

# Essex County Council Meeting 10 July 2018

## Answers to Written Questions

### Agenda Item 11

**1. By Councillor Sargeant of the Deputy Leader and Cabinet Member for Infrastructure.**

'To meet the changing local demographics of East Clacton and Holland on Sea, Essex County Council is expanding Holland Haven School from a 300 to a 420-school roll for the September 2019 intake; at a cost of £1.4 million.

I am very much in favour of this and thank the Cabinet Member for Education, Councillor Gooding, for being instrumental in achieving this.

However, before this considerable development takes place; please could the Council, within its £1.4 million programme, install a pedestrian crossing across the Frinton Road (the B Road and the link between Clacton and Frinton and Walton).

This will enable pupils to walk safely to and from school via the Frinton Road school gate that already exists (as do tactile kerbing slabs on both pavements).

This would in itself increase the number of local schoolchildren walking/scootering/cycling to and from school each day – instead of being driven and causing traffic and parking issues. The crossing would also assist people of all ages crossing the busy Frinton Road to reach the bus stops, shops, library, public hall, pub and seafront – 24/7. This is in keeping with the Council's published Sustainable Travel and Environmental objectives.

There are already serious parking and traffic issues well known to the Highways and the Parking Partnership from the current 300 school roll which needs resolving. To this end, I have engaged with the school and Sustrans to encourage greater walking, scootering and cycling to school, however, many parents without a safe crossing, are reluctant to allow their children to cross the busy road by these modes. Little wonder road surveys indicate low foot fall crossing.

At a recent full Council meeting you extolled the virtues of creative thinking to everyone in the Chamber. Quite right. Essex County Council must allow Highways and Education to shake off the old silo mentality and work in a co-ordinated and joined up approach! There are 15 months for Essex County Council to be ahead of the curve by planning and being ready for the 420 children and staff (an extra 120

	<p>pupils and new staff; and probably as many cars) to descend on the school.</p> <p>Please could some of the £1.4 million be diverted to provide a pedestrian crossing as, after all, the safety of our residents is our primary concern.'</p>
	<p>'May I thank the Member for Clacton East for the question.</p> <p>I recognise the local concerns around this issue as demonstrated by the petition you submitted at Full Council in May 2018 to which I responded. I am also aware that the provision of a pedestrian crossing generated much discussion at the Development and Regulation Committee where the school expansion application was approved in February 2018. As a result of this discussion the Committee Chairman wrote a letter to ECC's Highways and Education Portfolio Holders to highlight some of the highways issues that arise through school expansion applications. As the new portfolio holder with responsibility for Highways I will be reviewing these issues jointly with the Cabinet Member for Education and responding to the Chairman of the Committee. I applaud your work to encourage higher rate of walking and cycling to school and I would like to invite you to attend a Highways Surgery so that we may discuss the local issues at Holland Haven School in more detail.'</p>
<p><b>2.</b></p>	<p><b>By Councillor Young of the Cabinet Member for Health and Adult Social Care</b></p> <p>'I read in the press last week of three Care Homes earmarked for closure: Trippier House in my Division, the Poplars in Walton and Well House in Brightlingsea. All claim that Essex County Council owes them money as from March 2017 and they are losing thousands of pounds per month. Not only is Trippier House home to my most vulnerable residents it provides employment to many of my constituents. Can I have the portfolio holder's assurance that Essex County Council is paying care providers what is needed to accommodate our most vulnerable residents, and that all that can be done is being done to save these homes from closure.'</p>
	<p><b>Reply</b></p> <p>'All three of these homes are owned and operated by Essex County Care Limited (ECCL). This provider has decided to close the properties and has served notice to this effect. We are working closely with ECCL, their residents and families to ensure the safe transfer of residents to other care homes.</p> <p>I can confirm that we do not owe ECCL any money. There was a delay in processing an increased rate, in line with inflation, for the 2017/18 financial year and the back-pay was made to ECCL last month.</p> <p>Residential care placements are made via our Integrated Residential and Nursing (IRN) framework that gives providers such as ECCL a great amount of flexibility in</p>

