

SCHEME_SUMMARY

Centrally Held Schemes - Non Transport

LOGAS Code	Scheme Name	Promoter	Federal Area	Total scheme cost	Total LGF cost	Planned LGF spend in 15/16 (£m). Based on Annual Allocation	Planned LGF spend in 15/16 (£m). Based on November Accountability Board agreed changes	Expected / Planned Spend for 15/16 (at Q3)	15/16 spend at risk	Summary / RAG / Comments [RAG Rating: Green = forecast to achieve forecast 15/16 spend, Amber = Change in spend vs planned allocation through either 1) known or potential risk of underspend in 15/16 or scheme or 2) Spend mitigation option	Programme Management Option	Residual spend risk (15/16) - existing programme & proposed mitigations
LGFS1	Skills Capital Programme	Held centrally	n/a		22.0	11.0	11.0	11.0	0.00	Covered in separate paper.		

Retained Transport Schemes

LOGAS Code	Scheme Name	Promoter	Federal Area	Total scheme cost	Total LGF cost	Allocated LGF spend in 15/16 (£m). Based on Annual Allocation	Planned LGF spend in 15/16 (£m). Based on November Accountability Board agreed changes	Expected / Planned Spend for 15/16 (at Q3)	15/16 spend at risk	Summary / RAG / Comments [RAG Rating: Green = forecast to achieve forecast 15/16 spend, Amber = Change in spend vs planned allocation through either 1) known or potential risk of underspend in 15/16 or scheme or 2) Spend mitigation option	Programme Management Option	Residual spend risk (15/16) - existing programme & proposed mitigations
LGFS37	A127 Fairglen Junction Improvements	DfT Retained Scheme	Essex	17.0	15.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	No spend in 15/16	n/a	Low
LGFS40	A127 The Bell	DfT Retained Scheme	TGSE	5.0	4.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	No spend in 15/16	n/a	Low
SUMMARY				22.02	19.30	-	-	-	-			

East Sussex Projects

LOGAS Code	Scheme Name	Promoter	Federal Area	Total scheme cost	Total LGF cost	Planned LGF spend in 15/16 (£m). Based on Annual Allocation	Planned LGF spend in 15/16 (£m). Based on November Accountability Board agreed changes	Expected / Planned Spend for 15/16 (at Q3)	15/16 spend at risk	Summary / RAG / Comments [RAG Rating: Green = forecast to achieve forecast 15/16 spend, Amber = Change in spend vs planned allocation through either 1) known or potential risk of underspend in 15/16 or scheme or 2) Spend mitigation option	Programme Management Option	Residual spend risk (15/16) - existing programme & proposed mitigations
LGFE2	Newhaven Flood Defences	East Sussex CC	East Sussex	9.0	1.5	0.75	0.40	0.40	0.00	Grant Agreement being confirmed with Environment Agency	n/a	Low (beyond identified underspend)
LGFE23	Hailsham/Polegate/Eastbourne Sustainable Transport Corridor	East Sussex CC	East Sussex	3.5	2.1	0.30	0.00	0.00	0.00	Returning to Programme in 17/18	n/a	
LGFE24	Eastbourne and South Wealden Walking and Cycling LSTF package	East Sussex CC	East Sussex	10.6	8.6	0.30	0.60	0.60	0.00	On track	n/a	Low
LGFE35	Queensway Gateway Road (formerly A21 Barslow Link)	East Sussex CC	East Sussex	15.0	15.0	10.00	3.00	3.00	0.00	Planning permission granted in December 2015. On track	n/a	Low (beyond identified underspend)
16/17 Scheme brought forward (LGFE51)	North Bexhill Access Road	East Sussex CC		16.7	9.0		5.40	5.40	0.00	Business Case received by ITE. Planning permission going to Rother DC on 21st January. Land acquisition constitutes majority of spend.	Option 2	Medium - Requires ITE approval.
16/17 Scheme brought forward (LGFE49)	Swallow Business Park, Hailsham (A22/A27 Growth Corridor)	East Sussex CC		1.4	1.4		1.40	1.25	-0.15	State Aid concern resolved. Business Case with ITE.	Option 2	Medium - Requires ITE approval.
16/17 Scheme brought forward (LGFE50)	Sovereign Harbour	East Sussex CC		1.7	1.4		0.70	0.70	0.00	Scope of scheme has changed. Now 3 scheme elements covering separate business parks.	Option 2	Medium - Requires ITE approval.
SUMMARY				57.89	39.00	11.35	11.50	11.35	-0.15	Variance from November AB (inc. any mitigations)		
									0.00	Variance from 15/16 Allocation		

