															ANNEX A						
Risk Area:	Essex Pension Fund	Date:	28/02/2010	Version no:	3	Es	sex Pension	Fund Risk	und Risk Register												
Misk Alca.	Lasex i ension i unu	Date.	20/02/2010	version no.																	
Objectives Area			Description of Risk of not Achieving the			Gross	Gross	Gross Risk		Residual	Residual	Residual		Date last							
at Risk	Objective at Risk	Risk Ref	Objectives	Risk Category	Risk Type	Impact	Probability	score	Possible Actions	Impact	Probability	Risk Score Assigned to (Risk Owner)	Status Review Date	reviewed	Days overdue						
Governance	RISKS	1	F-ilian of management to make	T		<u> </u>				l I	1		<u> </u>								
	Act with integrity and be accountable to		Failure of governance arrangements to match up to recommended best practice leads to loss						Work with independent governance adviser and other												
	our stakeholders for our decisions,		of reputation and employer confidence and/or		Governance/Rep	outat			advisers to review and improve governance												
Governance	ensuring they are robust and well based Act with integrity and be accountable to	G1	need to make major changes at short notice.	Strategic threat	ional		3 2	2 6	arrangements		3	1 3 Karen McWilliam									
	our stakeholders for our decisions,		Ultra vires Pension Fund actions lead to		Financial/				Ongoing advice from governance officer and												
Governance	ensuring they are robust and well based	G2	financial loss and damage reputation.	Strategic threat	Reputational		2 2	2 4	independent governance adviser		2	1 2 Karen McWilliam									
	Provide a high quality 'gold standard'		Failure to disclose relevant facts in the Report																		
Governance	service whilst maintaining value for money	G3	and Accounts or during the audit	Directorate threat	Governance		2 3	6	Robust review and sign off process in place to check.		2	1 2 Kevin McDonald									
	Ensure the Pension Fund is managed and																				
	its services delivered by people who have		Change to LGPS e.g. move to part DC and lac						Training plan will be put in place. Use of advisers to			5									
Governance	the appropriate knowledge and expertise	G4	of expertise in this area in PFB	Strategic threat	Governance		3 4	2 6	provide relevant information and recommendations.			2 4 Jody Evans			+						
	Provide a high quality 'gold standard'		Production of incorrect accounts, notices and																		
Governance	service whilst maintaining value for money	G5	publications	Directorate threat	Governance		2 3	6	Robust review and sign off process in place to check .		2	1 2 Kevin McDonald			+ -						
	Ensure the Pension Fund is managed and																				
Governance	its services delivered by people who have the appropriate knowledge and expertise	G6	Low knowledge amongst PFB members due to high turnover of members	Directorate threat	Governance		2 4	1 8	Training plan is in place including immediate training for new members	DI .	1	1 Martin Quinn									
		00																			
	Ensure the Pension Fund is managed and its services delivered by people who have		Failure of succession planning for key roles on																		
Governance	the appropriate knowledge and expertise	G7	PFB	Directorate threat	Governance		3 2	2 6	Difficult to manage given local election cycles.	;	3	2 6 Judith Dignam									
	Ensure the Pension Fund is managed and								Training plans in place through "my performance"												
	its services delivered by people who have		Failure of Officers to maintain sufficient level of						Training plans in place through "my performance".  Attendance at training events, engagement with peer												
Governance	the appropriate knowledge and expertise	G8	competence to discharge their duties	Directorate threat	Governance		3 3	9	group and working towards CIPFA KSF.		1	1 Martin Quinn									
	Ensure the Pension Fund is managed and								Clear delegation policies/procedures are in place and												
	its services delivered by people who have		Failure to delegate matters which should be						reviewed regularly. Independent governance adviser												
Governance	the appropriate knowledge and expertise	G9	performed by Officers	Directorate threat	Governance		2 2	1 8	advises on best use of PFB time.		1	1 Martin Quinn			+						
	Ensure the Pension Fund is managed and																				
Governance	its services delivered by people who have the appropriate knowledge and expertise	G10	Failure to appoint relevant advisors and review their performance	Directorate threat	Governance/ Reputational		2 2	4	Formulate adviser procurement strategy, including performance measures		2	2 Kevin McDonald									
Governance		0.0	If there is inadequate succession planning, sta		reputational				periormanico modouros			2 Hovin modernala									
	Ensure the Pension Fund is managed and its services delivered by people who have		could leave or go on long term absence and others may not have the skills to pick up those						Ensuring key areas are covered by at least two												
Governance	the appropriate knowledge and expertise	G11	areas of work	Service threat	Staff		3 2	2 6	members of staff.		2	1 2 Jody Evans									
	Evolve and look for new opportunities that		Insufficient staff causes failure to free up time		Financial /																