	<p>what they charge us. I am confident that this allows suppliers to provide services that are of an appropriate quality. Indeed 78% of the older people's residential care homes in Essex are rated by the Care Quality Commission as either good or outstanding, this is 4% above the national average.</p> <p>Furthermore, I am confident that we have a very robust process in place that measures and improves the quality of residential care homes across Essex. Our Quality Innovation team – through initiatives such as Prosper – targets specific issues within homes with the aim of increasing the quality of care. We also run 'communities of practise' which aim to share best practice between providers; these are enormously successful and highly valued by the market. We also have workforce initiatives which aim to promote the profession, especially to school leavers, and facilitate training programmes for care staff.</p> <p>Let me close by once again assuring you that we are working very hard with the residents in these homes, and their families. We are doing everything in our power to ensure that they to continue to receive the support they need.'</p>
3.	<p><b>By Councilor Young of the Cabinet Member for Education</b></p> <p>'My constituents in Wivenhoe are once again feeling the effects of the change in the Home to School Transport Policy from catchment school to nearest school as we witness Heddingham Omnibus Company pulling out of the 87 Service most students switched to when free school transport ceased. This means that worried parents have little option but to pay £600 per child to get a place on a commissioned service run by 'New Horizon' or 'Heddinghams'. The Labour Group tabled a motion calling on Essex County Council to start franchising their own services for bus transport. Can I ask the portfolio holder how this idea is progressing and could he look at the Wivenhoe to Brightlingsea route as a pilot for such a franchise arrangement? At the moment, parents face high costs or worry over capacity and this isn't fair.'</p>
	<p><b>Reply</b></p> <p>'I thank Councillor Young for her question. She is, of course, well aware of the statutory and policy position in that there is no requirement for councils to make transport arrangements for pupils who are not entitled, where parents have chosen a school further away than a nearer available one.</p> <p>The Council's policy is consistent and equitable for all children in the county. There can be and is no justification for the wider Essex taxpayer to fund any special arrangements for any specific areas based upon the preference of a particular school, above another closer school.</p> <p>In regard to Councillor Young's comments about bus franchising and other powers included in the Bus Services Act 2017, at this time the Council is in the process of reviewing and revising its passenger transport strategy and has not finalised the</p>

	<p>approach it intends to adopt towards the future regulation of the transport network in Essex.’</p>
<p><b>4.</b></p>	<p><b>By Councillor Scordis of the Deputy Leader of the Council and Cabinet Member for Infrastructure</b></p> <p>‘Regarding the new proposals for the A120, can the portfolio holder explain why option D was chosen and why the preferred options of Braintree and Colchester Council, B and C were rejected? Were the Garden Communities considered in the process?’</p>
	<p><b>Reply</b></p> <p>‘May I thank the Member for Abbey for the question.</p> <p>To confirm that, following an evidence led process involving detailed technical assessment and public consultation, Essex County Council selected Option D as the preferred route for an upgraded A120 between Braintree and the A12.</p> <p>Colchester Borough Council stated that their most preferred routes were Options B or C. Braintree District Council originally responded without making a preference beyond rejecting Option A. Subsequently, and relatively recently, Braintree indicated a preference for Option C.</p> <p>Option D was selected as it was the best performing option assessed using our decision making framework. This framework, which aligns with Department for Transport assessment criteria, looked at how the scheme meets objectives, its cost, its acceptability, its impact on the environment and its overall value for money. For example, Option D:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ represents the best value for money with the highest benefit to cost ratio of 3.75;</li> <li>▪ has the lowest impact on the environment in terms of its footprint, and lower number of river and rail crossings;</li> <li>▪ has the largest reduction in traffic through local villages; and</li> <li>▪ is affordable being significantly less expensive than other options</li> </ul> <p>Garden Communities although an important feature in their own right and while the scale of growth proposed was considered in the planning for the A120, they were not a consideration that influenced the selection of any particular route option. The A120 needs upgrading regardless of Garden Communities, being a strategic trunk road affording connectivity between a major port and a major airport and needing to provide first class connectivity between these and important economic centres in Essex and Suffolk</p> <p>You can find more about the A120 favoured route and decision-making process at <a href="http://www.a120essex.co.uk">www.a120essex.co.uk</a>.’</p>

