

1.1 - Provide a high quality service whilst maintaining value

Measure Purpose: To provide a high quality service whilst maintaining value for money

Scope: Cost, scheme member satisfaction and scheme member complaints and compliments

Measure Owner: Jody Evans Data lead: David Tucker and Kelly Armstrong

Status	Value	Units	Previous status	Current status	Target	Annual target	Polarity	Frequency
1.1.1 Cost per scheme member	1st	quartile	G	G	2nd/3rd quartile	2nd/3rd quartile	Low	Annual (Dec)
1.1.2 Number of scheme member complaints	2		G	G	5 or under	20 or under	Low	Quarterly
1.1.3 Number of scheme member compliments	19		G	G	15 or more	60 or more	High	Quarterly
1.1.4 Scheme member survey - % of positive answers	99.8%	%	G	G	95%	95%	High	Annual (Dec)
1.1.5 Employer survey - % of positive answers	97.2%	%	G	G	95%	95%	High	Annual (Dec)

- **1.1.1.** Cost per member was £15.71 in 2016/17 compared to the CIPFA Benchmarking average of £20.14. Benchmarking for 2017/18 has commenced as of August 2018. This update will be provided in December 2018.
- 1.1.2. The number of complaints received in the 3 months to 30 June 2018 was 2.
- 1.1.3. The number of compliments received in the 3 months to 30 June 2018 was 19.
- **1.1.4.** 500 scheme members (employees) were invited to participate in a seven question survey conducted in April 2017. 122 members returned completed survey's resulting in a total of 1,586 answers, of which 11 were negative responses. The remaining 1575 (99.3%) were positive. The previous survey has a 97.8% positive responses. Benchmarking for 2017/18 has commenced as of August 2018. This update will be provided in December 2018.
- **1.1.5**. 496 employers (378 employers in 2015) were invited to participate in a 12 question (10 questions in 2015) survey conducted in June 2017. Of 154 responses 4 were negative which resulted in a 97.2% positive response rate. The previous survey has a 95.2% positive response. . Benchmarking for 2017/18 has commenced as of August 2018. This update will be provided in December 2018.

1.2 - Ensure the Pension Fund is managed and its services delivered by people who have the appropriate knowledge and expertise

Measure Purpose: To ensure the Pension Fund is managed and its services delivered by people who have the appropriate knowledge and expertise.

expertise

Scope: Training needs analysis, attendance of training. Progress against training plans and My Performance objectives.

Measure Owner: Kevin McDonald Data lead: Amanda Crawford

Status	Value	Units	Previous Status	Current Status	Target	Annual target	Polarity	Frequency
1.2.1 Members training	Target exceeded		G	G	90%	90%	High	Quarterly
1.2.2 Board Member attendance at Board meetings	0%	%	G	G	0%	80%	High	Quarterly
1.2.3 Officer training plans and Supporting Success objectives in place	100%	%	G	G	100%	100%	High	Ongoing

- 1.2.1 In the measurement period Board Members' training credits exceeded the 90% target.
- 1.2.2 There were no PSB meetings during Qtr 1.
- **1.2.3**. Yearly plans are in place for all staff working on the Essex Pension Fund whilst a replacement for supporting success is being roled out by ECC.

1.3 - Evolve and look for new opportunities, ensuring efficiency at all times

Measure Purpose: To evolve and look for new opportunities, ensuring efficiency at all times

Scope: Actions listed in Business Plan

Measure Owner: Kevin McDonald & Jody Evans Data lead: Kevin McDonald & Jody Evans

Status	Value	Previous status	Current status	Target	Annual target	Polarity	Frequency
1.3.1 Fund Business Plan quarterly review - actions on track	20% Complete 65% in progress	А	А	30% Complete, 50% in progress	100% complete	High	Quarterly

Rationale for performance status and trend

1.3.1 Against a total of 20 actions or projects for the year:

4 (20%) has been completed;

13 (65%) are in progress of which 6 (25%) are subject to items elsewhere on this agenda 3 (15%) are scheduled to commence later in the year.

The business plan is detailed in Annex A (i) of this report.

