

# Policy and Scrutiny Scoping Document

<b>Committee</b>	Essex Health Overview and Scrutiny (lead)  Community Wellbeing and Older People	
<b>Topic</b>	(the coming tide of) Dementia	<b>Ref:</b> HOSC/SCR/05  <b>File Ref:</b> U.220.01/57/217
<b>Objective</b>	Discuss issues around the level of dementia in Essex; look at examples of good practice locally and nationally; discuss options for the way forward; and suggest proposals for a financially viable approach to future care and treatment.	
<b>Reasons for undertaking review</b>	Matter of major significance to County Council and all health bodies, due to numbers of persons involved and costs to be incurred.  Included as issue in all PCT Strategic Plans from 2010 onwards.	
<b>Topic suggested by</b>	Councillor John Baugh	
<b>Method</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Initial briefing to define scope</li> <li>• Task &amp; Finish Group</li> <li>• Commission</li> <li>• Full Committee</li> </ul>	Task and Finish Group – given size may be able to split into sub-groups to speed up work.	
<b>Membership</b> <i>Only complete if Task and Finish Group or Commission</i>	HOSC (3) Councillors Baugh (chairman), Hutchon and Maddocks  CWOP (3) Councillors Hillier, Pearson and Whitehouse  Mental Health Partnership Trusts (2) TBA	
<b>Issues to be addressed</b>	Link in with PCT reconfiguration and purchaser/provider split  Good and poor examples of practice  What is financially viable  New versus old methods of treatment  Home care versus residential care  Can Essex resolve issues on its own?	



<b>Sources of Evidence and witnesses</b>	Paperwork library to be drawn up Papers from national conference attended by Group chairman Presentations by local health and social care staff  Witness sessions		
<b>Work Programme</b>	Established May 2010  Inaugural meetings summer 2010. First meeting to read background paperwork, confirm scope of review, timescales and potential witness list.  Preliminary report September 2010  Final report January 2011		
<b>Indicators of Success</b>	Health bodies and Councils accept scrutiny as a viable study and implement recommendations put forward.		
<b>Meeting the CfPS Objectives</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• <i>Critical Friend Challenge to Executive</i></li><li>• <i>Reflect Public voice and concerns</i></li><li>• <i>Own the scrutiny process</i></li><li>• <i>Impact on service delivery</i></li></ul>	yes		
	yes		
<b>Date agreed by Committee</b>	May 2010		
<b>Future Action</b>	Too early to determine (May 2010)		
<b>Governance Officer</b>	Graham Redgwell	<b>Committee Officer</b>	Graham Hughes
<b>Service Lead Officer(s)</b>			