	<p><b>5. By Councillor Scordis of the Deputy Leader of the Council and Cabinet Member for Infrastructure</b></p> <p>‘Please can the portfolio holder tell me how much is being spent this financial year on cycling infrastructure and how many cycling routes are currently planned?’</p>
	<p><b>Reply</b></p> <p>‘May I thank the Member for Abbey for the question.</p> <p>Essex County Council is committed to fulfilling the aims and objectives of the Essex Cycling Strategy 2016. Infrastructure is a key component of this strategy and to enable a start on our ambitious plans ECC have committed £3m over the next three years on cycling infrastructure with £500,000 in the capital programme for the current financial year.</p> <p>We are presently evaluating a number of possible routes for implementation, but priorities include schemes including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ A Basildon flagship route going north from the Town Centre to connect with leisure and employment areas.</li> <li>▪ Flagship routes in Braintree and Colchester, these are currently being evaluated using the new Department for Transport, Local Walking and Cycling Infrastructure Plans tools and methodology.</li> </ul> <p>Essex County Council were selected by the Department for Transport to be part of the Local Walking and Cycling Infrastructure Plans programme which aims to develop network plans for both walking and cycling in the five principal towns and cities in Essex. This will enable ECC to prioritise investment decisions in subsequent years to be based upon a robust methodology which considers future demand and the propensity to both walk and cycle.</p> <p>In addition to the Council’s capital commitment, other ways of improving cycling infrastructure are being pursued:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Securing additional funding, for example the Local Enterprise Partnership funded £15m Chelmsford City Growth Package, which includes a number of crossings, public realm enhancements and cycle routes.</li> <li>▪ The Council through its Strategic Development and Travel Planning function influences both developers and Local plans to identify and construct many cycle facilities reducing the burden on the public purse.</li> <li>▪ Local Highway Panels also have a role to play and many have and continue to prioritise cycle route improvements.’ </li></ul>

6.	<p><b>By Councillor Henderson of the Deputy Leader of Council and Cabinet Member for Infrastructure</b></p> <p>‘Could the portfolio holder confirm if he has assessed with Ringway Jacobs the viability of using recycled mixed plastic waste to repair Essex roads and potholes as other Councils are considering this lower-cost option. The mix is cheaper and longer lasting than conventional tarmac surfacing and could reduce the amount spent on maintenance and help to deal with the ever-increasing concerns on how to reduce and dispose of waste.’</p>
	<p><b>Reply</b></p> <p>‘May I thank the Member for Harwich for the question.</p> <p>As you would expect for an authority that delivers the volume of highways surfacing that we do here in Essex, we have taken a close look at the widespread promotion of plastic roads in all its various guises. While there is undoubted potential for this approach in future, product development remains in its infancy – the recycled plastic only being used in the binder material and therefore constituting approximately 0.5% of the material laid. There is also no evidence that its use reduces cost or extends the life of the surface at present.</p> <p>I do however recognise that finding a use for our waste is of paramount importance, and with research and development moving at a rapid pace I am hopeful that a viable product isn’t far from becoming a reality. We are fortunate that through our Strategic Highway Partnership we have access to world leading research and development on this issue of surfacing and we will continue to work closely with them to ensure the best and most cost effective products are used on the roads of Essex’</p>
7.	<p><b>By Councillor Mackrory of the Deputy Leader and Cabinet Member for Infrastructure</b></p> <p>‘Does ECC have a policy for recovering the cost of replacing highway infrastructure from vehicle drivers caused by road traffic collisions? If so how much has been recovered over the last five years and from how many incidents?’</p>
	<p><b>Reply</b></p> <p>‘May I thank the Member for Springfield for the question.</p> <p>Essex highways have an agreed process for the recovery of money from third parties who have either caused damaged directly to assets on the Essex network or have caused Essex to incur costs to make safe, or return the highway to a safe</p>

