Defra)
Good performance is: LOW							
							Provisional Reward: tbc x £1.027m = tbc
ECC70a: Percentage of household waste sent for recycling or composting - all waste Good performance is: HIGH	40%	39.5%	42.8%	Δ	Y	-	National results, for the underlying data feeding this indicator, as published in the Data Interchange Hub (DIH) are calculated via government's WasteDataFlow system and do not agree with local understanding of final data. There are concerns that WasteDataFlow is not fully conforming to national definitions. Concerns have been alerted to government departments.
							Provisional Reward: 100% x £3.082m = £3.082m
ECC7ob: Percentage of household waste sent for recycling - non biodegradable waste	10.4%	10%	10.5%	Δ	Υ	-	
Good performance is: HIGH							Qualifying Condition Met

	How we performed					Where we need to be	Supporting Notes
Indicator	2007/08 Result	2008/09 Target	2008/09 Result	Direction of Travel	Met Target?	2009/10 Target	
Note: Reward grant is displayed rounded to the nearest 10,000, in line with Annex C of the Local Area Agreement (March 2006). Therefore this report does not reflect the full amount allocated to meeting each of the final year targets.							
ECC287a: Percentage of land within the County which falls below an acceptable level (Grade B) with regards to detritus and litter Good performance is: LOW	11%	14%	12%	igwedge	Y		
ECC287b: Percentage of land within the targeted gateway areas which falls below an acceptable level (Grade B) with regards to detritus and litter Good performance is: LOW	26%	20%	Expected July 2009	Not Available	Not Available	-	Provisional Reward: 100% x f1.387m = f1.387m Data is collected through a site survey carried out in each of the four gateway areas. 75% of survey data has been received, with the remaining data expected to be confirmed mid July 2009.
							Provisional Reward: tbc x £1.079m = tbc
ECC286: Percentage of people expressing satisfaction with the quality of the local environment around gateway areas	-	71%	50%	-	N	-	
Good performance is: HIGH							Provisional Reward: 0% x fo.616m = fo
Priority 14							
ECC282: Bus patronage (selected routes)	4.14m	4.15m	4.54m	Δ	Υ	-	
Good performance is: HIGH							Provisional Reward: 100% x £1.541m = £1.541m



This document is issued by:

Essex County Council Strategic Performance Team. You can contact us in the following ways:

By post:

Essex County Council, Stategic Performance Team D Block, County Hall, Chelmsford, Essex CM1 1LX

By telephone:

01245 430326

By fax:

01245 352710

By email:

performance@essex.gov.uk

The information contained in this document can be translated, and/or made available in alternative formats, on request.



