Category	Objective	Risk Ref:	Description of Risk of not Achieving the Objective	 Residual Impact	Residual Probability	Residual Risk	Previous Risk Score	Risk Owner	Comments, A
Governance	Ensure the Pension Fund is managed and its services delivered by people who have the appropriate knowledge and expertise	G7	Failure of succession planning for key roles on PSB	3	2	6	6	Amanda Crawford	The Board's a and is aimed a
Governance	Evolve and look for new opportunities that may be beneficial for our stakeholders, ensuring efficiency at all times	G12	Insufficient staff causes failure to free up time to look for other best practice areas then opportunities may be missed	2	3	6	6	Kevin McDonald /Jody Evans	The interviews
Investments	To maximise the returns from investments within reasonable risk parameters	11	If investment return is below that assumed by the Actuary in funding the plan this could lead to an increasing deficit and additional contribution requirements. The larger the level of mismatch between assets and liabilities the bigger this risk.	3	3	9	9	Kevin McDonald	Diversified po to smooth cor
Investments	To ensure the Fund is properly managed	116	The implementation of MiFiD II (January 2018) leads to the Fund being categorised by some / all of its service providers as a 'retail client' - the result of which could reduce the range of sub asset classes in which the Fund is able to invest, and may even require divestment from the current portfolio.	3	2	6	6	Kevin McDonald	The Fund has Elective Profe course for ne
Funding	Within reasonable risk parameters, to achieve and then maintain assets equal to 100% of liabilities in the timescales determined by the Funding Strategy	F2	Markets move at variance with actuarial assumptions resulting in increases in deficits, reduced solvency levels and increased employer contributions	3	3	9	9	Kevin McDonald	Annual review the funding/in
Funding	To recognise when drawing up its funding strategy the desirability of employer contribution rates that are as stable as possible	F7	Mismatch in asset returns and liability movements result in increased employer contributions	3	2	6	6	Kevin McDonald	Diversified inv plans accordi
Funding	Minimise unrecoverable debt on termination of employer participation	F19	An employer ceasing to exist with insufficient funding, adequacy of bond or guarantee. In the absence of all of these, the shortfall will be attributed to the Fund as a whole with increases being required in all other employers' contributions	3	2	6	6	Kevin McDonald	Assess the st setting terms Annual monitor guarantors as a view to stre risks F19 & F1

## , Actions and Recommendations

's approach to training is based around the CIPFA Knowledge & Skills Framework ed at minimising any adverse impacts of failure in succession planning.

ews for the posts in the re-structure have been completed. The new structure will unch during September 2018.

portfolio; Annual Strategy Review; Asset Liability Study, extended recovery periods contribution increases.

has now completed and received confirmation of the relevant MiFID II "opt ups" to ofessional status for all asset mandates. Further opt ups will be required in due new mandates and pooling sub funds.

iews to enable consideration of the position and the continued appropriateness of /investment strategies and to monitor the exposure to unrewarded risks.

investment structure and frequent monitoring against targets to adjust funding rdingly through the FSS. Employers are kept informed as appropriate.

e strength of individual employer's covenant and/or require a guarantee when ns of admission agreement (including bonds) and in setting term of deficit recovery. onitoring of risk profiles and officer dialogue with employers concerned (including as appropriate) through employer analysis. Positive dialogue with employers with trengthening employer covenants wherever possible. Same mitigations for both & F20

Category	Objective	Risk Ref:	Description of Risk of not Achieving the Objective	Residual Impact	Residual Probability	Residual Risk	Previous Risk Score	Risk Owner	Comments, A
Funding	Minimise unrecoverable debt on termination of employer participation	F20	Failure to monitor leading to inappropriate funding strategy and unrecovered debt on cessation of participation in the fund	3	2	6	6	Kevin McDonald	Assess the stu setting terms of Annual monito guarantors as a view to streer risks F19 & F2
Funding	Maintain liquidity in order to meet projected net cash-flow outgoings	F21	Employee participation in the Essex LGPS reduces (possibly in response to changes in contribution rate / benefit structure or changes in patterns of service delivery)	3	2	6	6	Kevin McDonald / Jody Evans	Communication before and aft
Administration	Deliver a high quality, friendly and informative service to all beneficiaries, potential beneficiaries and employers at the point of need	A1	Failure to administer scheme in line with Regulations and policies (owing to IT system issues)	3	2	6	6	Kevin McDonald / Jody Evans	The Fund is c theUPM syste
Administration	Deliver a high quality, friendly and informative service to all beneficiaries, potential beneficiaries and employers at the point of need	A6	Fund's resources not able to match the demands of providing the service.	3	3	9	9	Kevin McDonald / Jody Evans	The interviews officially launc
Administration	Deliver a high quality, friendly and informative service to all beneficiaries, potential beneficiaries and employers at the point of need	A17	Failure to administer scheme in line with Regulations and policies - Brewster test case in Northern Ireland re: surviving co-habiting partners with no nomination for surviving partners pension. In Essex, a parrallel case - the first in English Law - was brought by Ms Elmes against Essex CC in its capacity as administering authority for the Essex Pension Fund.	3	2	6	6	Jody Evans	In January 20 following a co ordered that tl Regulations 2 Rights and mi
Administration	Deliver a high quality, friendly and informative service to all beneficiaries, potential beneficiaries and employers at the point of need	A18	Unable to meet Actuarial Valuation deadlines or produce Annual Benefit Statements for active Scheme Members in line with Regulatory deadlines due to lack or late provision of data from employers	4	2	8	8	Jody Evans	2017/18 exerc and spreadsh Officers.
Communications	Communicate in a friendly, expert and direct way to our stakeholders, treating all our stakeholders equally	C1	Increase in enquiries from Scheme Member resulting in increased workload for Fund officers	2	3	6	6	Kevin McDonald /Jody Evans	Whilst the vol schemes) has represent a si



## , Actions and Recommendations

e strength of individual employer's covenant and/or require a guarantee when ns of admission agreement (including bonds) and in setting term of deficit recovery. nitoring of risk profiles and officer dialogue with employers concerned (including as appropriate) through employer analysis. Positive dialogue with employers with trengthening employer covenants wherever possible. Same mitigations for both & F20

ations with both Employers and Employees over the benefits of the LGPS, both after any structural change.

s currently implementing both "Member online" & "Employer online" modules of stem.

ews for the posts in the re-structure have been completed. The new structure will unch during September 2018.

2018, in a ruling establishing precedent across the LGPS in England & Wales, and common submission from both claiment & defence counsels, Mr Justice Walker at the requirements for a nomination under Regulation 24 & 25 of the LGPS s 2007 were incompatble with article 1 of the European Convention of Humans must therefore be disapplied.

tercise will commence with communications regarding a timetable, requirements Isheet to employers along with encouragement to employers to engage with Fund

volume of phone enquiries stemming from Freedoms & Flexibilities (for DC nas now reduced, a number of detailed discussions on individual cases remain and a significant workload.