

Liberal Democrat Amendment to Motion 1 Highways Defects

Moved by Councillor Aspinell and seconded by Councillor Kendall.

Amendment with changes marked:

'Council recognises some progress has been made on repairing highway, cycleway, and footway defects but wishes to set on record that much more remains to be done.

Council asks the Place Services and Economic Growth Policy and Scrutiny Committee to consider the following issues:

1. What further action can be taken by ~~Council requests~~ the Cabinet Member for Infrastructure to ~~redouble his efforts to~~ minimise the danger and inconvenience to residents from such defects, and particularly requests:
2. How the Council can ensure that ~~d~~Developers, no matter how small, can ~~to~~ be surcharged for any damage to roads and footways caused during their development operations, to be evidenced by before and after photography;
3. How m~~More~~ stringent action can ~~to~~ be taken over utility providers who allow inspection covers etc to deteriorate, or damage infrastructure through their operations;
4. How we can work to negotiate ~~Negotiations to be held~~ with the City/Borough/District authorities for the establishment and where appropriate funding of small works teams, outside the strategic partnership with Ringway Jacobs such that minor defects can more economically and speedily be put right and thereby avoiding the restrictions presently applied to Highways Rangers;
5. How we can extend ~~An extension of~~ the successful Member-led pothole and footway initiatives'

The amended motion would read:

'Council recognises some progress has been made on repairing highway, cycleway, and footway defects but wishes to set on record that much more remains to be done.

Council asks the Place Services and Economic Growth Policy and Scrutiny Committee to consider the following issues:

1. What further action can be taken by the Cabinet Member for Infrastructure to minimise the danger and inconvenience to residents from such defects, and particularly requests:
2. How the Council can ensure that developers, no matter how small, can be surcharged for any damage to roads and footways caused during their development operations, to be evidenced by before and after photography;
3. How more stringent action can be taken over utility providers who allow inspection covers etc to deteriorate, or damage infrastructure through their operations;
4. How we can work to negotiate with the City/Borough/District authorities for the establishment and where appropriate funding of small works teams, outside the strategic partnership with Ringway Jacobs such that minor defects can more economically and speedily be put right and thereby avoiding the restrictions presently applied to Highways Rangers;
5. How we can extend the successful Member-led pothole and footway initiatives'