South East LEP

Risk Register - all Risks

Ref	Risk Description and impact	Likelihood	Impact	Score	Mitigation	Risk Owner	Dates/ Deadlines	Notes
1	LGF grant payment for 2019/20 isn't made because of either LEP Review non-compliance or APR Assessment. LGF Programme would have to stall. Potential possibility of legal action by delivery partners	3	5	15	Working with CLoG Officals to highlight the impact of withdrawing funding and working with project delivery organisations to identify projects to stall/not come forward	RM	31/03/2019	
2	Revenue grants for Core Funding and LIS/LEP Review support aren't made due to non-compliance. Reduced revenue budget to support Secretariat costs in 19/20 and reduced ability to begin work on LIS (see ref 3)	3	5	15	Proposed staffing take-on postponed or cancelled and reserves used to support staffing costs in 19/20. Work on LIS curtailed and emphasis put on supporting as-is operations. Implementation of LEP Review requirements also stalled	SB	31/03/2019	
3	LEP Review recommendations (those agreed by Board) not implemented in line with Govt requirements. Potentially impacts on future years funding, including core funding, LGF, UKSPF and APR	2	4	8	Action plan put into place. Priority given to implementation of recommendations above other tasks using current resource	AB/SB	Various	
4	SELEP unable or unwilling to reform size and make up of Board to meet LEP Review requirements and Govt unwilling to be flexible. Non compliance may impact current funding and future allocations of funding. Partners may seek to revisit geography issues	4	5	20	Chair to discuss with Ministers in early new year	АВ	ASAP	
5	Proposed approach to incorporation not agreed with Board or Government. Substantive shift of transactions/staffing to move into new company with consequent implications on staffing and costs	3	4	12	Present Chair and VC's proposed approach in advance of wider discussion. SOG/Directors to be informed and canvassed. CLoG team to be approached for view	SB		Current requirement to incorporate by 31/03/2019, obviously this can't be met but not clear whether this is a hard deadline
6	Resignations from Board members if unhappy with new requirements/liabilities due to revised model	4	2	8	Model to be designed to not increase liability of Board Members and stakeholder management plan to be devised and put into place	AB	Ongoing	
7	LGF Programme slips beyond agreed programme end date of 31/03/2021	5	2	10	Capital Programme Manager liaising with both CLoG and DfT to forewarn. If funding is available, impact should be limited but may impact on future funding allocations such as UKSPF	RM		Need confirmation from Rhi on timing of final decision on projects
8	LIS isn't produced in line with Government requirements and or deadlines. Potentially impacts on future funding allocations and reputation of LEP	4	3	12	Increase volume on the potential impact of withholding revenue funding. Use short term contracts funded through interest reciepts and reserves to support work	AB	31/03/2020	LIS to be agreed by this date
9	Increase in scope of work and requirements from Government overwhelm team. Stress increases and with a consequent increase in staff turnover and sickness. Further impacting the ability to achieve deadlines	5	5	25	Additional staff taken on and support from partners taken up. SB and AB to develop plan to ensure stress levels are managable and how high workloads can be managed. Non core tasks are dropped	AB/SB	Ongoing	
10	End of Chair's term. Sourcing replacement adds additional load to Secretariat team and right candidate might be difficult to find	3	3	9	Work with LEP Network to identify good process. Have process planned in advance. Use Accountable Body where possible	АВ	31/03/2020	
11	UKSPF planning requirements - currently don't know how UKSPF will operate and what the impact could be on team. Possibility that funding to area will be very limited and might lose traction with partners	2	2	4	Continue to work with LEP Network to keep abreast of developments	JS	Unknown	
12	GPF projects do not repay or do not repay in timely manner, creating a gap in funding meaning future agreed but not completed projects are stalled	2	3	6	GPF repayments status updated to Board. Further rounds of GPF held back until further assurrances made on repayments. Headroom held on fund to offset non-payment	RM	Ongoing	
13	LGF Profiling gap in 2019/20 - funding is not available to support all projects in year	1	4	4	Slippages on in-flight projects and projects that are likely to drop out of programme reduce the risk, as does the postponement of decision on projects dropping out. However this does increase the risk at item 7	RM		Gap will cease to exist by end of the year - sufficient funding in final two years of programme
14	ECC choses to no longer be the Accountable Body for SELEP. Transfer to another willing Accountable Body would be timeconsuming, expensive and undermine governmance requirements	2	4	8	Continue to work with the Accountable Body providing all assurances needed. Secretariat to comply with AB requirements and be frictionless as a minimum	AB/SB	Ongoing	