Essex Projects

LOGAS Code	Scheme Name	Promoter	Federal Area	Total scheme cost	Total LGF cost	Planned LGF spend in 15/16 (£m). Based on Annual Allocation	Planned LGF spend in 15/16 (£m). Based on November Accountability Board agreed changes	Expected / Planned Spend for 15/16 (at Q3)	15/16 spend at risk	Summary / RAG / Comments [RAG Rating: Green = forecast to achieve forecast 15/16 spend, Amber = Change in spend vs planned allocation through either 1) known or potential risk of underspend in 15/16 or scheme or 2) Spend mitigation option	Programme Management Option	Residual spend risk (15/16) - existing programme & proposed mitigations
LGFSE4	Colchester Broadband Infrastructure	Essex CC	Essex	-	0.2	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.00	Revision of scope and revised business case submitted.	n/a	Low
LGFSE25	Colchester LSTF	Essex CC	Essex	2.0	2.0	2.00	2.00	1.50	-0.50	Stakeholder consultation > issues with cycle lane element of scheme. Resolution unlikely > risk of underspend in 15/16	Option 1	Medium
LGFSE26	Colchester Integrated Transport Package	Essex CC	Essex	13.0	5.0	2.20	2.60	1.25	-1.35	Risk associated with additional delays to the delivery of Colne Bank widening project. Underspend slips to 16/17	Option 1	Medium
LGFSE27	Colchester Town Centre (Formerly Integrated Transport Package A)	Essex CC	Essex	5.0	5.0	5.00	3.20	2.70	-0.50	Additional risk associated with the non-delivery of the Lexden Road bus lane	n/a	Medium
LGFSE28	TGSE LSTF - Essex	Essex CC	TGSE	5.0	3.0	2.40	3.00	2.40	-0.60	£600k at risk as RTI element may be deemed to be revenue rather than capital (hence not eligible for LGF). If so, will affect overall LGF cost.	Option 1	Medium
LGFSE31	A414 Pinch Point Package: A414 First Avenue & Cambridge Rd junction	Essex CC	Essex	15.1	10.0	7.10	4.10	4.10	0.00	On track	Option 4 - linked to Harlow Enterprise Zone proposal below.	Low
LGFSE32	A414 Maldon to Chelmsford RBS	Essex CC	Essex	4.0	2.0	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	On track	n/a	Low
LGFSE33	Chelmsford Station / Station Square / Mill Yard	Essex CC	Essex	7.9	3.0	1.50	1.00	0.50	-0.50	Dependent on NR and complicated by TOC / franchising. Delay likely.	n/a	Medium
LGFSE34	Basildon Integrated Transport Package	Essex CC	TGSE	13.0	9.0	1.00	2.25	2.25	0.00	On track	Option 1	Low
LGFSE36	Colchester Park and Ride and Bus Priority measures	Essex CC	Essex	7.5	5.8	5.80	6.80	6.80	0.00	Complete	Option 4	Low
LGFSE38	A127 Capacity Enhancements Road Safety and Network Resilience (ECC)	Essex CC [Note funds held centrally by DfT]	Essex	8.5	4.0	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.00	On track	n/a	Low
Re-profile of spend between LGF and GPF	Harlow Enterprise Zone (consider within wider LGFSE31)	Essex CC	Essex			0.00	1.52	1.52	0.00	On track	Option 4	Low
Transfer between ECC & Southend						0.00	0.49	0.49	0.00	Confirmed swap	Option 3	Low
Capital Programme Virement	Wivenhoe Cycle Link Jaywick Roads Chelmsford City Growth Area Scheme Capital resurfacing schemes on PR1 network M11 J7a design work	Essex CC	Essex			0.00	0.00	3.49	3.49	Capital Programme Virements as follows: Wivenhoe Cycle Link - (£1.0m) will be used to offset Colchester schemes. Jaywick Roads (£0.75m) will be used to offset Colchester schemes. Chelmsford City Growth Area - early delivery of bus shelters at Sandon P&R (£0.25m) will be used to offset Colchester schemes. Capital resurfacing schemes on PR1 network (£1.45m) will be partially used to offset Colchester schemes (£0.95m) and partially Chelmsford Station (£0.5m). M11 J7a Design Work (£2.0m) may also be used if needed.	Option 4	Low
SUMMARY				81.00	49.00	28.20	28.16	24.71	0.04	Variance from November AB (inc. any mitigations)		
						exc. retained scheme	exc. retained scheme	exc. retained scheme	-3.98	Variance from 15/16 Allocation (exc. retained scheme and swap with Southend)		