	may be beneficial for our stakeholders,		to look for other best practice areas then		Customer/				Ongoing review of staffing (e.g. staffing ratios v other												
Governance	ensuring efficiency at all times  Act with integrity and be accountable to	G12	opportunities may be missed	Service threat	Stakeholder Rela	ated	2 3	6	funds) and regularly update PFB on general progress.  Board is regularly trained to recognise conflicts and	:	2	6 Jody Evans									
	our stakeholders for our decisions,								ensure frequent discussions as part of business as												
Governance	ensuring they are robust and well based	G13	Failure to recognise conflicts of interest	Directorate threat	Governance		3 2	2 6	normal	:	2	2 Karen McWilliam									
	Act with integrity and be accountable to		If conflict of interests arise within PFB then						Board is regularly trained to recognise conflicts and												
	our stakeholders for our decisions,		lower contribution rates could be set that put						ensure frequent discussions as part of business as												
Governance	ensuring they are robust and well based	G14	future solvency at risk Infringement of contracts for the supply of	Strategic threat	Financial		3 3	9	normal	:	2	1 2 Karen McWilliam			+						
			services (investment management, investment																		
	Act with integrity and be accountable to our stakeholders for our decisions,		advice, actuarial services, custodial services etc) to the Pension Fund leads to reputational		Financial /				Formulate adviser procurement strategy, including												
Governance	ensuring they are robust and well based	G15	and financial loss.	Directorate threat	Reputations		1 2	2 2	performance measures and contract fulfilment checks		1	1 Kevin McDonald									
	Act with integrity and be accountable to								All												
Governance	our stakeholders for our decisions, ensuring they are robust and well based	G16	Failure to produce proper signed notes of relevant meetings	Directorate threat	Governance		1 4	1 4	All notes prepared by properly trained officer and agreed with other officers/advisers as well as PFB.		1	1 Judith Dignam									
												, and the second									
	Act with integrity and be accountable to		5 11 (DED 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1																		
Governance	our stakeholders for our decisions, ensuring they are robust and well based	G17	Failure of PFB members to leave their prejudices behind	Strategic threat	Reputational		2	6	Regularly review performance of PFB members and committee actions		1	2 Martin Quinn									
	Understand and monitor risk and		, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Ü											1						
Governance	compliance Understand and monitor risk and	G18	Failure of business continuity planning  New risks are not identified or risk register is	Service threat	Financial	_	3 2	6	Business continuity plan in place and regularly tested Officers to put process in place. Key risks reported to	-	1	1 Jody Evans		-	+						
Governance	compliance	G19	not kept up to date	Service threat	Governance		3 2	2 6	PFB each meeting.		2	1 2 Kevin McDonald									
	Continually measure and monitor success		Failure to have formal monitoring of KPIs in place leads to officers being unable to produce						M.I.s are being put in place against objectives - due to												
Governance	against our objectives	G20	accurate performance management reports.	Service threat	Financial		2 3	6	be live around April/May.	<u>L</u> :	2	2 4 Jody Evans			<u> </u>						
	Continually measure and monitor success		management reports leading to lack of audit						review reporting requirements and consider use of												
Governance	against our objectives	G21	trail	Service threat	Governance		2 1	2	automated extraction from Axis of required data		2	1 2 Jody Evans			<b></b>						
Governance	Pursue socially responsible business practices	G22	Failure to manage the Fund in line with policies	Strategic threat	Governance		1 2	2	Monitor application of the policies via M.I. Measurements		1	1 Martin Quinn									
	<u>,                                     </u>										•		· •		•						
Investment	KISKS	1	,							1			1 1								
			the Actuary in funding the plan this could lead to an increasing deficit and additional																		
			contribution requirements. The larger the level						Diversified portfolio; Annual Strategy Review; Asset												
Invostments	To maximise the returns from investments within reasonable risk parameters	14	of mismatch between assets and liabilities the		Einonsial				Liability Study, extended recovery periods to smooth contribution increases			6 Kevin McDonald									
Investments	within reasonable risk parameters	l1	bigger this risk.	Directorate Threat	Financial		4 3	12	Diversified portfolio; Annual Strategy Review;	1		C NEVIH IVICDONAIO			+ -						
<u>l</u> .	To maximise the returns from investments		Inefficiencies within the portfolio can result in						Quantification of individual components of financial			,									
Investments	within reasonable risk parameters	I2	unintended risks  If investment returns are below peer group	Directorate Threat	Financial		3 3	9	risks, Hedging of some risks, Obtain expert advice	1	2	1 2 Kevin McDonald			+						
			funds, or risk levels are excessive relative to																		
