Funding Risk Change Log

| Previous Risk No. | Revised Risk No. | Objective | Previous Risk Wording | Revised Risk Wording | Previous Mitigations | Revised Mitigations | Previous Risk Rating | Revised Rating | Comments |
|----------------------|---------------------|--|--|--|---|---|----------------------------|-------------------|----------|
| F1 | F1 | To prudently set levels of employer contributions that aim to achieve a fully funded ongoing position in the timescales determined in the Funding Strategy Statement | Investment markets perform below actuarial assumptions resulting in reduced assets, reduced solvency levels and increased employer contributions | Failure to set and collect contributions sufficient to achieve a fully funded ongoing position in the timescales determined by the Funding Strategy Statement | Use of a diversified portfolio which is regularly monitored against targets and reallocated appropriately. At each triennial valuation assess funding position and progress made to full funding. Full annual interim reviews to enable consideration of the position. A specific scorecard measure is in place on this matter. | 1. At each triennial valuation, assess funding position and progress made to full funding. 2. Full annual interim reviews to enable consideratio n of the position. 3. A specific Scorecard measure is in place on this matter. Current measure 4.3.2 - % of contributing employers submitting timely payments. 4. Work with Employers to ensure they | 4 | 6 | |

| Previous Risk No. | Revised Risk No. | Objective | Previous Risk Wording | Revised Risk Wording | Previous Mitigations | Revised Mitigations | Previous Risk Rating | Revised Rating | Comments |
|----------------------|---------------------|--|--|-------------------------|--|---|----------------------------|-------------------|---|
| | | | | | | understand their responsibiliti es. 5. Year-end reconciliation of Member data. | | | |
| F2 | None | Within reasonable risk parameters, to achieve and then maintain assets equal to 100% of liabilities in the timescales determined by the Funding Strategy | Markets move at variance with actuarial assumptions resulting in increases in deficits, reduced solvency levels and increased employer contributions | None | Annual reviews to enable consideration of the position and the continued appropriatene ss of the funding/invest ment strategies and to monitor the exposure to unrewarded risks. | None | 9 | None | Deleted – moved to investment risks |
| F3 | None | Within reasonable risk parameters, to achieve and then maintain assets equal to 100% of liabilities in the timescales determined by the Funding Strategy | Investment managers fail to achieve performance targets (i.e. ensure funding target assumptions are consistent with funding objectives) | None | Diversified investment structure and frequent monitoring against targets with potential for a change of managers where | None | 4 | None | Deleted – merged with Investment risks |

| Previous Risk No. | Revised Risk No. | Objective | Previous Risk Wording | Revised Risk Wording | Previous Mitigations | Revised Mitigations | Previous Risk Rating | Revised Rating | Comments |
|----------------------|---------------------|--|--|--|---|---|----------------------------|-------------------|----------|
| | | | which reduces solvency levels and increases required in employers' contributions | | considered appropriate. | | | | |
| F4 | F2 | To prudently set levels of employer contributions that aim to achieve a fully funded ongoing position in the timescales determined in the Funding Strategy Statement | Mortality rates continue to improve, in excess of the allowances built into the evidence based actuarial assumptions, resulting in increased liabilities, reduced solvency levels and increased employer contributions | Mortality rates continue to improve, in excess of the allowances built into the evidence based actuarial assumptions, resulting in increased liabilities, reduced solvency levels and increased employer contributions | Monitoring of mortality experience factors being exhibited by the Fund members by Fund Actuary and consequent variation of the actuarial assumptions based on evidential analysis. | 1. Longevity analysis is conducted by the Actuary at each valuation. | 4 | 9 | |
| F5 | F3 | To prudently set levels of employer contributions that aim to achieve a fully funded ongoing position in the timescales determined in the Funding Strategy Statement | Frequency of early retirements increases to levels in excess of the actuarial assumptions adopted resulting in increases required in employers' contributions | Demographic experience of Fund population is not in line with actuarial assumptions resulting in increases required in Employer contributions | Employers required to pay capital sums to fund costs for non- ill health cases. Regular monitoring of early retirement (including on the grounds | 1. EPF ensures the Employer pay the rates set at each valuation. 2. The Actuary provides a prudent assessment to allow for ill-health | 4 | 3 | |