1.4 - Act with integrity and be accountable to our stakeholders

Measure Purpose: To act with integrity and be accountable to our stakeholders for our decisions, ensuring they are robust and well based

Scope: Formal complaints against Board Members relating to their role as member of the PSB or ISC, with reference to Essex County Council's Code of Conduct. Formal complaints are those made to Standards Committee. The same complaint may be referred onto the Local Government Ombudsman or a third party may seek judicial review. Measure also includes annual review of key decisions and accountability and contract management measures currently in development

Measure Owner: Kevin McDonald Data lead: Amanda Crawford

Status	Value	Units	Previous status	Current status	Target	Polarity	Frequency
1.4.1 Number of complaints made	0		G	G	0	Low	On-going
1.4.2 Number of complaints upheld	0		G	G	0	Low	On-going
1.4.3 The Pension Strategy Board has provision for representatives of employers and scheme members. Appointees are currently in place.	Yes		G	G	Yes	High	Quarterly
1.4.4 The Pension Advisory Board has provision for representatives of both employers and scheme members. Appointees are currently in place.	Yes		G	G	Yes	High	Quarterly

Rationale for performance status and trend

- **1.4.1** Reflects performance over the last 12 months.
- **1.4.2** Reflects performance over the last 12 months.
- **1.4.3** There are currently no vacancies on the Pension Advisory Board. Yes = green; No = red.
- **1.4.4** There are currently no vacancies on the Pension Advisory Board.

Yes = green; No = red.

1.5 - Understand and monitor risk and compliance

Measure Purpose: Understand and monitor risk and compliance

Scope: On-going reporting and discussion of key risks to the Fund. Output from internal audit reviews.

Measure Owner: Kevin McDonald & Jody Evans Data lead: Amanda Crawford

Status	Value	Units	Previous status	Current status	Target	Annual target	Polarity	Frequency
1.5.1 Number of internal audit reviews finding limited/no assurance	0		G	G	0	0	Low	Annual
1.5.2 Number of internal audit recommendations outstanding	0		G	G	0	N/A	Low	On-going
1.5.3 Percentage of risks on the risk register with a residual score that is classified as amber	17	%	G	G	<20%	<20%	High	Quarterly
1.5.4 Percentage of risks on the risk register with a residual score that is classified as red	0	%	G	G	0%	0%	High	Quarterly
1.5.5 Number of matters raised by external auditors relating to the Essex Pension Fund	0		G	G	0	N/A	Low	Annually (Sep)

- **1.5.1** This includes the 2016/2017 internal audit reports. The 2017/18 internal audit reports will be reported to the Board's September meeting.
- **1.5.2** The 2016/17 internal audit reports for Pensions Investment and Pensions Administration has no outstanding recommendations during this quarter.
- **1.5.3** The Fund currently has 83 risks in its register, of which 14 have a residual score that is classified as amber (14 in June 18). Full details are at Annex B to this report. Measurement: below 20% = green; between 20%-25% = amber; above 25% = red
- **1.5.4** The Fund currently has 83 risks in its register, none of which has a proposed residual score that is classified as red. (0 in June 18). Measurement: 0% = green; above 0% = red
- **1.5.5** There are no significant recommendations for Members to note in the 2016/17 Annual Results Report from EY. The 2017/18 external audit reports will be reported to the Board's September meeting.

2.1 - Maximise returns from investments within reasonable risk parameters

Data as at: 31 March 2018

Measure Purpose: To maximise the returns from investments within reasonable risk parameters **Scope:** All investments made by Pensions Fund: asset returns, liquidity and volatility risk

Measure Owner: Kevin McDonald Data lead: Samantha Andrews

Status	Value	Units	Previous Status	Current Status	Target	Annı targ		Polarity
2.1.1 Annual return compared to Peer Group	TBC	ranking	Gy	Gy	1st	1st		High
2.1.2 Annual Return compared to Benchmark	7.6	%	G	G	5.5%	5.59	%	High
2.1.3 Five year (annualised) return compared to Benchmark	10.7	%	G	G	8.9%	8.99	6	High
2.1.4 Five year (annualised) return compared to central expected return of current investment strategy	10.7	%	G	G	6.4%	6.49	%	High
2.1.5 Five year (annualised) return compared to central expected return of current investment strategy including manager outperformance	10.7	%	G	G	7.2%	7.29	%	High

- 2.1.1. 2017/18 peer group data is not yet available.
- 2.1.2 The annual return of 7.6% was above the benchmark of 5.5%.
- 2.1.3 The five year return of 10.7% was above the benchmark of 8.9%.
- 2.1.4 The five year return of 10.7% was above the central expected return of the current investment strategy.
- 2.1.5 The five year return of 10.7% was above the expected return of the current investment strategy including investment manager outperformance.

