

Report title: Health and Social Care Scorecard Quarter 1	
Report to: Health & Wellbeing Board	
Report author: Peter Fairley, Director, Strategy, Policy & Integration	
Date: 20 November 2019	For: Discussion
Enquiries to: Emma Richardson emma.richardson@essex.gov.uk Sean Maguire sean.maguire@essex.gov.uk	
County Divisions affected: All Essex	

1. Purpose of Report

- 1.1 To present the Q1 Health & Social Care Scorecard which informs the Health & Wellbeing Board of the long-term trends against key metrics relating to the Joint Health & Wellbeing Strategy and progress on integration.

2. Recommendations

- 2.1 Note and discuss the performance scorecard.

3. Summary of issue

- 3.1 The purpose of the Scorecard is to enable the Health & Wellbeing Board to track performance in relation to the Essex Health & Wellbeing Strategy and scrutinise progress against the Board's strategic priorities. The Scorecard will be produced quarterly and track progress for the year to date in each locality against a range of measures. The measures included relate to the four priorities of the Essex Health & Wellbeing Strategy; the four Better Care Fund metrics and three SCIE Integration measures.
A new measure has been added around dementia (measure 1.8).
- 3.2 We will continue to review and develop the Scorecard to ensure that it is as useful as possible to the Board. There is further work to be done to identify measures and data sets in the integration space but we will take this version of the Scorecard through the rest of year while we continue to develop this area.
- 3.3 Commentary has been requested from CCGs, to provide local context to the metrics. Commentary from Mid Essex CCG was provided, and has been included in the report

4. Options

- 4.1 **DO NOTHING.** This would miss the opportunity for the Board to consider what the Scorecard measures may tell them about emerging issues and progress against the Board's priority areas.
- 4.2 **REVIEW AND DISCUSS PERFORMANCE AGAINST THE SCORECARD MEASURES.** The Health & Wellbeing Strategy identifies four priorities on which the Board wishes to see progress. The purpose of the Scorecard is to provide the Board with information about what is happening against each of these areas. This should enable the Board to identify positive progress but also areas where more work may be required.

The Scorecard includes the official Better Care Fund metrics and the SCIE integration measures to enable the Board to exercise oversight on performance in these areas.

The format of the Scorecard will remain open to further development but in the meantime provides a basis for Board discussions about performance against strategic priorities.

5. Issues for consideration

5.1 Financial implications

There are no financial implications.

5.2 Legal implications

The Government attaches several requirements to the Better Care Fund, including an obligation to report certain metrics on a quarterly basis. The four Better Care Fund metrics are therefore not negotiable and represent the measures against which the Government holds Health & Wellbeing Board areas to account for BCF purposes.

6. Equality and Diversity implications

- 6.1 The Public Sector Equality Duty applies to the Council when it makes decisions. The duty requires us to have regard to the need to:
- (a) Eliminate unlawful discrimination, harassment and victimisation and other behaviour prohibited by the Act. In summary, the Act makes discrimination etc. on the grounds of a protected characteristic unlawful
 - (b) Advance equality of opportunity between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not.

- (c) Foster good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not including tackling prejudice and promoting understanding.

6.2 The protected characteristics are age, disability, gender reassignment, pregnancy and maternity, marriage and civil partnership, race, religion or belief, gender, and sexual orientation. The Act states that 'marriage and civil partnership' is not a relevant protected characteristic for (b) or (c) although it is relevant for (a).

6.3 The equality impact assessment indicates that the proposals in this report will not have a disproportionately adverse impact on any people with a particular characteristic. *(Describe the specific equality and diversity implications of the proposal, any adverse findings from the equality impact assessment and your proposed mitigation measures)*

7. List of appendices

HWB Scorecard 2019/19

- Basildon & Brentwood CCG
- Castle Point & Rochford CCG
- Mid Essex CCG
- North East Essex CCG
- West Essex CCG