1	To maximise the returns from investments	ĺ	peer group, this could lead to reputational damage for the Fund or member/admitted body	,					Regular monitoring; Annual Strategy Review; Targeting												
Investments	within reasonable risk parameters	13	dissatisfaction.	Directorate Threat	Reputational		3 3	3 9	most efficient portfolio	1	2	4 Kevin McDonald									

Risk Area:	Essex Pension Fund	Date:	28/02/2010	Version no:	3	Es	sex Pension	Fund Risk	c Register								ANNEX A
Objectives Area at Risk	Objective at Risk	Risk Ref	Description of Risk of not Achieving the Objectives	Risk Category	Risk Type	Gross Impact	Gross Probability	Gross Risk score	Possible Actions	Residual Impact	Residual Probability		Assigned to (Risk Owner)	Status	Review Date	Date last reviewed	Days overdue
Investments	To maximise the returns from investments within reasonable risk parameters	14	Risk of missing opportunities to maximise returns	Directorate Threat	Financial		2 3	. 6	Regular monitoring; more than one investment adviser; dialogue with existing managers to encourage new ideas; peer group dialogue		2 2		4 Kevin McDonald				
Investments	To maximise the returns from investments within reasonable risk parameters	15	If investment strategy is inconsistent with Funding Plan then it can lead to employers paying the incorrect contribution rate	Directorate Threat	Financial/Reputationa	a	4 3	12	Triennial Reviews linked with Funding Strategy & Investment Strategy. Asset Liability Study; SIP; Interim Reviews; co-ordination between actuary and investment consultant		2 1		2 Kevin McDonald				
Investments	To maximise the returns from investments	10	Fund managers underperform their benchmarks	Discrete at Theres	Financial				Manager selection process and due diligence; manager monitoring across wide range of issues; diversified portfolio of managers; setting of appropriate				2 Kevin McDonald				
Investments	within reasonable risk parameters	16		Directorate Threat	Financiai		2 2		4 benchmarks  Experience of ISC members; training; monitoring of knowledge and understanding; appointment of investment consultant and two independent advisers; experience of in house team; papers prepared in		2 1		Z Kevin wcDonaid				
Investments	To ensure the Fund is properly managed	17	Inappropriate or uninformed decisions e.g. du to lack of understanding / training		Financial/Reputationa	ā	3 3	į g	advance of decisions being made; Annual Strategy Review sets plan for year		1 2	:	Kevin McDonald				
Investments	To ensure the Fund is properly managed	18	Insufficient management information about the position of the Fund e.g. level of risk; amount assets; performance of managers	of	Financial/Reputationa	á	2 3	s 6	Regular quarterly reporting on assets, performance and managers; Annual Strategy Review		1 1		1 Kevin McDonald				
			Failure to take expert advice or risk of poor						Appointment of investment consultant and two								
Investments	To ensure the Fund is properly managed	19	advice		Financial/Reputationa	á	2 3	6	independent advisers, who regularly attend meetings In house team; use of passive manager to implement		1 1		1 Kevin McDonald				
Investments	To ensure the Fund is properly managed	I10	Delays in implementation of decisions reduce the effectiveness of the decision	Directorate Threat	Financial/Reputationa	á	2 3	6	change; delegation of implementation to officers and advisers		1 2	:	2 Kevin McDonald				
			If liquidity is not managed correctly, assets maneed to be sold at unattractive times or investment opportunities missed as cash is						Limit on illiquid assets and level of diversification from								
Investments	To ensure the Fund is properly managed	I11	unavailable.  Insufficient scrutiny of manager mandates and	_	Financial/Reputationa	ā	2 2	2	4 equities and bonds; projection of expected cashflows		1 1		1 Kevin McDonald				
Investments	To ensure the Fund is properly managed	l12	terms of business may lead to inappropriate for levels or other costs.		Financial/Reputationa	á	2 3	6	Quarterly monitoring; review of fees versus peer group; selection criteria include fees and other costs		1 2	:	2 Kevin McDonald				
la constant and a	To account the Found is account of the control of t		Fallow of management attention	D: T	E: :1/D:				Quarterly monitoring; SAS70 audit reports; investment consultant ongoing research; diversification of manager	r			Karin McDanald				
Investments	To ensure the Fund is properly managed	I13	Failure of manager or custodian	Directorate Threat	Financial/Reputationa	8	3 1	3	mandates; diversification of custody via pooled funds Quarterly monitoring, setting appropriate mandates for		2 1	:	Kevin McDonald				
Investments	To ensure the Fund is properly managed	114	Failure to react to major change in market / economic conditions	Directorate Threat	Financial		3	a a	managers, appointment of investment consultant and independent advisers, review of market conditions at each meeting, regular engagement with investment managers		2 1		2 Kevin McDonald				
Investments	Ensure all significant Fund investment issues are communicated properly to all interested parties	115	Inappropriate communication of risks involved in the pension fund and strategy adopted and actions taken by the ISC may lead to questior and challenge and unexpected increases in contributions				2		Resourcing of in house team; discussion forums and surgeries; statement of investment principles; funding strategy statement		1 3		2 Kevin McDonald				