2.2 - Ensure the Fund is properly managed

Measure Purpose: To ensure that the Fund is properly managed **Scope:** Attendance at ISC and ISC member skills and knowledge

Measure Owner: Kevin McDonald Data lead: Amanda Crawford

Status	Value	Units	Previous status	Current status	Target	Annual target	Polarity	Frequency
2.2.1 ISC Member attendance at ISC meetings	58	%	А	А	80%	80%	High	Quarterly
2.2.2 ISC Members training	Target exceeded		G	G	90%	90%	High	Quarterly

Rationale for performance status and trend

2.2.1 .	. This represents	s attendance at ISC	C meetings between	1 April 2018 and 3	30 June 2018. I	t includes A	Appointment Sub	Committees an	ıd nev
memb	er induction sess	sions.							

2.2.2 In the measurement period, ISC Members' training credits exceeded the 90% target.

2.3 - Ensure investment issues are communicated appropriately to the Fund's stakeholders

Measure Purpose: To ensure all significant Fund investment issues are communicated properly to all interested parties **Scope:** Publication of meeting minutes and agendas, communication governance arrangements agreed by Board and ISC

Measure Owner: Kevin McDonald Data lead: Amanda Crawford & Samantha Andrews

Status	Value	Units	Previous status	Current status	Target	Annual target	Frequency
2.3.1 % of ISC agendas sent out 5 working days before meetings	100	%	G	G	100%	High	Quarterly
2.3.2 % of ISC committee items sent out 5 working days before meetings	100	%	G	G	100%	High	Quarterly
2.3.3 % of draft ISC minutes sent out 7 working days after meetings	100	%	G	G	100%	High	Quarterly
2.3.4 % of draft ISC minutes uploaded to internet 12 working days after meetings	100	%	G	G	100%	High	Quarterly
2.3.5 Number of communication and governance arrangements for the ISC not in place	0		G	G	0	High	On-going

Rationale for performance status and trend

Measures 2.3.1 - 2.3.2 cover the quarter ending 30 June 2018, during which all arrangements in respect of the ISC met the target.

- **2.3.5** Measure will flag as red if one of the following communications arrangements is not in place:
- ISC Terms of Reference in place and noted at the beginning of the municipal year;
- ISS to be reviewed and published annually however this is currently pending for the end of this FY. This is due to the changes that Pooling will require;
- Annual Report & Accounts published by 30 November;
- One independent adviser and one institutional investment consultant attended or were available to attend the last ISC meeting;
- Briefing report provided to PSB on the matters dealt with at the preceding ISC meeting;
- Complete management information including asset values and returns made available for consideration at last ISC meeting.

All arrangements in place.

3.1 - Achieve and then maintain assets equal to 100% of liabilities within reasonable risk parameters and Funding Strategy

Measure Purposes: To achieve and then maintain assets equal to 100% of liabilities within reasonable risk parameters.

Scope: Sources of funding: employer contributions and investments

Measure Owner: Kevin McDonald Data leads: Sara Maxey

Status	Value	Units	Previous status	Current status	Target	Annual target	Polarity	Frequency
3.1.1 Probability of hitting funding target	75	%	G	G	50%	50%	High	Three yearly

Rationale for performance status and trend

3.1.1 . Following the Actuarial Valuation, an asset liability study was undertaken by the Fund's Institutional Investment Consultants, Hymans Robertson. This was considered by the Investment Steering Committee at its meeting on 12 October 2017.

Based on the assumptions and methodology in the investment consultant's long term stochastic projection model, they have reported that the probability of being fully funded in 25 years time as 75%

This will be updated after the 2019 Valuation.