	<p>condition following an incident. Over the last 5 years, Essex Highways has handled more than 7,274 claims logged since the start of the financial year 2013/14. The claims team have invoiced a total of £1.7m relating to incidents up to the end of the financial year 2017/18. Essex County Council have directly received £2m of recoveries during this same period as the Essex Highways claims team diligently pursue old claims to maximise the returns to the council. So far in 2018/19 Essex County Council have received £0.3m of further recoveries as the claims team actively pursue a number of live claims.</p> <p>In recent times there has been more focus on recovering damage costs from third parties, with particular attention to improving the culprit identification rate. We recently ran an awareness campaign aimed at giving more guidance to those out on the network on the information required to identify culprits. Further externally focused campaigns are planned in the next few months.'</p>
8.	<p><b>By Councillor Mackrory of the Cabinet Member for Health and Adult Social Care</b></p> <p>'With the number of Care Homes closing nationwide and now in Essex, what contingency plans are in place to accommodate displaced residents?'</p>
	<p><b>Reply</b></p> <p>'Essex County Care Limited (ECCL) have recently announced the closure of three residential care homes in Essex. In total these homes have 65 residents, 50 are funded by the council. We currently have around 270 vacant beds in the county at homes contracted to us. Many more beds will be available at non-contracted homes which we could access if needed. I am therefore confident that residents displaced by the current closures will be able to find alternative placements. We have well-rehearsed processes to work with residents, their families and the market to ensure the safe transfer of residents when homes close. We are doing everything in our power to ensure that residents move safely to alternative homes of their choice.'</p>
9.	<p><b>By Councillor Kendall of the Cabinet Member for Health and Adult Social Care</b></p> <p>'What new initiatives are Essex County Council promoting and funding to tackle the problem of loneliness across the County?'</p>
	<p><b>Reply</b></p> <p>'People of all ages are impacted by loneliness and isolation, but this is particularly evident in older people, young parents and people with mental health issues or with disabilities. Social isolation has been shown to impact more on risk of death than</p>

	<p>blood pressure, being overweight or moderate smoking. As such I fully recognise the absolute need to address this and the new Joint Health and Wellbeing Strategy strongly advocates for this.</p> <p>With partners from the voluntary sector and statutory partners we have established a Social Isolation and Loneliness Forum which looks at how we can tackle this across Essex. Work has involved four linked approaches: identifying people; helping people understand what support is available; ensuring that support is available; and a planned campaign to raise awareness and stimulate action.</p> <p>We have completed a period of voluntary and community sector-led mapping of current support available and worked with CCGs to look at the role of GPs amongst others play in identifying lonely and isolated people. We have also developed and funded a service to help those identified to find a local solution.</p> <p>Additionally, Essex County Council are starting to work with local place-based Facebook administrators to understand the opportunity of these groups as a focus for local action. At the inaugural meeting of these administrators, loneliness was again identified as a key issue impacting on their places and we will be looking at how we can best work with them to tackle this issue in their communities.</p> <p>We believe that we can still do this work better. We will work with partners to devise a new model of community support which we will invest in to expand our reach and expand local capability to support loneliness and isolation and I'd welcome each member's input into this.</p>
10.	<p><b>By Councillor Kendall of the Cabinet Member for Health and Adult Social Care</b></p> <p>'The number of young people suffering from mental health issues is on the increase across Essex. However, it is very difficult for County Councillors and Youth Strategy Groups to obtain detailed analysis of the different mental health issues in their areas. Will the Cabinet Member take action to try and ensure that this information is made readily available to help us target limited resources to the areas most needed?'</p>
	<p><b>Reply</b></p> <p>'Mental health is a critical issue and is one of the key themes in the emerging Joint Health and Wellbeing Strategy for Essex. It is an all-age issue, and considerable work has already been undertaken to help identify early indications of mental illness among young people.</p> <p>Quality management information is essential and we are currently reviewing what key performance indicators are available or can be developed. This will indeed be</p>