		1110	CONTRIBUTION	Directorate Timeat	reputational	<u> </u>			olitatogy statement		<u>'1</u>		TOVIII MODORAIA	!		1	
Funding Ri	SKS								Use of a diversified portfolio which is regularly								
Sup dia s	Achieve and then maintain assets equal to 100% of liabilities within reasonable risk		Investment markets perform below actuarial assumptions resulting in reduced assets, reduced solvency levels and increased	Otrata sia Thanat	Financial /				monitored against targets and reallocated appropriately. At each triennial valuation assess funding position and progress made to full funding. Full annual interim reviews to enable consideration of the position.				Martin Octor				
Funding	parameters  Achieve and then maintain assets equal to	F1	employer contributions  Market yields move at variance with actuarial assumptions resulting in increases in liabilities	Strategic Threat	Reputational		4 2		Full annual interim reviews to enable consideration of the position and the continued appropriateness of the		2	4	4 Martin Quinn				
Funding	100% of liabilities within reasonable risk parameters	F2	reduced solvency levels and increased employer contributions	Strategic Threat	Financial / Reputational		4 2	2 8	funding/investment strategies and to monitor the exposure to unrewarded risks.		3 2		6 Martin Quinn				
	Achieve and then maintain assets equal to		Investment managers fail to achieve performance targets (i.e. ensure funding target assumptions are consistent with funding		Fire anniel /				Diversified investment structure and frequent								
Funding	100% of liabilities within reasonable risk parameters	F3	objectives) which reduces solvency levels and increases required in employers' contributions		Financial / Reputational		3 3	3	monitoring against targets with potential for a change of managers where considered appropriate.		2 2		Martin Quinn				
Funding	Achieve and then maintain assets equal to 100% of liabilities within reasonable risk parameters	F4	Mortality rates continue to improve, in excess of the allowances built into the evidence base actuarial assumptions, resulting in increased liabilities, reduced solvency levels and increased employer contributions		Financial / Reputational		3 3	3	Monitoring of mortality experience factors being exhibited by the Fund members by Fund Actuary and consequent variation of the actuarial assumptions based on evidential analysis.		2 2		4 Martin Quinn				
Funding	Achieve and then maintain assets equal to 100% of liabilities within reasonable risk parameters	F5	Frequency of early retirements increases to levels in excess of the actuarial assumptions adopted resulting in increases required in employers' contributions	Strategic Threat	Financial / Reputational		3 3	3	Employers required to pay capital sums to fund costs for non-ill health cases. Regular monitoring of early retirement (including on the grounds of ill health) experience being exhibited by the Fund members by Fund Actuary and consequent variation of the actuarial assumptions based on evidential analysis. Ensure that employers are made aware of consequences of their adecisions and that they are financially responsible.		2 2		4 Martin Quinn				
Funding	To determine employer contribution requirements recognising the desirability o maintaining as nearly constant employer contributions as possible	F6	Failure to apply and demonstrate fairness in the differentiated treatment of different fund employers by reference to their own circumstances and covenant	Partnership / Strategic Threat	Financial/Reputation		4 3	3 15	At each triennial actuarial valuation an analysis is carried out to assess covenant and affordability on a proportional basis. Dialogue with employers wherever possible.		2 2		4 Martin Quinn				
Funding	To determine employer contribution requirements recognising the desirability o maintaining as nearly constant employer contributions as possible	F7	Mismatch in asset returns and liability movements result in increased employer contributions	Strategic Threat	Financial / Reputational		4 3	3 12	Diversified investment structure and frequent monitoring against targets to adjust funding plans accordingly through the FSS. Employers are kept informed as appropriate.		3 2		6 Martin Quinn				

																	ANNEX A
Risk Area:	Essex Pension Fund	Date:	28/02/2010	Version no:		E	ssex Pensior	r Fund Risk	Register								
		1	_	1	1			<u> </u>		ı		<u> </u>		<del>                                     </del>	<u> </u>		
Objectives Area		Distr Dat	Description of Risk of not Achieving the	Diels Oets see	Disk Tons	Gross	Gross	Gross Risk	Describle Assistan	Residual	Residual	Residual	Assigned to (Risk Owne	01-1	Daview Date	Date last	Davis avaidus
at Risk	Objective at Risk	KISK KEI	Objectives	Risk Category	Risk Type	Impact	Probability	score	Possible Actions At each triennial actuarial valuation an analysis is	Impact	Probability	Risk Score	Assigned to (Risk Owner	) Status	Review Date	reviewed	Days overdue
	To determine employer contribution requirements recognising the desirability of		Pay and consumer price inflation significantly						carried to ensure that the assumptions adopted are appropriate and monitor actual experience.								
