

Essex County Council Meeting – 9 February 2016

Agenda item 7: Schedule of Amendments to the Replacement Waste Local Plan to Full Council

Policy No./Section	Comment	Change
General (across document)	Improvements to readability including text boxes which need lightening (e.g., vision and strategic objectives), removing gaps on pages and position of tables on pages.	Make improvements / changes described.
Table of Contents	The RWLP document is divided into sections and the contents page misses out referencing section 2.	Reformat the contents page so that the section on 'Spatial Context' is renumbered '2' and subsequent sections renumbered accordingly.
Sec 4. Main Waste Streams in the Plan Area	Abbreviation is incorrect.	Amend CED&E to CD&E
Table 2 Summary of Existing Waste Management Capacity	The figure for total estimated capacity is missing a decimal place.	Amend 22,602,56 to 22,602,560.
4.21 Hazardous Waste Management	The figure being quoted as the Plan areas 'capacity gap' for hazardous waste management is actually the full arising and so this misinterprets what is being described and should be rectified.	Amend 113,000tpa to 50,250tpa.
Picture 2: Key Diagram	The version currently states it is the diagram used at the time of the Revised Preferred Approach (RPA) WLP document and is to be replaced.	The updated key diagram to be inserted for the Pre-Sub to replace what is currently shown.
Box 2, The Waste Challenge – at a glance	Figures within the statement about non-organic, non-hazardous waste arisings over the Plan period are technically incorrect.	Where it says: 'In 2015, it was estimated there was 1.66mt of this type of waste arising in the plan area. By 2031/32, arisings are estimated to be 1.75mtpa'. Replace with arisings of '1.57mt' in 2015 and '1.67mt' by 2031/32

Policy No./Section	Comment	Change
		respectively.
Policy 3 and Appendix H Policy Schedule Policy 3	Site notation references were set in previous consultations and are increasingly irrelevant / confusing other than as a signpost. Current site notation references are not intended to be shown at the final adoption document.	Re-clarify that at the Pre-Submission the site notations are simply referencing back to reg. 18 consultations and will be removed / replaced prior to adoption.
Appendix B: Table 16 – Rivenhall – Row: Indicative Facility Scale	The figure quoted for CHP is incorrect as it does not match the tonnages granted by the planning permission which is what the facility scale was assessed upon.	CHP says 297,000tpa but should say 360,000tpa.