	<p>discussed at the Health and Wellbeing Board next week.</p> <p>I understand the desire to drill this information down to local level and will work in this direction but must offer three caveats. Firstly, information may not be available at district council level when the NHS is organised by CCGs. Secondly, we must avoid reaching such detail that anonymity is threatened. Thirdly, I need to achieve a balance between the resource dedicated to tackling the issue against those assembling the information.</p> <p>I would encourage any Youth Strategy Group across the county to join and collaborate with the quadrant based Children's Locality Partnership Boards, this cross-representation can help in identifying at a very local level how best these groups can support the specific needs of young people.'</p>
<p><b>11.</b></p>	<p><b>By Councillor Baker of the Leader of the Council</b></p> <p>'The Government is due to meet on the weekend of the 7<sup>th</sup>/8<sup>th</sup> July 2018. My understanding is that a draft White Paper will be discussed and agreement sought by the Government on its plans on leaving the EU in March 2019.</p> <p>My questions relate to the above White Paper and to the critical need for members of ECC to work together in order to achieve the best outcome for the people of Essex following our leaving the EU.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Could the Cabinet Member provide a summary of the key features of the White Paper as it relates to the work of local authorities and to ECC in particular; and</li> <li>2. Would the Cabinet Member stress the need to members of the Cabinet to consider once again setting up a cross-party working group, comprising members and senior officers of ECC, in preparation for the UK exiting the EU in order to mitigate the risks and capture the opportunities for UK and EU citizens, businesses and major organisations, in particular hospitals, universities, sea ports and airports, across Essex?</li> </ol> <p>I would respectfully refer the Cabinet Member to the previous questions I have asked in relation to the UK leaving the EU at the July 2017, December 2017 and February 2018 meetings of the Full Council.'</p>
	<p><b>Reply</b></p> <p>'Once the White Paper is published I will ask officers to prepare a summary of the key features for circulation to all Members. If it is possible to do so this will include implications for local authorities.</p>

	<p>I believe ECC's engagement with Brexit through the cross-party work of the LGA continues to be the right approach at this time. When there is greater clarity from the negotiations at a national level we will of course consider new approaches to engaging with this hugely important issue for Essex.'</p>
<b>12.</b>	<p><b>By Councillor Smith of the Deputy Leader and Cabinet Member for Infrastructure</b></p> <p>'It has been many months since the new traffic lights were installed for the Westley Green housing development, at the junction of Dry Street and the Nethermayne (A176). Will the Cabinet Member for Highways please step in now and ensure that these traffic lights are activated to prevent further accidents?'</p>
	<p><b>Reply</b></p> <p>'May I thank the Member for Basildon Westley Heights for the question.</p> <p>This traffic signal installation is being provided by Redrow Homes in connection with their Dry Street housing development. I can confirm that ECC is working with Redrow Homes and UK Power Networks in order to get the lights connected to the grid. We recognise the need for a speedy resolution of this issue and we are also progressing an interim solution of connecting the signals to a private connection. I have asked officers to resolve this issue as quickly as possible and to keep you updated on progress.'</p>
<b>13.</b>	<p><b>By Councillor Smith of the Leader of the Council</b></p> <p>'On Brexit Day (29 March 2019) will Essex County Council be fully supporting communities across the County celebrate our liberation from the EU with the same spirit of V.E. Day?'</p>
	<p><b>Reply</b></p> <p>'It is of course for the Government to decide if any formal National commemoration of Brexit takes place. However, conflating Brexit with the end of WW2 is both wrong and inappropriate. During WW2 the Royal Air Force lost over 70,000 personnel. This question, tabled on the day where we will witness a magnificent flypast as part of the RAFs centenary celebrations honouring all those that have served, trivialises their sacrifice. My thoughts and condolences are with the families and friends of all branches of the armed forces who have lost loved ones in service to our Country. '</p>