	maintaining as nearly constant employer		different from actuarial assumptions resulting i	n	Financial /				Discussions with employers over expected progression	ı							
Funding	contributions as possible	F8	increases required in employers' contributions	Strategic Threat	Reputational		3	2 6	of pay in the short and long term.		2	2	4 Martin Quinn				
									Risk profile analysis performed with a view on the								
									strength of individual employer's covenant being								
									formed when setting terms of admission agreement (inc bonds) and in setting term of deficit recovery whilst								
	To determine employer contribution		Potential for significant increases in						attempting to keep employers' contributions as stable								
	requirements recognising the desirability o maintaining as nearly constant employer	1	contributions to levels which are unaffordable.  Ultimate risk is the possibility of the employers		Financial /				and affordable as possible. Pursue a policy of positive engagement with a view to strengthening employer								
Funding	contributions as possible	F9	defaulting on their contributions	Strategic Threat	Reputational		3	3	covenants wherever possible		2	2	4 Martin Quinn				
									Ensuring that Fund concerns are considered by the Officers/Board as appropriate and raised in								
	To determine employer contribution								consultation process with decision makers lobbied.								
	requirements recognising the desirability o maintaining as nearly constant employer	1	Adverse changes to LGPS regulations resulting	g	Financial /				Employers and interested parties to be kept informed.								
Funding	contributions as possible	F10	in increases required in employers' contributions or Fund cashflow requirements.	Strategic Threat	Financial / Reputational		4	2	Monitor potential impact for employers in conjunction with Actuary.		3	1	Martin Quinn				
	·		·		·				Ensuring that Fund concerns are considered by the								
	To determine employer contribution								Officers/Board as appropriate and raised in consultation process with decision makers lobbied.								
	requirements recognising the desirability o	1	Adverse changes to other legislation, tax rules						Employers and interested parties to be kept informed.					1			1
Funding	maintaining as nearly constant employer contributions as possible	F11	etc resulting in increases required in employer contributions	s' Strategic Threat	Financial / Reputational		3	2	Monitor potential impact for employers in conjunction with Actuary.		3		3 Martin Quinn	1			1
i unumy	остинициона на розание	111	COMMINGUIS	onategic illieat	ποραιατιστιαί		3		marriodaly.	<u> </u>			o martin Quilli	1			+
									Ensure that employers are reminded of their					1			1
			Administering authority unaware of structural						responsibilities, monitor and send reminders of					1			1
			changes in an employer's membership, or not being advised of an employer closing to new						employers responsibilities re this where appropriate, investigate the adoption of an administration strategy to								
	To manage employers' liabilities effectively	4	entrants, meaning that the individual						clarify employer responsibilities. Risk profile analysis								
Funding	by the adoption of employer specific funding objectives	F12	employer's contribution level becomes inappropriate requiring review and increase	Partnership Threat	Financial /		3	3	and officer dialogue with employers concerned (including guarantors as appropriate)		2		4 Martin Quinn				
Fullding	landing objectives	FIZ	mappropriate requiring review and increase	Faithership Threat	. Reputational		3	3	(including guarantors as appropriate)				Wartin Quinn				+
	To manage employers' liabilities effectively	1	Not recognising opportunities from changing		E: : 1/D : : :				At each triennial valuation pursue a policy of positive								