Kent Projects

LOGAS Code	Scheme Name	Promoter	Federal Area	Total scheme cost	Total LGF cost	Planned LGF spend in 15/16 (£m). Based on Annual Allocation	Planned LGF spend in 15/16 (£m). Based on November Accountability Board agreed changes	Expected / Planned Spend for 15/16 (at Q3)	15/16 spend at risk	Summary / RAG / Comments [RAG Rating: Green = forecast to achieve forecast 15/16 spend, Amber = Change in spend vs planned allocation through either 1) known or potential risk of underspend in 15/16 or scheme or 2) Spend mitigation option	Programme Management Option	Residual spend risk (15/16) - existing programme & proposed mitigations
LGFSE3	Kent and Medway Growth Hub	Kent CC	Kent & Medway	-	6.0	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	On track	n/a	Low
LGFSE6	Tonbridge Town Centre Regeneration	Kent CC	Kent & Medway	6.7	2.4	2.00	1.80	1.81	0.01	On track	n/a	Low (beyond identified underspend)
LGFSE7	Sittingbourne Town Centre Regeneration	Kent CC	Kent & Medway	4.5	2.5	2.50	0.80	0.55	-0.25	Further underspend identified	n/a	Medium - Developer led scheme so SLA states KCC spend in arrears.
LGFSE8	M20 Junction 4 Eastern Overbridge	Kent CC	Kent & Medway	4.8	2.2	2.20	0.93	0.82	-0.11	Further underspend identified	n/a	Medium
LGFSE9	A26 London Rd/ Speldhurst Rd/ Yew Tree Rd, Tun Wells	Kent CC	Kent & Medway	2.1	1.8	1.00	0.30	0.61	0.31	On track	n/a	Low (beyond identified underspend).
LGFSE10	Kent Thameside LSTF	Kent CC	Kent & Medway	7.7	4.5	2.40	2.10	2.10	0.00	On track	n/a	Low (beyond identified underspend)
LGFSE11	Maidstone Gyratory Bypass	Kent CC	Kent & Medway	5.7	4.6	1.00	0.80	1.04	0.24	On track	n/a	Low (beyond identified underspend)
LGFSE12	Kent Strategic Congestion Management programme	Kent CC	Kent & Medway	4.8	4.8	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.00	On track	n/a	Low
LGFSE13	North Deal transport improvements	Kent CC	Kent & Medway	1.6	0.8	0.40	0.05	0.00	-0.05	BC will not be ready for spending this FY	n/a	Medium - Requires ITE approval.
LGFSE14	Kent Rights of Way improvement plan	Kent CC	Kent & Medway	1.3	1.0	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.00	On track	n/a	Low
LGFSE15	Kent Sustainable Interventions programme	Kent CC	Kent & Medway	3.0	3.0	0.50	0.30	0.15	-0.15	Risk of further underspend. Local engagement protracted - some elements delayed until 2016/17	n/a	Medium
LGFSE16	West Kent LSTF	Kent CC	Kent & Medway	9.1	4.9	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.00	On track	n/a	Low
LGFSE17	Folkestone Seafront : onsite infrastructure and engineering works	Kent CC	Kent & Medway	0.5	0.5	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.00	On track	n/a	Low
16/17 Scheme brought forward (LGFSE47)	Maidstone Sustainable Access	Kent CC	Kent & Medway		2.0		0.25	0.23	-0.02	£0.23m being brought forward from 16/17	Option 2	Medium - Requires ITE approval.
16/17 Scheme brought forward (LGFSE46)	A28 Sturry Rd Integrated Transport Package	Kent CC	Kent & Medway		0.3		0.05	0.00	-0.05	While approved, scheme on hold until 2016/17 and so only £9k minimal expenditure to date.	Option 2	Medium - Requires ITE approval.