3.2 - To recognise in drawing up its Funding Strategy the desirability of employer contributions that are as stable as possible

Measure Purposes: To recognise the desirability of employer contributions that are as stable as possible

Scope: Fund Employers

Measure Owner: Kevin McDonald Data lead: Sara Maxey

Status	Value Units	Previous status	Current status	Target	Annual target	Polarity	Frequency
3.2.1 Stability mechanisms are included within the current Funding Strategy	Yes	G	G	Yes	Yes	High	3 yearly
3.2.2 Each of the 17 major precept raising bodies are were offered contributions which increased by no more than 1% per year or 3% per valuation.	Yes	G	G	Yes	Yes	High	3 yearly

Rationale for performance status and trend

3.2.1 The Funding Strategy Statement is reviewed at least every three years as part of the Valuation process to include suitable stability mechanisms.

3.2.2 During consultation on the 2017 Funding Strategy, each of the 17 major precepting bodies were consulted and agreed options for payment of employer contributions. Rates and adjustment certificates have been issued. The 17 major precepting bodies are listed below:

Essex County Council
Basildon District Council
Braintree District Council
Brentwood Borough Council
Castle Point District Council
Chelmsford City Council
Colchester Borough Council
Epping Forest District Council
Harlow District Council
Maldon District Council
Rochford District Council
Southend-on-Sea Borough Council
Tendring District Council
Thurrock Borough Council
Uttlesford District Council
Essex Police Authority

The 2016 Valuation is now complete. The next update will follow the 2019 Valuation.

3.3 - Consistency between the Investment and Funding strategies

Measure Purpose: To have consistency between the investment strategy and funding strategy

Scope: Long term investment return assumed by funding strategy and average expected return on investment portfolio

Measure Owner: Kevin McDonald Data leads: Samantha Andrews & Sara Maxey

Status	Value	Units	Previous status	Current status	Target	Annual target	Polarity	Frequency
3.3.1 Expected return of investment strategy	6.4	%	G	G	5.8%	5.8%	High	3 yearly
3.3.2 Investment strategy reviewed after Asset Liability Study	Yes		G	G	Yes	Yes	Yes	3 yearly

Rationale for performance status and trend

3.3.1 Long term return assumed by Funding Strategy

For the 2016 Valuation the Fund Actuary's assumption for investment return was 5.1%

As part of the 2017 Asset Liability Studt, Investment Consultants Hymans Robertson conducted a review of the Fund's investment structure using their Asset Model (HRAM), the stochastic scenario generator developed by Hymans Robertson LLP, calibrated using market data as at 30 September 2017. The result was an expectation of a 6.4% p.a. return which rose to 7.8% with the inclusion of investment managers outperformance.

3.3.2 Investment Strategy reviewed

This measure highlights that the ISC on 12 October 2017 reviewed the Investment Strategy and its consistency with the Funding Strategy as part of its consideration of the Asset Liability Study, conducted by Hymans Robertson after the 2016 Actuarial Valuation.

This will be updated after the 2019 Valuation.

3.4 - Manage employers' liabilities effectively

Measure Purpose: To manage employers' liabilities effectively by the adoption of employer specific funding objectives

participation

Scope: All employers contributing to the scheme

Measure Owner: Kevin McDonald Data leads: Sara Maxey

Status	Value	Units	Previous status	Current status	Target	Annual target	Polarity	Frequency
3.4.1 Does the Funding Strategy incorporate different funding objectives for different groups of employers?	Yes	%	G	G	Yes	Yes	High	3 Yearly

Rationale for performance status and trend

3.4.1 The draft Funding Strategy, was agreed by the Board in March 2017 with a revision at the December 2017 Board. It included different funding objectives for different groups of employers. This was also the case for the Funding Strategy that accompanied the previous Actuarial Valuations in 2013 and 2010.

This will be updated after the 2019 Valuation.

3.5 - Maintain liquidity in order to meet projected net cash flow outgoings

Measure Purpose: Maintain liquidity in order to meet projected net cash-flow outgoings

Measure Owner: Kevin McDonald Data lead: Sara Maxey & Samantha Andrews

Status	Value	Previous status	Current status	Target	Annual target	Polarity	Frequency
3.5.1 Sufficient investment income is available to supplement contribution income to meet benefit payments.	Yes	G	G	Yes	Yes	High	Ongoing

Rationale for performance status and trend

3.5.1 The Fund uses a combination of rental income and UK equity dividends from the passive portfolio to supplement contributions in meeting benefit payments.