Funding	by the adoption of employer specific funding objectives	F13	market, economic or other circumstances (e.g de-risking or strengthening of covenant)	Strategic Threat	Financial/Reputation al		3	3	engagement with a view to strengthening employer covenants wherever possible.		2	2	Martin Quinn				
				J					At each triennial actuarial valuation an analysis is								
	To manage employers' liabilities effectively	1	Adoption of either an inappropriately slow or	Portporchin /	Financial/Reputation				carried out to assess covenant and affordbaility on a								
Funding	by the adoption of employer specific funding objectives	F14	rapid pace of funding in the specific circumstances for any particular employer	Partnership / Strategic Threat	al		3	4 12	proportional basis. Dialogue with employers wherever possible.		2	2	4 Martin Quinn				
									Follow the standardised approach to bulk transfers of								
									liabilities as part of admission policy framework,								
	To manage employers' liabilities effectively	ļ	Failure to ensure appropriate transfer is paid to protect the solvency of the Fund and equivaler						complying with any statutory requirements and protecting the interests of the Fund's employers by								
	by the adoption of employer specific		rights are acquired for transferring members in	1	Financial/Reputation				measuring the solvency of the Fund and relevant								
Funding	funding objectives	F15	accordance with the regulations. employer funding requirements due to	Strategic Threat	al		2	3 6	employers before and after transfer.  Measurement will look at expected return projections		2		Martin Quinn				+
			inconsistent approach or failing to recognise						vs actuarial assumptions in order to test the continued								
	To have consistency between the	F40	the impact of the investment strategy on	O	Financial/Reputation				appropriateness and consistency between the funding								
Funding	investment strategy and funding strategy	F16	funding	Strategic Threat	al		3	3	and investment strategy.		2	2	Martin Quinn				+
	Maintain liquidity in order to meet		Illiquidity of certain markets and asset classes and difficulty in realising investments and						Holding liquid assets and maintain positive cashflows.								
Funding	projected net cash-flow outgoings	F17	paying benefits as they fall due	Strategic Threat	Financial		3	3	Reviews performed to monitor cashflow requirements		2	1	Martin Quinn				
	Marindaria Bassidika in andan Aranga A		Unanticipated onset of cash-flow negative						Heldie e lievid anna e end en interior annitiva anni flavor								
Funding	Maintain liquidity in order to meet projected net cash-flow outgoings	F18	position, potentially requiring ad hoc repositioning of assets	Strategic Threat	Financial		3	3	Holding liquid assets and maintain positive cashflows.  Reviews performed to monitor cashflow requirements		2		2 Martin Quinn				
	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		,		2				The second secon	1				1			1
									Assess the strength of individual employer's covenant								
			An employer ceasing to exist with insufficient						and/or require a guarantee when setting terms of admission agreement (inc bonds) and in setting term of	:							
			funding, adequacy of bond or guarantee. In the	е					deficit recovery. Annual monitoring of risk profiles and								
			absence of all of these, the shortfall will be attributed to the Fund as a whole with						officer dialogue with employers concerned (including guarantors as appropriate) through traffic light analysis.	]				1			1
	Minimise unrecoverable debt on		increases being required in all other employers		Financial /				Positive dialogue with employers with a view to	]							1
Funding	termination of employer participation	F19	contributions	Strategic Threat	Reputational		4	3 12	strengthening employer covenants wherever possible	<u> </u>	3	2	Martin Quinn	1			
									Assess the strength of individual employer's covenant								
									in conjunction with the Actuary and/or require a								
									guarantee when setting terms of admission agreement (inc bonds) and in setting term of deficit recovery.								