| Previous Risk No. | Revised Risk No. | Objective | Previous Risk Wording | Revised Risk Wording | Previous Mitigations | Revised Mitigations | Previous Risk Rating | Revised Rating | Comments |
|----------------------|---------------------|---|---|---|---|--|----------------------------|-------------------|----------|
| | | | | | of ill health) experience being exhibited by the Fund members by Fund Actuary and consequent variation of the actuarial assumptions based on evidential analysis. Ensure that employers are made aware of consequence s of their decisions and that they are financially responsible. | cases within the calculations. 3. Any change in demographic s are reviewed at subsequent valuations and any underfunding will be addressed. | | | |
| F6 | F4 | To recognise in drawing up the funding strategy the desirability of employer contribution rates that are as stable as possible | Failure to apply and demonstrate fairness in the differentiated treatment of different fund employers by reference to their own circumstances | Failure to apply and demonstrate fairness in the differentiated treatment of different fund employers by reference to their own circumstances | At each triennial actuarial valuation an analysis is carried out to assess covenant and affordability on a proportional | 1. EPF carries out an analysis at each triennial actuarial valuation to assess covenant and affordability | 4 | 6 | |

| Previous Risk No. | Revised Risk No. | Objective | Previous Risk Wording | Revised Risk Wording | Previous Mitigations | Revised Mitigations | Previous Risk Rating | Revised Rating | Comments |
|----------------------|---------------------|--|---|-------------------------|--|---|----------------------------|-------------------|----------|
| | | | and covenant | and covenant | basis. On- going dialogue with employers. | on a proportional basis. 2. A risk analysis is conducted at each triennial valuation by the Funds Actuary. 3. Ongoing monitoring of contributions to identify significant change and continuous dialogue with employers. | | | |
| F7 | None | To recognise when drawing up its funding strategy the desirability of employer contribution rates that are as stable as possible | Mismatch in asset returns and liability movements result in increased employer contributions | None | Diversified investment structure and frequent monitoring against targets to adjust funding plans accordingly through the FSS. Employers are kept informed as appropriate. | None | 6 | None | Deleted |

| Previous Risk No. | Revised Risk No. | Objective | Previous Risk Wording | Revised Risk Wording | Previous Mitigations | Revised Mitigations | Previous Risk Rating | Revised Rating | Comments |
|----------------------|---------------------|---|--|--|--|--|----------------------------|-------------------|----------|
| F8 | F5 | To recognise in drawing up the funding strategy the desirability of employer contribution rates that are as stable as possible | Pay and consumer price inflation significantly different from actuarial assumptions resulting in increases required in employers' contributions | Pay and price inflation significantly different from actuarial assumptions resulting in increases required in employers' contributions | At each triennial actuarial valuation an analysis is carried to ensure that the assumptions adopted are appropriate and monitor actual experience. Discussions with employers over expected progression of pay in the short and long term. | 1. EPF carries out an analysis at each triennial actuarial valuation to ensure that the assumptions adopted are appropriate and monitor actual experience. 2. Discussions with employers over affordability are held. 3. Discretions Policy to control discretionary costs. | 4 | 6 | |
| F9 | None | To recognise when drawing up its funding strategy the desirability of employer contribution rates that are as stable | Potential for significant increases in contributions to levels which are unaffordable. Ultimate risk is the possibility of | None | Risk profile analysis performed with a view on the strength of individual employer's | None | 4 | None | Deleted |