The ISC reviewed its Treasury Management Strategy including cash flow at its March 2018 meeting.

3.6 - Minimise unrecoverable debt on termination of employer participation

Measure Purpose: To highlight unrecoverable, or potentially unrecoverable, deficit due to employers leaving the Fund

Scope: All employers contributing to the scheme

Measure Owner: Kevin McDonald Data leads: Sara Maxey

Status	Value	Units	Previous status	Current status	Target	Annual target	Polarity	Frequency
3.6.1 Potentially unrecoverable deficit due to employers leaving scheme (as a percentage of Total Fund deficit)	0.001	%	А	А	0.00%	0.00%	Low	Quarterly
3.6.2 Deficit unrecoverable due to employers leaving scheme (as a proportion of Total Fund deficit)	0	%	G	G	0.00%	0.00%	Low	Quarterly

Rationale for performance status and trend

3.6.1 Scoring:

0% = Green. Below 0.02%(£250,000) = Amber.

Above 0.02% = Red

In April 2018 Castle Point Citizens Advice Bureau went into liquidation, the Actuary report was completed and deficit sum was £39k, this represents less than 0.001% of the £6.8bn Fund as at 30 June 2018. The liquidators are still to finish their assessment and therefore this is currently on-going.

3.6.2 Scoring:

Below 0.02%(£250,000) = Amber. Above 0.02% = Red

The Fund has provided the liquidators with a claim and will provide an update when available.

4.1 (Annual) - Deliver a high quality, friendly and informative service

Measure Purpose: Deliver a high quality, friendly and informative service to all beneficiaries, potential beneficiaries and employers at the point of need

Scope: Communication and administration turnaround times, scheme member appeals, payment errors

Measure Owner: Jody Evans Data lead: David Tucker and Chris Pickford

Status						
	Previous value	Current value	Previous status	Current status	Target	CIPFA Average
4.1.1 Letter detailing transfer in quote issued within 10 working days (188 cases) (208 in 2015/16)	86.5%	89.1%	А	А	95.0%	87.2%
4.1.2 Letter detailing transfer out quote issued within 10 working days (765 cases) (671 in 2015/16)	87.9%	89.3%	А	А	95.0%	86.5%
4.1.3 Letter detailing process of refund and payment made within 5 working days (1,106 cases) (890 in 2015/16)	95.5%	95.3%	G	G	95.0%	93.8%
4.1.4 Letter notifying estimated retirement benefit amount within 10 working days (2,346 cases) (4,047 in 2015/16)	98.2%	98.1%	G	G	95.0%	92.8%
4.1.5 Letter notifying actual retirement benefits and payment made of lump sum retirement grant within 5 working days (2,517 cases) (2,178 in 2015/16)	99.2%	99.3%	G	G	95.0%	93.4%
4.1.6 Letter acknowledging death of active /deferred / pensioner member within 5 working days (1,106 cases) (1,266 in 2015/16)	99.7%	99.7%	G	G	95.0%	96.1%
4.1.7 Letter notifying the amount of dependent's benefits within 5 working days (1,106 cases) (1,266 in 2015/16)	95.4%	96.2%	G	G	95.0%	Annual (Qtr 4)
4.1.8 Calculate and notify deferred benefits within 10 working days (2,436 cases) (4,327 in 2015/16)	85.2%	88.7%	А	А	95.0%	83.8%
4.1.9 Annual benefit statements issued to active members of LGPS (Career Average) by 31 August.	100.0%	100.0%	G	G	100.0%	
4.1.10 Annual benefit statements issued to deferred members by 30 June.	100.0%	100.0%	G	G	100.0%	
4.1.11 New IDRP appeals during the year (per one thousand members)	0.02	0.01	G	G	Below CIPFA average	0.14
4.1.12 IDRP appeals - number of lost cases	0.00	0.00	G	G	Below CIPFA average	0.05

- 4.1.1 4.1.8 The Fund is aiming for a target of 95%. Above 95% = green, above 85% = amber, below 85% equals red.
- **4.1.9** Annual Benefit Statements were issued to all active members by 31 August 2018. No CIPFA average available.
- **4.1.10** Deferred members statements were issued in June 2018.
- **4.1.11 & 4.1.12** The CIPFA benchmarking statistics for 2016/17 no longer include IDRP measures. The averages shown are for the last published year (2015/16).
- NB:. Benchmarking for 2017/18 has commenced as of August 2018. This update will be provided in December 2018.

