Essex Pension Fund

Year ending 31 March 2016

Audit Plan

March 2016

Ernst & Young LLP







Ernst & Young LLP 400 Capability Green Luton Bedfordshire LU1 3LU Tel: 01582 643000 Fax: 01582 643001 www.ey.com/uk

4 March 2016

Audit Committee Essex County Council County Hall Market Road Chelmsford CM1 1QH

Dear Committee Members

Audit Plan

We are pleased to attach our Audit Plan which sets out how we intend to carry out our responsibilities as auditor. Its purpose is to provide the Audit Committee with a basis to review our proposed audit approach and scope for the 2015/16 audit in accordance with the requirements of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014, the National Audit Office's 2015 Code of Audit Practice, the Statement of Responsibilities issued by Public Sector Audit Appointments (PSAA) Ltd, auditing standards and other professional requirements. It is also to ensure that our audit is aligned with the Committee's service expectations.

This plan summarises our initial assessment of the key risks driving the development of an effective audit for the Pension Fund and outlines our planned audit strategy in response to those risks.

We welcome the opportunity to discuss this plan with you on 21 March 2016 and to understand whether there are other matters which you consider may influence our audit.

Yours faithfully

Andrew Clewer Partner For and behalf of Ernst & Young LLP Enc

Contents

1.	Overview		. 1
2.	Financial	statement risks	. 2
3.	Our audit	process and strategy	. 4
4.	Independe	ence	. 7
Арр	endix A	Fees	. 9
Арр	endix B	UK required communications with those charged with governance	10

In April 2015 Public Sector Audit Appointments Ltd (PSAA) issued "Statement of responsibilities of auditors and audited bodies 2015-16'. It is available from the Chief Executive of each audited body and via the PSAA website (www.psaa.co.uk)

The Statement of responsibilities serves as the formal terms of engagement between appointed auditors and audited bodies. It summarises where the different responsibilities of auditors and audited bodies begin and end, and what is to be expected of the audited body in certain areas.

The 'Terms of Appointment from 1 April 2015' issued by PSAA sets out additional requirements that auditors must comply with, over and above those set out in the National Audit Office Code of Audit Practice (the Code) and statute, and covers matters of practice and procedure which are of a recurring nature.

This Audit Plan is prepared in the context of the Statement of responsibilities. It is addressed to the Audit Committee, and is prepared for the sole use of the audited body. We, as appointed auditor, take no responsibility to any third party.

Our Complaints Procedure – If at any time you would like to discuss with us how our service to you could be improved, or if you are dissatisfied with the service you are receiving, you may take the issue up with your usual partner or director contact. If you prefer an alternative route, please contact Steve Varley, our Managing Partner, 1 More London Place, London SE1 2AF. We undertake to look into any complaint carefully and promptly and to do all we can to explain the position to you. Should you remain dissatisfied with any aspect of our service, you may of course take matters up with our professional institute. We can provide further information on how you may contact our professional institute.

1. Overview

Context for the audit

This Audit Plan covers the work that we plan to perform to provide you with our audit opinion on whether the financial statements of Essex Pension Fund (the Pension Fund) give a true and fair view of the financial position as at 31 March 2016 and of the amount and disposition of the Fund's assets and liabilities for the year then ended.

When planning the audit we take into account several key inputs:

- ▶ strategic, operational and financial risks relevant to the financial statements;
- developments in financial reporting and auditing standards;
- ▶ the quality of systems and processes;
- changes in the business and regulatory environment; and
- ► management's views on all of the above.

By considering these inputs, our audit is focused on the areas that matter and our feedback is more likely to be relevant to the Pension Fund. Our audit will also include the mandatory procedures that we are required to perform in accordance with applicable laws and auditing standards.

In part two of this plan we provide more detail on the above areas and we outline our plans to address them. Our proposed audit process and strategy are summarised below and set out in more detail in section three.

We will provide an update to the Audit Committee on the results of our work in these areas in our report to those charged with governance scheduled for delivery on 19 September 2016.

2. Financial statement risks

We outline below our assessment of the financial statement risks facing the Pension Fund, identified through our knowledge of the Fund's operations and discussion with those charged with governance and officers.

At our meeting, we will seek to validate these with you.