| Previous Risk No. | Revised Risk No. | Objective | Previous Risk Wording | Revised Risk Wording | Previous Mitigations | Revised Mitigations | Previous Risk Rating | Revised Rating | Comments |
|----------------------|---------------------|---|--|-------------------------|--|------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|----------|
| | | as possible | the employers defaulting on their contributions | | covenant being formed when setting terms of admission agreement (including bonds) and in setting term of deficit recovery whilst attempting to keep employers' contributions as stable and affordable as possible. Pursue a policy of positive engagement with a view to strengthening employer covenants wherever possible. | | | | |
| F10 | None | To recognise when drawing up its funding strategy the desirability of employer contribution rates | Adverse changes to LGPS regulations resulting in increases required in | None | Ensuring that Fund concerns are considered by the Officers/Boar d as | None | 3 | None | Deleted |

| Previous Risk No. | Revised Risk No. | Objective | Previous Risk Wording | Revised Risk Wording | Previous Mitigations | Revised Mitigations | Previous Risk Rating | Revised Rating | Comments |
|----------------------|---------------------|--|---|-------------------------|--|------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|----------|
| | | that are as stable as possible | employers' contributions or Fund cash flow requirements. | | appropriate and raised in consultation process with decision makers lobbied. Employers and interested parties to be kept informed. Monitor potential impact for employers in conjunction with Actuary. | | | | |
| F11 | None | To recognise when drawing up its funding strategy the desirability of employer contribution rates that are as stable as possible | Adverse changes to other legislation, tax rules, etc. resulting in increases required in employers' contributions | None | Ensuring that Fund concerns are considered by the Officers/Boar d as appropriate and raised in consultation process with decision makers lobbied. Employers and interested | None | 3 | None | Deleted |

| Previous Risk No. | Revised Risk No. | Objective | Previous Risk Wording | Revised Risk Wording | Previous Mitigations | Revised Mitigations | Previous Risk Rating | Revised Rating | Comments |
|----------------------|---------------------|---|--|-------------------------|---|------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|
| | | | | | parties to be kept informed. Monitor potential impact for employers in conjunction with Actuary. | | | | |
| F12 | None | To manage employers' liabilities effectively, having due consideration of each employer's strength of covenant, by the adoption of employer specific funding objectives | Administering authority unaware of structural changes in an employer's membership, or not being advised of an employer closing to new entrants, meaning that the individual employer's contribution level becomes inappropriate requiring review and increase | None | Ensure that employers are reminded of their responsibilitie s, monitor and send reminders of employers responsibilitie s re this where appropriate, investigate the adoption of an administratio n strategy to clarify employer responsibilitie s. Employer analysis work and officer dialogue with employers concerned | None | 4 | None | Deleted – merged with F13 |

| Previous Risk No. | Revised Risk No. | Objective | Previous Risk Wording | Revised Risk Wording | Previous Mitigations | Revised Mitigations | Previous Risk Rating | Revised Rating | Comments |
|----------------------|---------------------|--|---|---|---|---|----------------------------|-------------------|---|
| | | | | | (including guarantors as appropriate) | | | | |
| F13 | F6 | To manage employers' liabilities effectively, having due consideration of each employer's strength of covenant, by the adoption, where necessary, of employer specific funding objectives | Not recognising opportunities from changing market, economic or other circumstances (e.g. de-risking or strengthening of covenant) | Failure to: - recognise a weakening (strengthening) in an employer's covenant; - lack of, or inaccurate, information about an employer; leads to an inappropriate funding approach in respect of that employer | At each triennial valuation pursue a policy of positive engagement with a view to strengthening employer covenants wherever possible. | EPF monitors and send reminders of employer's responsibiliti es. EPF carries out an analysis at each triennial actuarial valuation to assess covenant and affordability on a proportional basis. A risk analysis is conducted at each triennial valuation. Use of bonds and guarantees. Ongoing monitoring of contributions | 4 | 9 | Amended to include previous F12 and F14. |