									Annual monitoring of risk profiles and officer dialogue								
			Failure to monitor leading to inconveniet						with employers concerned (including guarantors as					1			1
	Minimise unrecoverable debt on		Failure to monitor leading to inappropriate funding strategy and unrecovered debt on		Financial/Reputation				appropriate) through traffic light analysis. Positive dialogue with employers with a view to strengthening					1			1
Funding	termination of employer participation	F20	cessation of participation in the fund	Strategic Threat	al		4	3 12	employer covenants wherever possible		3	2	6 Martin Quinn				
Administra	tion Risks																
Administra	THORE THORE								Process for changes of regulations in place, with					1	1	1	<del></del>
	Deliver a high quality, friendly and								various posts around technical guidance, operations,					1			1
	informative service to all beneficiaries, potential beneficiaries and employers at		Failure to administer the scheme in line with		Regulatory				communications. Checking of calcs etc goes on/quality review. Audit. Independent advisers. Ongoing review	1							1
Administration	the point of need	A1	Regulations and policies	Directorate threat	compliance		4	4 16	of policies	<u></u>	3	ı	Jody Evans				
	Deliver a high quality, friendly and informative service to all beneficiaries,		Unable to deliver a service for Pensions											1			
	potential beneficiaries and employers at		Administrator and Pensioner Payroll because	of					Business continuity and recovery plans in place					1			1
Administration	the point of need	A2	system failure or unavailability	Service threat	IS (Technological)		4	1 4	including ability to access systems from home.	ļ	4		4 Jody Evans	$\perp$		1	
	Deliver a high quality, friendly and informative service to all beneficiaries,		Unable to deliver a service for Pensions														1
	potential beneficiaries and employers at		Administrator and Pensioner Payroll because						Multi skilled staff. Access from home. Considering					1			1
Administration	the point of need	A3	staff unavailability (e.g. Sickness)	Service threat	Staff		4	1 4	cross fund working.		3		3 Jody Evans		l		

	Essex Pension Fund Risk Register														ANNEX A	
Risk Area:	Essex Pension Fund	Date:	28/02/2010	Version no:												
Objective - Arr			Description of Right of ant Achieving the			Cress	Cross	Gross Risk		oiduol Basidusl	Posidy -				Data last	
Objectives Area at Risk	Objective at Risk	Risk Ref	Description of Risk of not Achieving the Objectives	Risk Category	Risk Type	Gross Impact	Gross Probability	score		sidual Residual Probability	Residual Risk Score	Assigned to (Risk Owner)	Status	Review Date	Date last reviewed	Days overdue
	Deliver a high quality, friendly and informative service to all beneficiaries,								NFI and payroll slips/communications at intervals							
	potential beneficiaries and employers at								through the year to home addresses, sight of							
Administration	the point of need	A4	Fraud by scheme members	Directorate threat	Financial		2 3	6	certificates (e.g. Birth), very few cheque payments	1	1 1	Jody Evans				-
	Deliver a high quality, friendly and informative service to all beneficiaries,								Checking in place, citrix log in security, axise multiple log ins, month end reconciliation, locked records for							
	potential beneficiaries and employers at								pension staff, declaration of personal							
Administration	the point of need  Deliver a high quality, friendly and	A5	Fraud by staff	Directorate threat	Financial		2 3	6	relationships/family members	1	1 1	Jody Evans				+
	informative service to all beneficiaries,															
Administration	potential beneficiaries and employers at the point of need	A6	Lack or reduction of skilled resources e.g. due to public spending cuts	Service threat	Customer/ Stakeholder related		3 3	0	Engage Pension Board in decision re impact of staff reductions. Continually looking for efficiencies	3	2	Jody Evans				
Administration	Deliver a high quality, friendly and	AU	to public speriality cuts	Service trireat	Stakeriolder related				Benchmark costs against other Funds and regularly		2	Jody Evans				
	informative service to all beneficiaries,		Excessive costs of administration lead to lack						look for efficiency savings, measure performance							
Administration	potential beneficiaries and employers at the point of need	A7	of VFM and loss of reputation	Directorate threat	Financial		1 2	2	annually, use of procurement, proper business planning with Board approval	1	1 1	Jody Evans				
	Ensure benefits are paid to, and income								Monitoring of contributions payable, monitoring of							
Administration	collected from, the right people at the right time in the right amount	A8	Failure to invest surplus contributions	Directorate threat	Financial		1 3	3	pension fund cash balalnce, cash flow projections provided by Treasury Management team	1	1 1	Kevin McDonald				
			·													
	Ensure benefits are paid to, and income collected from, the right people at the right		Failure to collect pension contributions in line		Regulatory				All contributing Employers provided with deadlines for payments and clear guidelines for providing associated							
Administration	time in the right amount	A9	with regulatory guidelines	Directorate threat	compliance		2 4	8	information, monitoring of contributions payable	2	2 4	Kevin McDonald				
	Ensure benefits are paid to, and income collected from, the right people at the right		Failure to maintain proper records leading to inadequate data, which could lead to increased		Customer/				Engagement with employers, employer manuals in place, electronic interface, year end data cleansing,							
Administration	time in the right amount	A10	complaints and errors	Service threat	Stakeholder related		2 3	6	officer checking, info on ABS	1	1 1	Jody Evans				
	Deliver a high quality friendly and								Staff pass complaints go to a specific senior officer and							
	Deliver a high quality, friendly and informative service to all beneficiaries,								they are then referred to management team to decide appropriate response. Complaint levels are monitored							
	potential beneficiaries and employers at			5:	Customer/				and low level of complaints and IDRPs demonstrates							
Administration	the point of need  Deliver a high quality, friendly and	A11	Failure to deal with complaints appropriately	Directorate threat	Stakeholder related		1 2	2	success of process.	1	1 1	Jody Evans				+
	informative service to all beneficiaries,								Lots of engagement with employers, employer guides,							
Administration	potential beneficiaries and employers at the point of need	A12	Failure to deliver the LGPS properly results in lots of complaints and/or IDRPs	Directorate threat	Customer/ Stakeholder related		2 2	4	good communications with members, checking of calculations, etc, data checks, good training	1	1	Jody Evans				
			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						Have a test system and are a test site for AXISe.							
