

ANNEX B

Category	Objective	Risk Ref:	Description of Risk of not Achieving the Objective	Residual Impact	Residual Probability	Residual Risk	Previous Risk Score	Risk Owner	Comments, Actions and Recommendations
Governance	Ensure the Pension Fund is managed and its services delivered by people who have the appropriate knowledge and expertise	G7	Failure of succession planning for key roles on PFB	3	2	6	6	Ian Myers	The Board's approach to training, where members are working toward compliance with the CIPFA Knowledge & Skills Framework, should help minimise any adverse impacts of failure in succession planning because there should be a greater number of candidates for any position with appropriate knowledge and skills in depth.
Governance	Evolve and look for new opportunities that may be beneficial for our stakeholders, ensuring efficiency at all times	G12	Insufficient staff causes failure to free up time to look for other best practice areas then opportunities may be missed	2	3	6	6	Kevin McDonald /Jody Evans	A review of the staffing structure is underway.
Investments	To maximise the returns from investments within reasonable risk parameters	I1	If investment return is below that assumed by the Actuary in funding the plan this could lead to an increasing deficit and additional contribution requirements. The larger the level of mismatch between assets and liabilities the bigger this risk.	3	3	9	9	Kevin McDonald	Diversified portfolio; Annual Strategy Review; Asset Liability Study, extended recovery periods to smooth contribution increases.
Investments	To ensure the Fund is properly managed	I16	The implementation of MiFiD II (January 2018) leads to the Fund being categorised by some / all of its service providers as a 'retail client' - the result of which could reduce the range of sub asset classes in which the Fund is able to invest, and may even require divestment from the current portfolio.	4	2	8	8	Kevin McDonald	1. Representations at national level aimed towards LGPS retaining professional client status. 2. Fund Officers working with Fund Managers & Investment Advisers aimed towards the Essex Fund retaining professional client status. Developments continue to be monitored.
Funding	Within reasonable risk parameters, to achieve and then maintain assets equal to 100% of liabilities in the timescales determined by the Funding Strategy	F2	Markets move at variance with actuarial assumptions resulting in increases in deficits, reduced solvency levels and increased employer contributions	3	3	9	9	Kevin McDonald	Annual interim reviews to enable consideration of the position and the continued appropriateness of the funding/investment strategies and to monitor the exposure to unrewarded risks.

ANNEX B

Category	Objective	Risk Ref:	Description of Risk of not Achieving the Objective	Residual Impact	Residual Probability	Residual Risk	Previous Risk Score	Risk Owner	Comments, Actions and Recommendations
Funding	To recognise when drawing up its funding strategy the desirability of employer contribution rates that are as stable as possible	F7	Mismatch in asset returns and liability movements result in increased employer contributions	3	2	6	6	Kevin McDonald	Diversified investment structure and frequent monitoring against targets to adjust funding plans accordingly through the FSS. Employers are kept informed as appropriate.
Funding	Minimise unrecoverable debt on termination of employer participation	F19	An employer ceasing to exist with insufficient funding, adequacy of bond or guarantee. In the absence of all of these, the shortfall will be attributed to the Fund as a whole with increases being required in all other employers' contributions	3	2	6	6	Kevin McDonald	Assess the strength of individual employer's covenant and/or require a guarantee when setting terms of admission agreement (including bonds) and in setting term of deficit recovery. Annual monitoring of risk profiles and officer dialogue with employers concerned (including guarantors as appropriate) through employer analysis. Positive dialogue with employers with a view to strengthening employer covenants wherever possible. Same mitigations for both risks F19 & F20
Funding	Minimise unrecoverable debt on termination of employer participation	F20	Failure to monitor leading to inappropriate funding strategy and unrecovered debt on cessation of participation in the fund	3	2	6	6	Kevin McDonald	
Funding	Maintain liquidity in order to meet projected net cash-flow outgoings	F21	Employee participation in the Essex LGPS reduces (possibly in response to changes in contribution rate / benefit structure or changes in patterns of service delivery)	3	2	6	6	Kevin McDonald / Jody Evans	Communications with both Employers and Employees over the benefits of the LGPS, both before and after any structural change. In July 2011, following discussion on liquidity and fund maturity, the ISC set a 27% limit on exposure to alternative assets.
Administration	Deliver a high quality, friendly and informative service to all beneficiaries, potential beneficiaries and employers at the point of need	A1	Failure to administer scheme in line with Regulations and policies (owing to IT system issues)	3	3	9	9	Kevin McDonald / Jody Evans	Phase one of the new UPM Civica system was implemented in January 2015 and monitoring continues. Phase two is anticipated later in 2016. A General Ledger package migration is scheduled for later in 2016. A Pension Fund project team has been established to liaise with colleagues working on ECC's TCS project. Testing & training continues.

ANNEX B

Category	Objective	Risk Ref:	Description of Risk of not Achieving the Objective	Residual Impact	Residual Probability	Residual Risk	Previous Risk Score	Risk Owner	Comments, Actions and Recommendations
Administration	Deliver a high quality, friendly and informative service to all beneficiaries, potential beneficiaries and employers at the point of need	A6	Fund's resources not able to match the demands of providing the service.	3	3	9	9	Kevin McDonald / Jody Evans	A review of the staffing structure is underway.
Administration	Deliver a high quality, friendly and informative service to all beneficiaries, potential beneficiaries and employers at the point of need	A17	Failure to administer scheme in line with Regulations and policies - Brewster test case in Northern Ireland pave way for retrospective action re: surviving co-habiting partners with no nomination for surviving partners pension.	3	2	6	6	Jody Evans	In the event of revised LGPS Regulations on nomination arrangements for surviving co-habiting partners' pensions, a case by case review will be conducted.
Administration	Deliver a high quality, friendly and informative service to all beneficiaries, potential beneficiaries and employers at the point of need	A18	Unable to meet Actuarial Valuation deadlines or produce Annual Benefit Statements for active Scheme Members in line with Regulatory deadlines due to lack or late provision of data from employers	4	2	8	8	Jody Evans	For 2015/16 exercise communicate timetable and requirements to employers in advance, and encourage employers to engage with Fund Officers.
Communication	Communicate in a friendly, expert and direct way to our stakeholders, treating all our stakeholders equally	C1	Increase in enquiries from Scheme Member resulting in increased workload for Fund officers	2	3	6	6	Kevin McDonald /Jody Evans	Whilst the volume of phone enquiries stemming from the April 2015 introduction of Freedoms & Flexibilities (for DC schemes) has now reduced, a number of detailed discussions on individual cases remain and represent a significant workload.