| Previous Risk No. | Revised Risk No. | Objective | Previous Risk Wording | Revised Risk Wording | Previous Mitigations | Revised Mitigations | Previous Risk Rating | Revised Rating | Comments |
|----------------------|---------------------|---|--|-------------------------|---|---|----------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|
| | | | | | | to identify significant change and continuous dialogue with employers. | | | |
| F14 | None | To manage employers' liabilities effectively, having due consideration of each employer's strength of covenant, by the adoption of employer specific funding objectives | Adoption of either an inappropriately slow or rapid pace of funding in the specific circumstances for any particular employer | None | At each triennial actuarial valuation an analysis is carried out to assess covenant and affordability on a proportional basis. On- going dialogue with employers. | None | 4 | None | Deleted – merged with F13 |
| F15 | None | To manage employers' liabilities effectively, having due consideration of each employer's strength of covenant, by the adoption of employer specific funding objectives | Failure to ensure appropriate transfer is paid to protect the solvency of the Fund and equivalent rights are acquired for transferring members in accordance with the regulations. | None | Follow the standardised approach to bulk transfers of liabilities as part of admission policy framework, complying with any statutory requirements and protecting the | None | 2 | None | Deleted |

| Previous Risk No. | Revised Risk No. | Objective | Previous Risk Wording | Revised Risk Wording | Previous Mitigations | Revised Mitigations | Previous Risk Rating | Revised Rating | Comments |
|----------------------|---------------------|---|--|--|---|---|----------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|
| F16 | F7 | To ensure consistency | Over or under cautious | Funding strategy is | interests of the Fund's employers by measuring the solvency of the Fund and relevant employers before and after transfer. Measurement will look at | 1. The Asset Liability is | 4 | 6 | |
| | | between the investment strategy and funding strategy | determination of employer funding requirements due to the impact of the investment strategy on funding | inconsistent with Investment Strategy leading to adverse funding outcomes (over/under funding) | expected return projections vs actuarial assumptions in order to test the continued appropriatene ss and consistency between the funding and investment strategy. | undertaken on a triennial basis. 2. The Funding Strategy and Investment Strategy are reviewed and monitored on a regular The Funding Strategy is aligned with the Investment Strategy. | | | |
| F17 | F8 | Maintain liquidity in order to meet projected net cash-flow outgoings | Illiquidity of certain markets and asset classes and difficulty in realising | The Fund has insufficient cash to pay pensions as they fall due. | Holding liquid assets and maintain positive cash flows. Reviews | 1. EPF ensures sufficient investment income is available to | 2 | 3 | Amended to incorporate F18 |

| Previous Risk No. | Revised Risk No. | Objective | Previous Risk Wording | Revised Risk Wording | Previous Mitigations | Revised Mitigations | Previous Risk Rating | Revised Rating | Comments |
|----------------------|---------------------|---|--|-------------------------|--|---|----------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|
| | | | investments and paying benefits as they fall due | | performed to monitor cash flow requirements | supplement contribution income to meet benefit payments. 2. This is reported to the PSB. 3. A specific Scorecard measure is in place on this matter. To link to scorecard measure no. 4. Limit on illiquid assets and levels of diversificatio n from equities and bonds. 5. Projection of expected cash flows and daily monitoring of cash. | | | |
| F18 | None | Maintain liquidity in order to meet projected net cash-flow outgoings | Unanticipated onset of cash- flow negative position, potentially requiring ad hoc | None | Holding liquid assets and maintain positive cash flows. Reviews | None | 2 | None | Deleted – merged with F17 |

| Previous Risk No. | Revised Risk No. | Objective | Previous Risk Wording | Revised Risk Wording | Previous Mitigations | Revised Mitigations | Previous Risk Rating | Revised Rating | Comments |
|----------------------|---------------------|--|---|--|---|---|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|
| | | | repositioning of assets | | performed to monitor cash flow requirements. In Spring 2015 the ISC agreed to divert a portion of UK equity dividend income (L&G) & property rental income (AVIVA) to supplement contribution income in order to meet pension benefit expenditure. | | | | |
| F19 | F9 | To minimise unrecoverable debt on termination of employer participation | An employer ceasing to exist with insufficient funding, adequacy of bond or guarantee. In the absence of all of these, the shortfall will be attributed to the Fund as a whole with increases being required | An employer ceasing to exist with insufficient funding, adequacy of bond or guarantee leads to unrecoverable debt residual liability falls on remaining employers. | Assess the strength of individual employer's covenant and/or require a guarantee when setting terms of admission agreement (including bonds) and in | 1. New employers joining the Fund are required to meet the Funds expectations , covenant, security and guarantee as set out in the Funding Strategy. | 6 | 9 | Amended to incorporate F20. |