4.1(Quarterly) - Deliver a high quality, friendly and informative service

Measure Purpose: Deliver a high quality, friendly and informative service to all beneficiaries, potential beneficiaries and employers at the point of need

Scope: Communication and administration turnaround times, scheme member appeals, payment errors

Measure Owner: Jody Evans Data lead: David Tucker and Holly Gipson

Status	Value	Units	Previous status	Current status	Target
4.1.13 Number of payments errors	0	number	G	G	<9
4.1.14 Payment of death grant not made in line with nomination, next of kin, estate or Treasury Solicitor	0		G	G	0

Rationale for performance status and trend

4.1.13

This measure captures the number of errors made by Pensioner Payroll which have resulted in scheme members being paid the wrong amount.

During last 3 months, 0 payments errors to scheme members.

Quarterly target Green = <9; Amber = <16, Red = >16.

4.1.14

Details of the payment of death grants are set out below:

Payment of Death Grants detailed analysis	Mar'18 quarter	Jun'18 quarter
A: Notifications of Scheme Member deaths received	55	64
B: Number within A with death grant nomination C: Number within B paid in line with nomination held D: Number within B paid to next of kin (in instances of predeceased nominee) E: Number within A paid to the Estate (in instances of predeceased nominee)	23 23 0 0	28 25 1 0
F: Number within A without death grant nomination G: Number within F paid to next of kin H: Number within F paid to the Estate I: Number within F paid to the Treasury Solicitor J: Number paid to holding account as no details of NOK at present	32 30 0 1	36 36 0 0

4.2 - Data is protected to ensure security and authorised use only

Measure Purpose: Data is protected to ensure security and authorised use only

Scope: All service area budgets within the directorate

Measure Owner: Kevin McDonald Data lead: Jody Evans

Status	Value	Units	Previous status	Current status	Target	Annual target	Polarity	Frequency
4.2.1 Number of information security breaches	1		G	А	0	0	Low	Quarterly
4.2.2 Actions in place for all breaches	1		G	G	Actions in place for all	Actions in place for all	N/A	Quarterly

Rationale for performance status and trend

4.2.1 In the quarter to June 2018, there was a minor breach during the dispatch of balance of pension notifications. Unfortunately due to a manual error, two notifications were put into one envelope.

Green = 0 breaches

Amber = 1 or more medium or minor breaches Red = 1 or more major or critical breaches

4.2.2 The action taken was:

- security incident reported under GDPR no.F1954541;
- correct letters re-issued on 12 June; and
- letters advising members of our breach issued on 12 June.

4.3 - Ensure proper administration of financial affairs

Measure Purpose: To ensure proper administration of the Fund's financial affairs

Scope: Investments and Contributions

Measure Owner: Kevin McDonald Data leads: Samantha Andrews & Sara Maxey

Status	Value	Units	Previous status	Current status	Current target	Annual target	Polarity	Frequency
4.3.1 % of monthly reconciliations of equity and bond investment mandates which are timely	0.0	%	А	G	0%	100%	High	Quarterly
4.3.2 % of contributing employers submitting timely payments	99.3	%	А	А	100%	100%	High	Quarterly

- **4.3.1** In the quarter up to June 2018, no target is set in this quarter.
- **4.3.2** For the quarter ending June 2018 **99.3**% of employers submitted timely payments. In cash terms this equated to **99.9**% of a total employer contribution of £40.9m.