Significant risks (including fraud risks)	Our audit approach				
Risk of fraud in revenue recognition					
Under ISA (UK and Ireland) 240 there is a presumed risk that revenue may be misstated due to improper recognition of revenue. At EY, we identify that the risk of fraud in revenue recognition at a pension fund is applicable to contribution income and investment income. Our audit approach has therefore been split between these two forms of revenue.	 Contributions We will: perform a detailed analytical review of contributions received compared to our expectations based upon member numbers for each employer and contribution rates, which are provided by the actuary; review the ratio of member to employer contributions on a monthly basis to ensure there are no unexplained variances; and review the timeliness of the payment of contributions to the scheme, including ensuring that contributions relate to the correct financial year. Investment Income We will: obtain third party confirmations and agree all investment income to the confirmations received; and review and test investment reconciliations performed between investment manager and custodian reports. 				
Risk of management override of controls					
As identified in ISA (UK and Ireland) 240, management is in a unique position to perpetrate fraud because of its ability to manipulate accounting records directly or indirectly and prepare fraudulent financial statements by overriding controls that otherwise appear to be operating effectively. We identify and respond to this fraud risk on every audit engagement.	 Our approach will focus on: testing the appropriateness of journal entries recorded in the general ledger and other adjustments made in the preparation of the financial statements; reviewing accounting estimates for evidence of management bias; and evaluating the business rationale for significant unusual transactions. 				

Valuation of unquoted pooled investments and direct property investments

The Fund's investments include unquoted pooled investment vehicles (such as private equity, infrastructure, timber and illiquid debt) and direct property investments.

Judgements are taken when valuing those investments whose prices are not publically available. The material nature of investments means that any error in judgement could result in a material valuation error.

Current market volatility means such judgments can quickly become outdated, especially when there is a significant time period between the latest available audited information and the fund year end. Such variations could have a material impact on the financial statements.

As these investments are more complex to value, we have identified the Fund's investments in property and unquoted pooled investment vehicles as a significant risk, as even a small movement in these assumptions could have a material impact on the financial statements. Our approach will focus on:

- reviewing the basis of valuation for property investments and other unquoted investments and assessing the appropriateness of the valuation methods used;
- assessing the competence of management experts; and
- performing analytical procedures and checking the valuation output for reasonableness against our own expectations.

Where necessary our internal valuation specialists will support our work in this area

Respective responsibilities in relation to fraud and error

We would like to take this opportunity to remind you that management has the primary responsibility to prevent and detect fraud. It is important that management, with the oversight of those charged with governance, has a culture of ethical behaviour and a strong control environment that both deters and prevents fraud.

Our responsibility is to plan and perform audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements as a whole are free of material misstatements whether caused by error or fraud. As auditors, we approach each engagement with a questioning mind that accepts the possibility that a material misstatement due to fraud could occur, and design the appropriate procedures to consider such risk.

Based on the requirements of auditing standards our approach will focus on:

- identifying fraud risks during the planning stages;
- enquiry of management about risks of fraud and the controls to address those risks;
- understanding the oversight given by those charged with governance of management's processes over fraud;
- consideration of the effectiveness of management's controls designed to address the risk of fraud;
- determining an appropriate strategy to address any identified risks of fraud; and
- ▶ performing mandatory procedures regardless of specifically identified fraud risks.

3. Our audit process and strategy

3.1 Objective and scope of our audit

Under the Code of Audit Practice ('the Code') our principal objectives are to review and report on the Pension Fund's financial statements to the extent required by the relevant legislation and the requirements of the Code.

Our objective is to form an opinion on the financial statements under International Standards on Auditing (UK and Ireland).

We also review the Pension Fund's annual report and form an opinion on the consistency of the financial statements of the pension fund included in the Pension Fund Annual Report.

3.2 Audit process overview

Our approach is to assess the Pension Fund's level of internal controls and to place reliance upon those controls where our assessment allows.

In doing so, we will look to rely on the work of Internal Audit as much as possible whilst complying with the requirements of auditing standards. We have discussed our requirements with Internal Audit, establishing which financial systems they are reviewing this year and have built this in to our work plan.

Processes

Our initial assessment of the key processes across the Pension Fund has identified the following key processes where we will seek to test key controls, both manual and IT:

► Contributions receivable

Investments and cash balances will be tested substantively at year end.

Analytics

We will use our computer-based analytics tools to enable us to capture whole populations of your financial data, in particular journal entries. These tools:

- help identify specific exceptions and anomalies which can then be subject to more traditional substantive audit tests; and
- ▶ give greater likelihood of identifying errors than random sampling techniques.