16/17 Scheme brought forward (LGFSE42)	A28 Chart Road	Kent CC	Kent & Medway		10.2		1.62	1.08	-0.54	£1.08m can be brought forward from 2016/17 allocation with profile generally being brought forward.	Option 2	Medium - Requires ITE approval.
16/17 Scheme brought forward (LGFSE44)	A28 Sturry Link Road	Kent CC	Kent & Medway		5.9		0.33	0.00	-0.33	BC will not be ready for spending this FY	Option 2	Medium - Requires ITE approval.
16/17 Scheme brought forward (LGFSE45)	Rathmore Road	Kent CC	Kent & Medway	9.5	4.2		2.46	1.74	-0.72	£1.74m can be brought forward from 2016/17 main allocation	Option 2	Medium - Requires ITE approval.
16/17 Scheme brought forward (LGFSE43)	Maidstone Integrated Transport	Kent CC	Kent & Medway		8.9		0.07	0.07	0.00	On track	Option 2	Medium - Requires ITE approval.
16/17 Scheme brought forward (LGFSE48)	Ashford Spurs	Kent CC	Kent & Medway		2.0		0.25	0.00	-0.25	Removed from mitigations. Will not be ready for Feb Accountability Board. Moving back into 16/17 programme	-	Will not be ready for Feb Accountability Board. Moving back into 16/17 programme
Capital Programme Virement	Various	Kent CC	Kent & Medway				0.00	1.80	1.80	Various	Option 4	Low
SUMMARY				61.14	72.50	15.30	15.41	15.30	-0.11	Variance from November AB (inc. any mitigations)		
									-1.80	Variance from 15/16 Allocation		

Medway Projects

LOGAS Code	Scheme Name	Promoter	Federal Area	Total scheme cost	Total LGF cost	Planned LGF spend in 15/16 (£m). Based on Annual Allocation	Planned LGF spend in 15/16 (£m). Based on November Accountability Board agreed changes	Expected / Planned Spend for 15/16 (at Q3)	15/16 spend at risk	Summary / RAG / Comments [RAG Rating: Green = forecast to achieve forecast 15/16 spend, Amber = Change in spend vs planned allocation through either 1) known or potential risk of underspend in 15/16 or scheme or 2) Spend mitigation option	Programme Management Option	Residual spend risk (15/16) - existing programme & proposed mitigations
LGFE18	A289 Four Elms Roundabout to Medway Tunnel Journey time and Network Improvements	Medway	Kent & Medway	18.6	11.1	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.00	On track	n/a	Low
LGFE19	Strood Town Centre Journey Time and Accessibility Enhancements	Medway	Kent & Medway	10.0	9.0	0.20	0.20	0.21	0.01	On track to spend £210k in 15/16, accounting for Chatham under-spend	n/a	Low
LGFE20	Chatham Town Centre Place-making and Public Realm Package	Medway	Kent & Medway	6.9	4.0	1.00	1.00	0.86	-0.14	Expecting £138k to be shifted from spending on Chatham to Strood (£10k) and Cycling Action Plan (£128k)	n/a	Low (beyond identified underspend)
LGFE21	Medway Cycling Action Plan	Medway	Kent & Medway	3.0	2.5	0.10	0.10	0.23	0.13	On track to spend £228k in 15/16, accounting for Chatham under-spend	n/a	Low
LGFE22	Medway City Estate Connectivity Improvement Measures	Medway	Kent & Medway	2.0	2.0	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.00	On track	n/a	Low
SUMMARY				40.50	28.60	1.90	1.90	1.90	0.00	Variance from November AB (inc. any mitigations)		
									0.00	Variance from 15/16 Allocation		