	Ensure benefits are paid to, and income		Incorrect calculation of members benefits						Attend and take part in areas for testing. Every calculation has independent checking and set							
	collected from, the right people at the right		through, for example, inadequate testing of						procedures. Good staff training. Use of task							
Administration	time in the right amount	A13	systems	Service threat	IS (Technological)		3 2	6	management System back up to protect again data loss, data	1	1 1	Jody Evans				
	Data is protected to ensure security and		Potential of data to get into wrong hands or los	t					encryption and password protection, use of file transfer							
Administration	authorised use only  Deliver a high quality, friendly and	A14	(in the post)	Directorate threat	(IS or other)		2 2	4	protocol, using mainly post for members.	2	1 2	Jody Evans				
	informative service to all beneficiaries,															
A desiniate ation	potential beneficiaries and employers at	A 1 F	ABS errors (e.g wrong addresses, layout and	Can doe throat	(IC or other)			4	Tandar for supplier via CDC foodback from other years	4	4	Lady Evens				
Administration	the point of need  Ensure benefits are paid to, and income	A15	printing errors) due to external supplier	Service threat	(IS or other)		1 1	1	Tender for supplier via CDS, feedback from other users	1	1	Jody Evans				
	collected from, the right people at the right		Inconsistencies in delivery due to failure to		Customer/							=				
Administration	time in the right amount	A16	properly document processes and procedures	Service threat	Stakeholder related	ļ	2 1	2	Document processes and procedures	1]	1 1	Jody Evans	<u> </u>			1
Communic	ations Risks															
									Dedicated communication manager with good communication expertise, every comms goes via							
									technical manager and employer liaison manager for							
	Communicate in a friendly, expert and		Increased work load for pension team or		0				feedback, sharing around office for comment for							
Communications	direct way to our stakeholders, treating all our stakeholders equally	C1	increased opt outs if communications not clear and easily understood	Service threat	Customer/Stakehold er related		2 3	6	scrutiny/understanding and sometimes to directorate or other non-pension admin staff.	1	1	Jody Evans				
	Communicate in a friendly, expert and				0				·			•				
Communications	direct way to our stakeholders, treating all our stakeholders equally	C2	Issuing incorrect or inaccurate member communications	Service threat	Customer/Stakehold er related		2 3	6	Rigorous sign off from technical manager and employel liaison	1	1	Jody Evans				
							1		Develop and maintain master electronic list of employer			,				
	Communicate in a friendly, expert and		Failure to maintain employer database leading						contacts. Most changes should be identified through regular communications with employers however							
	direct way to our stakeholders, treating all		to information being lost or sent to wrong						including reminders to let the fund know about any							
Communications	our stakeholders equally	C3	person	Directorate threat	IS (Technological)		3 3	9	changes to contact details.	1	1 1	Jody Evans				
									ABS go to home address but complete address update							
							1		done from employer immediately before. Other processes addresses are checked by checker and also							
							1		communication with member/employer before payment							
	Deliver information in a way that suits all		Risk some members may not receive relevant communications if address and/or contact		Customer/Stakehold		1		made, etc. All post office returns investigated/followed							
Communications	types of stakeholder	C4	details incorrect	Service threat	er related		2 2	2 4	up and nothing goes out if new address not found.  Tracing agencies used for members age 65+.	1	1	Jody Evans				
									Arrangement with Dept in Essex for Braille, CD or other							
	Deliver information in a way that suits all		Discrimination cases if information not supplied	1			1		format. Also used other counties. Offer of alternative formats on all communications. Log kept of individuals							
Communications	types of stakeholder	C5	in suitable format	Directorate threat	Financial	<u> </u>	1 1	1	with specific requirements.	1	1 1	Jody Evans				
	Deliver information in a way that suits all		Failure to include all required information in documents issued to members under		Regulatory				Technical Manager keeps up to date with disclosure requirements and on-line courses are used to also							
Communications	types of stakeholder	C6	disclosure regulations	Directorate threat	compliance		3 2	2 6	keep up to date for all staff members	1	1 1	Jody Evans				