<p><b>14.</b></p>	<p><b>By Councillor Abbott of the Deputy Leader and Cabinet Member for Infrastructure</b></p> <p>‘In his letter of 8th June 2018 to the North Essex Local authorities regarding his Examination of their joint Section 1 Local Plan, the Inspector found against those authorities on multiple grounds in respect of the quality of evidence used for their proposals for a series of "Garden Communities" (GCs) along the A120 corridor.</p> <p>Whilst the Inspector set out the known uncertainty regarding funding for the A120, he also clearly stated that the full development of the West Tey settlement (by far the largest of the GCs) would rely on the A120 as an "essential link" and that the different route alignments of the A120 have "quite different implications" for the relationship between the A120 and West Tey.</p> <p>Does the Cabinet Member therefore accept that one of the Inspector's strongest concerns about the GCs was the lack of certainty on supporting major transport infrastructure? And in that context, can the Cabinet Member explain why his recommendation on behalf of ECC (as a partner authority to the North Essex Local Authorities) is to support Route D for the A120 between Braintree and the A12 which would have no direct relationship with West Tey?’</p>
	<p><b>Reply</b></p> <p>‘May I thank the Member for Witham Northern for the question.</p> <p>The A120 as everyone knows is not fit for purpose and hasn't been for very many years now. The recommendation to Highways England of option D as ECC's preferred route represents an important step in seeking to upgrade this strategically important road. This decision was arrived at using an evidence led process involving detailed technical assessment and public consultation. This work demonstrated that Route D represents the best value for money scheme with good benefits across a range of criteria. It will dovetail with the A12 widening and in terms of gaining entry to the RIS2 programme it is the best fit with likely investment levels available from Government. We recognise that not everyone will be pleased with the outcome of this work, and we will of course continue conversations with those who could be directly affected, but it is important that we continue to make the case with our partners to secure this vital infrastructure investment for Essex.</p> <p>The A120 requires a route upgrade regardless of the Garden Communities work. That the Planning Inspector saw the A120 as a key issue is in fact very helpful as it assists our case for investment, but we were very clear during our work that any one of the A120 options would be able to complement the Garden Community proposals. As I read matters the Inspector is simply confirming that infrastructure is an essential element of the larger local plan proposals and that government agencies need to provide more certainty about the surety of the investment programmes, to lend confidence that road and indeed rail projects will be delivered.</p>

	<p>What we have done in our A120 work is to make it very easy for this degree of confidence to be had by presenting government with a scheme which is ready for detailed planning and delivery.'</p>
<p><b>15.</b></p>	<p><b>By Councillor Abbott of the Deputy Leader and Cabinet Member for Infrastructure</b></p> <p>'As I have previously raised with successive Cabinet Members at Full Council, a number of rural footpaths (public rights of way) in the areas I represent continue to become routinely blocked at this time of year from encroaching thick vegetation such as brambles and nettles. This can lead to them becoming impassable and that results (as currently) in justified complaints from residents.</p> <p>Whilst accepting the resource pressures that ECC is under, has the Cabinet Member considered the legal implications of the one cut per year policy which can lead to some footpaths becoming obstructed to the point where they cannot be used - effectively interfering with highway rights?</p> <p>What time limit does ECC apply in requiring private owners to remove obstructions that they are responsible for?</p> <p>How is the obstruction of rural footpaths compatible with the priorities of supporting healthy living and giving residents positive choices in getting about without using their cars?'</p>
	<p><b>Reply</b></p> <p>'May I thank the Member for Witham Northern for the question</p> <p>Under our current budgetary constraints Essex Highways undertake a proactive annual cut. While the majority of Rights of Way are only cut once during our annual cutting programme, we do endeavour to cut Essex County Council's Promoted routes such as the 'Essex Way', the 'St Peter's Way' more than once. Those public rights of way on our cutting programme can be seen on our mapping pages of our website. Where cutting is requested on a path not contained in the programme an assessment will be made on site as to whether it should be added to future programmes or cut on an ad-hoc basis. These issues can be reported online from our report a problem page.</p> <p>Essex County Council prioritises its limited resources to maintain the Public Right of Way (PROW) network and are currently looking for continued and increased support from local communities to help with local PROW. Some Parish councils have entered into a service level agreement with ECC to maintain the vegetation on their priority routes. Parish councils make an application to Essex Highways with a schedule of paths that they wish to take responsibility for cutting and ECC enable</p>

