

Appendix B - GBF Programme Risks (High Risks only)

Risk	Description	Risk Impact	Risk Probability	Overall Risk	Mitigation
Affordability of GBF projects	There have been widely reported increases in materials costs as a result of COVID-19 and Brexit. These increases alongside rising inflation have resulted in significant cost increases across the programme. This may impact on the deliverability of the projects as set out in the Business Cases.	5	5	25	<p>Whilst the majority of the GBF funding returned to SELEP as a result of project cancellations has been awarded to support delivery of existing projects, the risk of project cost increases sits with the local authority partners and as such, SELEP encourages all partner authorities to review the financial position of all GBF projects.</p> <p>Early engagement with contractors and the supply chain is advised.</p>
Inability to complete GBF spend by 31 March 2023 in accordance with Government expectations	At the outset of the GBF programme, Government expectations were that the GBF funding would be spent in full by 31 March 2022. Due to challenges faced by a number of the projects, this wasn't achievable and Government revised their expectations to require full GBF spend by 31 March 2023. Inability to meet this expectation represents a reputational risk for both SELEP and the responsible Upper Tier Local Authorities.	5	5	25	<p>As a result of the late cancellation of the Swan Modular Housing Factory project, this risk has materialised and Government have been advised that this expectation will no longer be met.</p> <p>Steps have been taken to maximise the level of GBF spend achieved by 31 March 2023 - with this forming a key part of the criteria applied to the creation of the recent GBF project pipeline. Ongoing GBF projects have continued to be closely monitored and all but 9 ongoing GBF projects achieved full GBF spend by 31 March 2023.</p>
Operational budgets	Given the current financial climate, there may be financial challenges to the future operation of GBF projects by the private sector, including Higher Education Institutions and Further Education providers. As well as impacting the delivery stage of the projects, this is also likely to impact the operation of the projects once delivered and impact the scale/pace of benefits realisation through the project.	4	4	16	<p>As part of the business case assessment, scheme promoters are required to provide information about the commercial operation of the project post delivery.</p> <p>Any changes to the feasibility of projects to proceed will be monitored and reported to the Board.</p>

Appendix B - GBF Programme Risks (High Risks only)

Risk	Description	Risk Impact	Risk Probability	Overall Risk	Mitigation
Extended delivery programmes	<p>As a result of the COVID-19 pandemic, there are longer than expected lead-in times for certain materials which could adversely impact on delivery programmes.</p> <p>In addition, extended response times from utility providers and other statutory organisations have been reported which are impacting on project delivery.</p> <p>Labour supply issues have also been reported due to the number of projects which were delayed due to the COVID-19 pandemic.</p>	4	5	20	<p>Early engagement with contractors and the supply chain is advised to ensure that materials are ordered as early as possible in the programme to minimise delay in delivery.</p> <p>Utility providers and other statutory organisations should be engaged at the outset of the project and time built into the programme for this engagement to minimise delays to delivery.</p>
Failure of third-party organisations to deliver GBF projects	Local authorities are entering into contract with third party organisations, such as district authorities, private sector companies, further education and higher education providers to deliver GBF projects. If the external organisations experience financial difficulty and are unable to deliver GBF projects, it may not be possible to recover the GBF from these organisations should they enter administration. This would result in local authorities being responsible for repaying abortive costs to SELEP.	5	3	15	SELEP encourages local authorities to complete additional financial checks prior to entering into contract or transferring GBF to third party organisations and to ensure clear processes are in place for the oversight of GBF projects delivered by third party organisations.
Delivery of GBF project benefits	The economic impact of COVID-19 is likely to reduce the benefits achieved through GBF investment, or at least slow the pace of benefit realisation. This could reduce the value for money achieved through the delivery of the GBF programme.	3	5	15	Any changes to benefits achieved through GBF investment will be monitored and reported to the Board and decisions will need to be made as to whether projects still offer high value for money. Any changes will also need to be agreed with Central Government.
Resource to deliver GBF projects	There is a risk to the availability of resource to deliver GBF projects, as a result of remote working, sickness and as a result of resources being redeployed to support critical services within local authorities. This is likely to result in project delays but also creates a risk to the oversight of projects.	4	2	8	<p>As part of the business case, SELEP ask scheme promoters to confirm they have the resources available to deliver the project. SELEP Ltd have also made this a requirement within the SLA and so risks to delivery of the projects would be monitored and reported to the Board.</p> <p>Projects are also still allowed to continue project delivery past the June 2023 deadline as long as the GBF allocation to the project has been spent.</p>
Supply Chain Risk	Private sector companies within the supply chain may be vulnerable to the current economic situation, particularly as the furlough scheme ends. If companies go into financial difficulty or liquidation, this will impact project delivery timescales and costs.	4	3	12	SELEP encourages local authorities to complete additional financial checks for contractors and sub-contractors prior to entering into any new contracts and reviewing the financial position as part of the contract management for existing contracts.