Internal audit

As in prior years, we will review internal audit plans and the results of their work. We will reflect the findings from these reports, together with reports from any other work completed in the year, in our detailed audit plan, where we raise issues that could have an impact on the year-end financial statements.

Use of specialists

When auditing key judgements, we are often required to rely on the input and advice provided by specialists who have qualifications and expertise not possessed by the core audit team. The areas where either EY or third party specialists provide input for the current year audit are:

Area	Specialists		
Investment valuation	The Pension Fund's custodian and fund managers		
Pensions liability	EY Pensions Advisory, PwC (Consulting Actuary to the PSAA) and Barnet Waddingham (Essex Pension Fund actuary)		

In accordance with Auditing Standards, we will evaluate each specialist's professional competence and objectivity, considering their qualifications, experience and available resources, together with the independence of the individuals performing the work.

We also consider the work performed by the specialist in light of our knowledge of the Pension Fund environment and processes and our assessment of audit risk in the particular area. For example, we would typically perform the following procedures:

- Analyse source data and make inquiries as to the procedures used by the expert to establish whether the source date is relevant and reliable;
- Assess the reasonableness of the assumptions and methods used;
- Consider the appropriateness of the timing of when the specialist carried out the work; and
- Assess whether the substance of the specialist's findings are properly reflected in the financial statements.

3.3 Mandatory procedures required by auditing standards

As well as the financial statement risks outlined in section two, we must perform other procedures as required by auditing, ethical and independence standards, the Code and other regulations. We outline below the procedures we will undertake during the course of our audit.

Procedures required by standards

- Addressing the risk of fraud and error;
- Significant disclosures included in the financial statements;
- Entity-wide controls;
- Reading other information contained in the financial statements and reporting whether it is inconsistent with our understanding and the financial statements; and
- ► Auditor independence.

Procedures required by the Code

 Reviewing, and reporting on as appropriate, other information published with the financial statements.

3.4 Materiality

For the purposes of determining whether the financial statements are free from material error, we define materiality as the magnitude of an omission or misstatement that, individually or in aggregate, could reasonably be expected to influence the users of the financial statements. Our evaluation requires professional judgement and so takes into account qualitative as well as quantitative considerations implied in the definition.

We have determined that overall materiality for the financial statements of the Pension Fund is £49.3 million based on 1% of net assets. We will communicate uncorrected audit misstatements greater than £2.5 million to you.

The amount we consider material at the end of the audit may differ from our initial determination. At this stage, however, it is not feasible to anticipate all the circumstances that might ultimately influence our judgement. At the end of the audit we will form our final

opinion by reference to all matters that could be significant to users of the financial statements, including the total effect of any audit misstatements, and our evaluation of materiality at that date.

3.5 Fees

The duty to prescribe fees is a statutory function delegated to Public Sector Audit Appointments Ltd (PSAA) by the Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government. PSAA has published a scale fee for all relevant bodies. This is defined as the fee required by auditors to meet statutory responsibilities under the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 in accordance with the NAO Code. The indicative fee scale for the audit of Essex Pension Fund is £31,266 (£31,266 2014-15).

3.6 Your audit team

Andrew Clewer is the Partner leading our overall engagement with Essex County Council and our relationship with the Audit Committee.

In keeping with our audit approach last year, the Pension Fund engagement team will be led by a member of our specialist pensions team. The member of the specialist pensions team will be supported by Gary Belcher (Audit Manager) and Dan Cooke (Assistant Manager). Dan is responsible for the day-to-day direction of audit work, and is the key point of contact for your finance and pension teams.

3.7 Timetable of communication, deliverables and insights

We have set out below a timetable showing the key stages of the audit. The timetable includes the deliverables we have agreed to provide to the Pension Fund through the Audit Committee's cycle in 2015/16. These dates are determined to ensure our alignment with PSAA's rolling calendar of deadlines.

From time to time matters may arise that require immediate communication with the Audit Committee and we will discuss them with the Committee Chair as appropriate.

Following the conclusion of our audit we will prepare an Annual Audit Letter to communicate the key issues arising from our work to the Pension Fund and external stakeholders, including members of the public.