Risk Register - all Risks

Ref	Risk Description and impact	Likelihood	Impact	Score	Mitigation	Risk Owner	Dates/ Deadlines	Notes
15	Grants aren't properly administered/applied and are clawed back by	2	3	6	Back to back agreements in place with delivery partners to ensure clawback from	SB	Ongoing	
	Government				them is possible. Grants administer by AB in line with their grant accounting			
					procedures			
16	Brexit - no deal impact on staff road/access etc	4	4	16	Impact on staff, meetings and general ability to travel in the area - limited scope to	AB/SB	30/06/2019	Assumed by end of Q1 19/20 impact will be clear and so
					influence but contingency plans can be put into place - homeworking, ensuring			revised working plans can be put into place
					meetings are avoided in the early April period etc.			
17	Increased expectations from Govt dept for information on impact of	5	3	15	The management of a high volume of requests from different government	AB/SB	30/06/2019	As before, hopefully requests will begin to reduce as the
	Brexit				departments will add a further burden onto the team. Unlikely that we'll hold the			situation begins to stabilise
					information needed so will be reliant on partners providing the information			
					, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
18	Brexit - policy paralysis in Whitehall	5	2	10	Whitehall and Government are currently distracted by Brexit and this will continue	AB/SB	31/03/2019	
					until it is clear what the exiting arrangements are. If it is a no-deal situation then this	'		
					may continue beyond exit date			
19	Achievement of Growth Deal outcomes	4	3	12	The outputs that were agreed in the LGF may not be deliverable due to changes to the	RM	Ongoing	
-	A temesteric of Growth Deal outcomes	7	3	12	economic environment on a national or sub-national basis. Whilst this is fairly likely, it		- Oligoning	
					is probably unlikely that there will be much impact as long as we can demonstrate the			
					reasons for non-delivery			
20	Future funding levels change	Л	Л	16	Current funding levels are boosted by the interest being earned on LGF/GPF balances	AR/SP	21/02/2021	LGF is due to be completed by this time
20	ruture funding levels change	4	4	10	l	AB/SB	31/03/2021	LGF is due to be completed by this time
					held. As those balances run down the interest paid will reduce. This may be mitigated			
					by further funding being made available by Govt and/or UKSPF being held			
21	Economic shocks impacting on business engagement	3	3	9	Economic shocks whether from Brexit or otherwise could impact on our business	ZG	Ongoing	Zoe exploring options for engagement with larger
					representatives capacity and capability to engage with our agenda. In part this can be			employers
					mitigated by more engagement with larger employers who have more capacity			
22	Growth Hubs - the current model may hinder progress in changing	4	4	16	Working to build a better relationship with Growth Hubs and increase Board visability	IB	Ongoing	
	the service shape of Growth Hubs to comply with Government policy				of the Growth Hubs and the requirements of Government. Ensuring Growth Hubs			
	requirements				feature in the LIS as it develops			
23	SELEP team are unable to appoint the required additional resource	2	5	10	Funding has been included in the 2019/20 budget to support the development of the	AB / SB	31/03/2019	
	to support delivery of the LEP review requirements expected to be				LIS and the implementation of other LEP review requirements, such as, incorporation			
	implemented by February 2020; this may impact on receipt of				of the SELEP.			
	funding in future years							
24	Level of reserves held is insufficient to cover any potential severance	2	3	6	The level of reserves will be held under review by the Accountable Body in light of	Accountable	Ongoing	
	costs as a result of the increasing size of the SELEP Secretariat.				recent and proposed future changes to the Secretariat; where required a revised	Body		
	0				position will be presented to the Accountability Board for approval.	''		
					The state of the s			
25	Change in national government or change in policy direction requires	2	5	10	SELEP Secretariat unable to control when general elections etc might take place but	AB/SB	Ongoing	
-0	wholescale changes to work plans and direction of travel during the	_			can and will make contigency plans if an election looks likely. Will continue to work	,		
	year				with civil servants to maintain continuity whenever possible			
	, con				when ever servants to maintain continuity whenever possible			
26	SELEP geographic boundaries become untenable and the partnership	1	5	5	Confirmation from Ministers that they consider SELEP geography to be set at this	AB	Ongoing	
20		1	3	3	point means the liklihood of breakup is currently low.	LAD.	Cligoling	
27	breaks			0	point means the likilihood of breakup is currently low.	+	+	
27 28						+		
				0		+		
29				0		<u> </u>	-	
30				0				
31				0		-	-	
32				0		-	-	
33				0				
34				0				
35				0				