| Previous Risk No. | Revised Risk No. | Objective | Previous Risk Wording | Revised Risk Wording | Previous Mitigations | Revised Mitigations | Previous Risk Rating | Revised Rating | Comments |
|----------------------|---------------------|---|--|-------------------------|---|---|----------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|
| | | | in all other employers' contributions | | setting term of deficit recovery. Annual monitoring of risk profiles and officer dialogue with employers concerned (including guarantors as appropriate) through employer analysis. Positive dialogue with employers with a view to strengthening employer covenants wherever possible. Same mitigations for both risks F19 & F20 | 2. Existing employers are required to meet the Funding Strategy and Actuarial Valuation obligations. 3. Monitoring of bonds and ongoing monitoring of Employer covenant. | | | |
| F20 | None | Minimise unrecoverable debt on termination of employer participation | Failure to monitor leading to inappropriate funding strategy and unrecovered debt on | None | Assess the strength of individual employer's covenant in conjunction with the | None | 6 | None | Deleted – merged with F19 |

| Previous Risk No. | Revised Risk No. | Objective | Previous Risk Wording | Revised Risk Wording | Previous Mitigations | Revised Mitigations | Previous Risk Rating | Revised Rating | Comments |
|----------------------|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|----------|
| | | | cessation of | | Actuary | | J | | |
| | | | participation in | | and/or | | | | |
| | | | the fund | | require a | | | | |
| | | | | | guarantee | | | | |
| | | | | | when setting | | | | |
| | | | | | terms of | | | | |
| | | | | | admission | | | | |
| | | | | | agreement | | | | |
| | | | | | (including | | | | |
| | | | | | bonds) and in | | | | |
| | | | | | setting term | | | | |
| | | | | | of deficit | | | | |
| | | | | | recovery. | | | | |
| | | | | | Annual | | | | |
| | | | | | monitoring of | | | | |
| | | | | | risk profiles | | | | |
| | | | | | and officer | | | | |
| | | | | | dialogue with | | | | |
| | | | | | employers | | | | |
| | | | | | concerned | | | | |
| | | | | | (including | | | | |
| | | | | | guarantors as | | | | |
| | | | | | appropriate) | | | | |
| | | | | | through | | | | |
| | | | | | employer | | | | |
| | | | | | analysis. | | | | |
| | | | | | Positive | | | | |
| | | | | | dialogue with | | | | |
| | | | | | employers | | | | |
| | | | | | with a view to | | | | |
| | | | | | strengthening | | | | |
| | | | | | employer | | | | |
| | | | | | covenants | | | | |
| | | | | | wherever | | | | |
| | | | | | possible | | | | |
| -21 | None | Maintain liquidity | Maintain | None | Communicati | None | 6 | None | Deleted |

| Previous Risk No. | Revised Risk No. | Objective | Previous Risk Wording | Revised Risk Wording | Previous Mitigations | Revised Mitigations | Previous Risk Rating | Revised Rating | Comments |
|----------------------|---------------------|--|--|--|---|--|----------------------------|-------------------|----------|
| | | in order to meet projected net cash-flow outgoings | liquidity in order to meet projected net cash-flow outgoings | | ons with both Employers and Employees over the benefits of the LGPS, both before and after any structural change. In July 2011, following discussion on liquidity and fund maturity, the ISC set a 27% limit on exposure to alternative assets. | | | | |
| None | F10 | To prudently set levels of employer contributions that aim to achieve a fully funded ongoing position in the timescales determined in the Funding Strategy Statement | None | The adoption of inappropriate assumptions causes the Fund to be listed in the GAD s13 report in a way that causes reputational damage. | None | 1. In consultation with the Actuary, EPF determine an appropriate funding strategy that meets s13 requirements | None | 6 | New |