	<p>them through a grant. However, being entered on this agreement does not mean that the path is cut again by Essex Highways, as those paths listed by the Parish are removed from our cutting schedule, since the funding has been transferred. The Council also help organise a scheme which involves a different type of service level agreement where over 75 farmers and landowners also cut paths on their land, or land within their control.</p> <p>ECC and Essex Highways also help community groups volunteer through the Parish Paths Partnership scheme to clear up seasonal growth. Groups identify paths that need attention and organise a work party to clear the vegetation. The volunteers are supported by our PROW Inspectors. A list of which parishes are cutting under a service level agreement or who is volunteering under the Parish Paths Partnership scheme can be found on our web pages.</p> <p>The time limit which ECC apply for private owners to remove obstructions that they are responsible for depends on the nature of the obstruction along with current conditions. The PROW Inspectors are heavily involved in the initial process of enforcement action, and where necessary, the Enforcement and Liaison Officer is brought in. The timescales can vary on each case.</p> <p>Essex's footpaths are maintained to the best condition possible within the budgetary constraints - across the entire Highway Service. ECC have to prioritise resources across the whole of the highways service, as well as those in PROW. Strategies and priorities across the whole of the service have to be managed and wherever possible ECC will promote walking and cycling, while maintaining existing assets.'</p>
16.	<p><b>By Councillor Harris of the Cabinet Member for Health and Adult Social Care</b></p> <p>'Bearing in mind the changes now being consulted on for Harwich and Clacton Hospital, could the portfolio holder respond on behalf of Essex County Council, after studying the detail of the co-situation information supplied, keeping the interests of North East Essex residents to the fore.'</p>
	<p><b>Reply</b></p> <p>'North East Essex Clinical Commissioning Group is currently consulting until 10 September on future plans for both Harwich and Clacton Hospital.</p> <p>I confirm that I will submit a response to the consultation on behalf of Essex County Council and I am happy to receive any comments from local affected county councillors to inform my response.</p> <p>It is worth noting that this consultation follows the positive news in April that both sites have secured capital funding from government, worth £3m for Harwich and worth £15m for Clacton. I welcome that news and I welcome the consultation by the</p>

---

	CCG on how that money can be used to transform services.'
<b>17. By Councillor Harris of the Deputy Leader and Cabinet Member for Infrastructure</b>	'Could an update be given on numbers/percentages of street lights in Essex converted to Led please?'
<b>Reply</b>	<p>'May I thank the Member for Maypole for the question.</p> <p>Essex Highways manages 127,000 street lights across Essex. Essex County Council, with the support of interest free Government loans, has funded the conversion of approximately 40,000 street lights (37% of the total) to LED and this programme will be completed by the end of 2018/19. To date 32,000 of the 40,000 targeted conversions have been completed. This includes the conversion of 17,000 lights that remain on throughout the night and 15,000 high wattage lights within the part-night lighting system. A further 8,000 conversions in the part night lighting system will be completed by the end of this financial year.'</p>