Southend Projects

LOGAS Code	Scheme Name	Promoter	Federal Area	Total scheme cost	Total LGF cost	Planned LGF spend in 15/16 (£m). Based on Annual Allocation	Planned LGF spend in 15/16 (£m). Based on November Accountability Board agreed changes	Expected / Planned Spend for 15/16 (at Q3)	15/16 spend at risk	Summary / RAG / Comments [RAG Rating: Green = forecast to achieve forecast 15/16 spend, Amber = Change in spend vs planned allocation through either 1) known or potential risk of underspend in 15/16 or scheme or 2) Spend mitigation option	Programme Management Option	Residual spend risk (15/16) - existing programme & proposed mitigations
LGFSE5	Southend Growth Hub	Southend	TGSE	-	6.7	0.10	0.590	0.084	-0.51	Unable to spend full allocation or ECC swap money due to issues with Clean Air Act. Southend to take ECC money, swap it into Capital Programme in 15/16, then back out in 16/17.	Option 3 - Transfer from ECC based on agreement at Nov Accountability Board	Low (beyond identified underspend)
LGFSE29	TGSE LSTF - Southend	Southend	TGSE	-	1.0	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.00	On track	n/a	Low
LGFSE39	A127 Kent Elms Corner	Southend <i>[Note funds held centrally by DfT]</i>	TGSE	5.0	4.3	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.00	On track	n/a	Low
LGFSE41	A127 Essential Bridge and Highway Maintenance - Southend	Southend <i>[Note funds held centrally by DfT]</i>	TGSE	8.0	8.0	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.00	On track	n/a	Low
Capital Programme Virement	Various	Southend					0.00	0.51	0.51		Option 4	Low
				13.02	20.02	0.90	1.39	0.88	0.00	Variance from November AB (inc. any mitigations)		
SUMMARY						exc. retained schemes	exc. retained schemes	exc. retained schemes	-0.02	Variance from 15/16 Allocation (exc. retained schemes)		

Thurrock Projects

LOGAS Code	Scheme Name	Promoter	Federal Area	Total scheme cost	Total LGF cost	Planned LGF spend in 15/16 (£m). Based on Annual Allocation	Planned LGF spend in 15/16 (£m). Based on November Accountability Board agreed changes	Expected / Planned Spend for 15/16 (at Q3)	15/16 spend at risk	Summary / RAG / Comments [RAG Rating: Green = forecast to achieve forecast 15/16 spend, Amber = Change in spend vs planned allocation through either 1) known or potential risk of underspend in 15/16 or scheme or 2) Spend mitigation option	Programme Management Option	Residual spend risk (15/16) - existing programme & proposed mitigations
LGFE30	TGSE LSTF - Thurrock	Thurrock	TGSE		1.0	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.00	Elements being spent on may vary but all within the BC. On track	n/a	Low
SUMMARY				0.00	1.00	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.00	Variance from November AB (inc. any mitigations)		
									0.00	Variance from 15/16 Allocation		

END