Audit phase	Timetable	Deliverables			
Risk assessment and setting of scopes	February – March	Audit Plan			
Testing routine processes and controls	March	Progress report (if needed)			
Year-end audit and completion of audit	June - August	Report to those charged with governance via the Audit Results Report			
		Audit report, including our opinion on the financial statements			
		Audit completion certificate			
		Audit report on our opinion on the consistency of the financial statements within the pension fund annual report with the pension fund financial statements.			
Conclusion of reporting	November	Annual Audit Letter			

In addition to the above formal reporting and deliverables we will seek to provide practical business insights and updates on regulatory matters.

4. Independence

4.1 Introduction

The APB Ethical Standards and ISA (UK and Ireland) 260 'Communication of audit matters with those charged with governance', requires us to communicate with you on a timely basis on all significant facts and matters that bear on our independence and objectivity. The Ethical Standards, as revised in December 2010, require that we do this formally both at the planning stage and at the conclusion of the audit, as well as during the audit if appropriate. The aim of these communications is to ensure full and fair disclosure by us to those charged with your governance on matters in which you have an interest.

Required communications				
Planning stage	Final stage			
 The principal threats, if any, to objectivity and independence identified by EY including consideration of all relationships between you, your affiliates and directors and us; The safeguards adopted and the reasons why they are considered to be effective, including any Engagement Quality Review; The overall assessment of threats and safeguards; Information about the general policies and process within EY to maintain objectivity and independence. 	 A written disclosure of relationships (including the provision of non-audit services) that bear on our objectivity and independence, the threats to our independence that these create, any safeguards that we have put in place and why they address such threats, together with any other information necessary to enable our objectivity and independence to be assessed; Details of non-audit services provided and the fees charged in relation thereto; Written confirmation that we are independent; Details of any inconsistencies between APB Ethical Standards, the PSAA Terms of Appointment and your policy for the supply of non-audit services by EY and any apparent breach of that policy; and An opportunity to discuss auditor independence issues. 			

During the course of the audit we must also communicate with you whenever any significant judgements are made about threats to objectivity and independence and the appropriateness of our safeguards, for example when accepting an engagement to provide non-audit services.

We also provide information on any contingent fee arrangements, the amounts of any future contracted services, and details of any written proposal to provide non-audit services;

We ensure that the total amount of fees that EY and our network firms have charged to you and your affiliates for the provision of services during the reporting period are disclosed, analysed in appropriate categories.

4.2 Relationships, services and related threats and safeguards

We highlight the following significant facts and matters that may be reasonably considered to bear upon our objectivity and independence, including any principal threats. However we have adopted the safeguards below to mitigate these threats along with the reasons why they are considered to be effective.

Self-interest threats

A self-interest threat arises when EY has financial or other interests in your entity. Examples include where we have an investment in your entity; where we receive significant fees in respect of non-audit services; where we need to recover long outstanding fees; or where we enter into a business relationship with the Pension Fund. At the time of writing, there are no long outstanding fees.

We believe that it is appropriate for us to undertake permissible non-audit services, and we will comply with the policies that the Pension Fund has approved and that are in compliance with the PSAA Terms of Appointment.

At the time of writing, there are no non-audit services provided by us to the Pension Fund.

A self-interest threat may also arise if members of our audit engagement team have objectives or are rewarded in relation to sales of non-audit services to the Pension Fund. We confirm that no member of our audit engagement team, including those from other service lines, is in this position, in compliance with Ethical Standard 4.

There are no other self-interest threats at the date of this report.

Self-review threats

Self-review threats arise when the results of a non-audit service performed by EY or others within the EY network are reflected in the amounts included or disclosed in the financial statements.

There are no self-review threats at the date of this report.

Management threats

Partners and employees of EY are prohibited from taking decisions on behalf of management of your entity. Management threats may also arise during the provision of a non-audit service where management is required to make judgements or decisions based on that work.

There are no management threats at the date of this report.

Other threats

Other threats, such as advocacy, familiarity or intimidation, may arise.

There are no other threats at the date of this report.

Overall Assessment

Overall we consider that the adopted safeguards appropriately mitigate the principal threats identified, and we therefore confirm that EY is independent and the objectivity and independence of Andrew Clewer, the audit engagement Partner, and the audit engagement team have not been compromised.

4.3 Other required communications

EY has policies and procedures that instil professional values as part of firm culture and ensure that the highest standards of objectivity, independence and integrity are maintained.