4.4 - Compliance with the Fund's governance arrangements

Measure Purpose: To ensure compliance with the Fund's governance arrangements agreed by the Council

Scope: Publication of Essex Pensions Funding Board agendas and minutes. Governance arrangements agreed by Board

Measure Owner: Jody Evans/Kevin McDonald Data lead: Amanda Crawford

Status	Value	Units	Previous status	Current status		Target	Annual target	Polarit	y Frequency
4.4.1 % of Board agendas sent out 5 working days before meetings	0	%	G	G		0%	100%	High	Quarterly
4.4.2 % of Board items sent out 5 working days before meetings	0	%	G	G	Ī	0%	100%	High	Quarterly
4.4.3 % of draft Board minutes available 7 working days after meetings	0	%	G	G		0%	100%	High	Quarterly
4.4.4 % of Board minutes uploaded to internet 12 working days after meetings	0	%	G	G	Ī	0%	100%	High	Quarterly
4.4.5 Compliance with governance arrangements - number of governance arrangements not in place	0	number	G	G		0	0	High	On-going

Rationale for performance status and trend

- **4.4.1, 4.4.2, 4.4.3 & 4.4.4** No PSB meetings were held in the 1st Qtr.
- **4.4.5** Measure will flag as red if one of the following governance arrangements is not in place:
- pension Fund Business Plan in place and renewed at the beginning of the financial year;
- an Employer Forum has taken place during the last year Fund is compliant;
- the last Employer Forum received reports and representation from the ISC and EPFB Fund is compliant;
- PSB Terms of Reference in place and noted at the beginning of the municipal year.

NB: Compliance with Board Membership arrangements is covered at measure 1.4.4

5.1 - Communicate in a friendly, expert and direct way to our stakeholders, treating all our stakeholders equally.

Measure Purpose: Communicate in a friendly, expert and direct way to our stakeholders, treating all our stake holders equally.

Scope: All scheme members and employers

Measure Owner: David Tucker Data lead: David Tucker

Status	Value	Units	Previous status	Current status	Target	Annual target	Polarity	Frequency
5.1.1. % of positive responses from the scheme member survey <i>Helpfulness of the Pensions Teams</i> .	100.0	%	G	G	95%	95%	High	Annual (Qtr 4)
5.1.2. % of positive responses from the Employer Survey Expertise of Pensions Teams.	96.6	%	G	G	95%	95%	High	Annual (Qtr 4)
5.1.3 . % of positive responses from the Employer Survey <i>Pensions Teams are friendly and Informative</i> .	96	%	G	G	95%	95%	High	Annual (Qtr 4)
5.1.4. A Communication Policy is in place for the current year.	Yes		G	G	Yes	Yes	High	Annual (Qtr 4)

Rationale for performance status and trend

- **5.1.1** In April 2017 a scheme member survey was issued, 500 scheme members were invited to participate and 122 responses were received to the question to 'How would you rate the Essex Pension Fund on helpfulness of staff?'. All responses were positive resulting in a 100% positive response. The previous survey result for this question was 99.1%.
- **5.1.2** In June 2017 an employer survey was issued, 496 employers (378 employers in 2015) were invited to participate and 154 (147 in 2015) responses were received to the question to 'How would you rate Essex Pension Fund staff on their level of expertise?'. Only 5 negative response were received resulting in a 96.6% positive response. The previous survey result for this question was one negative response and 99.3% positive.
- **5.1.3** In June 2017 an employer survey was issued, 496 employers (378 employers in 2015) were invited to participate and 154 (147 in 2015) responses were received to the question to 'How would you rate Essex Pension Fund staff on being friendly and informative?'. Six negative responses were received resulting in a 96.0% positive response. The previous survey result for this question two negative responses and 98.6 % positive.
- **5.1.4** The Communications Policy was agreed at the July 2016 meeting of the PSB.

NB: Surveys are due to be issued during the 3rd Quarter and data will be available during Quarter 4.