Details of the key policies and processes within EY for maintaining objectivity and independence can be found in our annual Transparency Report, which the firm is required to publish by law. The most recent version of this report is for the year ended June 2015 and can be found here:

http://www.ey.com/UK/en/About-us/EY-UK-Transparency-Report-2015

Appendix A Fees

A breakdown of our agreed fee is shown below.

	Planned Fee 2015/16 £	Scale Fee 2015/16 £	Outturn fee 2014/15 £	Explanation
Total Audit Fee - Code work	31,266	31,266	31,266	No change from 2014-15

All fees exclude VAT.

The agreed fee presented above is based on the following assumptions:

- officers meeting the agreed timetable of deliverables;
- the level of risk in relation to the audit of accounts in consistent with that in the prior year;
- ▶ we can rely on the work of internal audit as planned;
- our accounts opinion being unqualified;
- ▶ appropriate quality of documentation is provided by the Pension Fund; and
- ► the Pension Fund has an effective control environment.

If any of the above assumptions prove to be unfounded, we will seek a variation to the agreed fee. This will be discussed with the Pension Fund in advance.

Fees for the auditor's consideration of correspondence from the public and formal objections will be charged in addition to the scale fee.

Appendix B UK required communications with those charged with governance

There are certain communications that we must provide to the Audit Committee. These are detailed here:

Required communication F Planning and audit approach F Communication of the planned scope and timing of the audit including any limitations.		Reference	
		Audit Plan	
Significant findings from the audit	►	Report to those charged	
 Our view about the significant qualitative aspects of accounting practices including accounting policies, accounting estimates and financial statement disclosures 		with governance	
 Significant difficulties, if any, encountered during the audit 			
 Significant matters, if any, arising from the audit that were discussed with management 			
 Written representations that we are seeking 			
 Expected modifications to the audit report 			
 Other matters if any, significant to the oversight of the financial reporting process 			
Misstatements	►	Report to those charged	
 Uncorrected misstatements and their effect on our audit opinion 		with governance	
 The effect of uncorrected misstatements related to prior periods 			
 A request that any uncorrected misstatement be corrected 			
 In writing, corrected misstatements that are significant 			
Fraud	►	Report to those charged	
 Enquiries of the Audit Committee to determine whether they have knowledge of any actual, suspected or alleged fraud affecting the entity 		with governance	
 Any fraud that we have identified or information we have obtained that indicates that a fraud may exist 			
 A discussion of any other matters related to fraud 			
Related parties	►	Report to those charged	
Significant matters arising during the audit in connection with the entity's related parties including, when applicable:		with governance	
 Non-disclosure by management 			
 Inappropriate authorisation and approval of transactions 			
 Disagreement over disclosures 			
 Non-compliance with laws and regulations 			
 Difficulty in identifying the party that ultimately controls the entity 			
External confirmations	►	 Report to those charged with governance 	
 Management's refusal for us to request confirmations 			
 Inability to obtain relevant and reliable audit evidence from other procedures 			
Consideration of laws and regulations	►	Report to those charged	
 Audit findings regarding non-compliance where the non-compliance is material and believed to be intentional. This communication is subject to compliance with legislation on tipping off 		with governance	
 Enquiry of the Audit Committee into possible instances of non-compliance with laws and regulations that may have a material effect on the financial statements and that the Audit Committee may be aware of 			

Required communication		Reference	
Independence	►	Audit Plan	
Communication of all significant facts and matters that bear on EY's objectivity and independence	►	Report to those charged with governance	
Communication of key elements of the audit engagement director's consideration of independence and objectivity such as:			
 The principal threats 			
 Safeguards adopted and their effectiveness 			
 An overall assessment of threats and safeguards 			
 Information about the general policies and process within the firm to maintain objectivity and independence 			
Going concern		Report to those charged with governance	
Events or conditions identified that may cast significant doubt on the entity's ability to continue as a going concern, including:			
 Whether the events or conditions constitute a material uncertainty 			
 Whether the use of the going concern assumption is appropriate in the preparation and presentation of the financial statements 			
 The adequacy of related disclosures in the financial statements 			
Significant deficiencies in internal controls identified during the audit	۲	Report to those charged with governance	
Fee Information	►	Audit Plan	
 Breakdown of fee information at the agreement of the initial audit plan Breakdown of fee information at the completion of the audit 	۲	Report to those charged with governance	
·····	►	Annual Audit Letter if considered necessary	