5.2 - Ensure our communications are simple, relevant and have impact. To deliver information in a way that suits all types of stakeholder

Measure Purpose: Ensure our communications are simple, relevant and have impact. To deliver information in a way that suits all types of stakeholder

Scope: All Scheme members and employers

Measure Owner: David Tucker Data lead: David Tucker

Status	Value	Units	Previous status	Current status	Target	Annual target	Polarity	Frequency
5.2.1 . % of positive responses from the Scheme Member Survey - Clarity of website information.	98.3%	%	G	G	95.0%	95.0%	High	Annual (Qtr 4)
5.2.2. % of positive responses from the Scheme Member Survey - <i>Understandable Annual Benefit Statements</i> .	92.6%	%	А	А	95.0%	95.0%	High	Annual (Qtr 4)
5.2.3. % of positive responses from the Scheme Member Survey - Communications that suit needs, easy to understand and relevant.	100%	%	G	G	95.0%	95.0%	High	Annual (Qtr 4)
5.2.4. % of positive responses from the Employer Survey - Clarity of Website information.	94.0%	%	А	А	95.0%	95.0%	High	Annual (Qtr 4)
5.2.5 . Increase in response of the Scheme Member Survey compared to last year.	0.8%	&	G	G	Increase	Increase	High	Annual (Qtr 4)
5.2.6. Increase in response rate of the Employer Survey compared to last year.	4.8%	%	G	G	Increase	Increase	High	Annual (Qtr 4)
5.2.7 Employer survey - feedback on training and educational materials - % of positive responses	100.0%	%	G	G	95.0%	95.0%	High	Annual (Qtr 4)

- **5.2.1** In April 2017 a scheme member survey was issued, 500 scheme members were invited to participate and 122 responses were received to the question to 'How clear is the information available on the Essex Pension Fund website?'. two negative response were received resulting in a **98.3%** positive response. The response to this question in the previous survey was 93.7%.
- **5.2.2** In April 2017 a scheme member survey was issued, 500 scheme members were invited to participate and 122 responses were received to the question to 'How easy was the information in your annual benefit statement to understand?'. 9 negative response was received resulting in a **92.6%** positive response. The response to this question in the previous survey was 92% positive.
- **5.2.3** In April 2017 a scheme member survey was issued, 500 scheme members were invited to participate and 122 responses were received. All responses were positive resulting in a **100%** positive response. The response to this question in the previous survey was 99.2%.
- **5.2.4** In July 2017 an employer pulse survey was issued, 496 employers were invited to participate and 35 responses were received 'How clear is the information available on the Essex Pension Fund website?'. Two negative responses was received resulting in a **94%** positive response. The previous survey result in 2015 for a question of this type was 95.2% positive.
- **5.2.5** In April 2017 a scheme member survey was issued, 500 scheme members were invited to participate and 122 responses were received. In 2015 119 responses were received. This is an increase in respondents of 3 (**0.8%**). The previous survey result for this question was an increase of 43.9%.
- **5.2.6** In June 2017 an employer survey was issued, 496 employers were invited to participate and 154 responses were received . In the previous survey 147 employer (in 2015) responses were received. This is an increase in respondents of 7 (**4.4%**). The 2012 survey had a response from 43 employers.
- **5.2.7 -** In June 2017 an employer survey was issued, 496 employers (378 employers in 2015) were invited to participate and 154 (147 in 2015) responses were received . When asked about feedback on training materials and educational materials no negative responses were received resulting in a **100%** positive response. The previous survey result for this question was 96.6% positive.

5.3 - Aim for a full appreciation of the pension scheme benefits and changes to the Scheme by all scheme members, prospective scheme members and employers

Measure Purpose: Aim for a full appreciation of the pension scheme benefits and changes to the Scheme by all scheme members, prospective scheme

Scope: All scheme members and employers

Measure Owner: David Tucker Data lead: David Tucker

Status	Value	Units	Previous status	Current status	Target	Annual target	Polarity	Frequency
5.3.1. % of opt outs is within reasonable parameters		%	Gy	GY	0.10%	0.10%	N/A	3 yearly
5.3.2. % of positive responses from the Employer Survey - <i>Information available is helpful in employers understanding their responsibilities</i>	100%	%	G	G	95%	95%		Annual (4th Qtr)

Rationale for performance status and trend

5.3.1 This measure is under review.

5.3.2 In June 2017 an employer survey was issued, 496 employers (378 employers in 2015) were invited to participate and 154 (147 in 2015) responses were received. When asked about feedback on information available is helpful to employers understanding their responsibilities no negative response were received resulting in a **100.0%** positive response. In the previous survey the response to this question 100.0%.

NB: Surveys are due to be issued during the 3rd Quarter and data will be available during Quarter 4.